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### ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15d-PGJ(2)</td>
<td>15-deoxy-(Delta12,14)-prostaglandin J(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCG1</td>
<td>ATP-binding cassette, sub-family G (WHITE), member 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTA2</td>
<td>actin, alpha 2, smooth muscle aorta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADRB2</td>
<td>beta-2-adrenergic receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AITD</td>
<td>autoimmune thyroid disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOLD1</td>
<td>apolipoprotein L domain containing 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bp</td>
<td>base pairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTG2</td>
<td>B cell translocation gene 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cAMP</td>
<td>cyclic adenosine monophosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS</td>
<td>clinical activity score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD40</td>
<td>B cell surface antigen CD40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD40L</td>
<td>B cell surface antigen CD40 ligand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNVs</td>
<td>copy-number variants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX1</td>
<td>cyclooxygenase 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX2</td>
<td>cyclooxygenase 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPMX1</td>
<td>carboxypeptidase X (M14 family), member 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSRNP1</td>
<td>cysteine-serine-rich nuclear protein 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTLA4</td>
<td>cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated factor 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYR61</td>
<td>cysteine-rich, angiogenic inducer 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ddNTPs</td>
<td>dideoxynucleotide triphosphates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>deoxyribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DON</td>
<td>dysthyroid optic neuropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSP</td>
<td>desmoplakin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUSP1</td>
<td>dual specificity phosphatase 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGR1</td>
<td>early growth response 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUGOGO</td>
<td>European Group on Graves’ Orbitopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCRL3</td>
<td>Fc receptor-like 3 gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHL2</td>
<td>four and a half LIM domains 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOSB</td>
<td>FBJ murine osteosarcoma viral oncogene homolog B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOXP3</td>
<td>forkhead box P3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GAG  glycosaminoglycans
GD   Graves’ disease
GO   Graves’ ophthalmopathy
GPA2 thyrostimulin alpha-subunit
GPB5 thyrostimulin beta-subunit
GWAS genome-wide association study
HLA human leukocyte antigen
ICAM-1 intercellular adhesion molecule-1
IEGs immediate early genes
IFNα interferon alpha
IGF-1R type 1 insulin-like growth factor receptor
IgG immunoglobulin G
IL-1β interleukin-1beta
kb  kilo base pairs
LD  linkage disequilibrium
MAF  minor allele frequency
MALDI-TOF MS matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry
MMP3 matrix metalloproteinase 3
NCBI National Center for Biotechnology Information
NSAIDs non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs
OR  odds ratio
PCR polymerase chain reaction
PPARγ peroxisome-proliferator activated receptor gamma
PTHLH parathyroid hormone-like hormone
PTPN22 protein tyrosine phosphatase 22
PTX3 pentraxin-related gene, rapidly induced by IL-1 beta
RNA ribonucleic acid
RSPO2 R-spondin 2 homolog
RT-PCR reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction
SCD stearoyl-coenzyme A desaturase
SCGB3A2 secretoglogulin family 3a member 2
SD  standard deviation
SEM standard error of the mean
SFRP1 secreted frizzled-related protein 1
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SFRP2</td>
<td>secreted frizzled-related protein 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP</td>
<td>single nucleotide polymorphisms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>triiodothyronine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>thyroxine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg</td>
<td>thyroglobulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNC</td>
<td>tenascin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFα</td>
<td>tumour necrosis factor alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAb</td>
<td>antibodies against the thyroid-stimulating hormone receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSH</td>
<td>thyroid-stimulating hormone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSHR</td>
<td>thyroid-stimulating hormone receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTP</td>
<td>tristetraprolin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTR</td>
<td>untranslated region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>vascular endothelial growth factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XCI</td>
<td>X chromosome inactivation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZFP36</td>
<td>zinc finger protein 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-actin</td>
<td>beta-actin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTRODUCTION

Graves’ disease

**Historical notes**

The first descriptions of clear associations between goitre and exophthalmos come already from the Persian physician Ibn Sina (Avicenna), who around 1000 in his most renowned work, Al-Qanoon, gave a detailed description of exophthalmos and goitre with symptoms today recognized as hyperthyroidism. The Persian physician Sayyid Ismail al-Jurjani noted the association of goitre and exophthalmos around 1100 in his Thesaurus of the Shah of Khwarazm, the major medical dictionary of its time [1, 2]. Centuries would pass before this combination of symptoms again attracted attention. Caleb Hillier Parry, a provincial Welsh physician, noted the condition in 1786. Graves' disease (GD) is named after the Irish doctor Robert James Graves, who described a case of goitre, palpitations, and exophthalmos in 1835. The German Karl Adolph von Basedow independently reported the same constellation of symptoms in 1840 and 1848 [2]. Many other early researchers contributed to our understanding of ‘Graves’ disease’, and it is, therefore, not entirely fair to call it as such.

**Epidemiology**

GD is the underlying cause of 50–80% of cases of hyperthyroidism [3], with an annual incidence in Sweden of 25–30/100,000 [4, 5]. It can occur at any age, but its peak incidence is between 40 and 60 years [3, 5]. GD is a complex disease that develops as a result of the interplay between genetic, endogenous and environmental factors. The disease is 5–10 times more common in women than men [3], and the female preponderance may in part be explained by hormonal effects on the autoimmune response. As with other autoimmune diseases, GD often decreases in severity during pregnancy, whereas the onset or worsening of GD is commonly seen post-partum [3]. The use of contraceptives has a protective effect against the development of GD [6, 7]. In Malmö, southern Sweden, the peak GD incidence for women is between 50 and 60 years, indicating that the post-menopausal period may increase the risk of developing the disease [5]. Fetal microchimerism, the transfer of fetal cells into the maternal circulation, and subsequent triggering of autoimmunity towards the organ in which they settle, has also been implicated in GD and other autoimmune disorders [8]. Recently, skewed X chromosome inactivation (XCI) has been suggested to partly explain the female preponderance in GD. In female mammalian cells, one of the two X chromosomes is randomly inactivated in early embryonic life and females are thus mosaics of
cells with the paternal and maternal active X chromosome, each cell line normally counting for approximately 50%. Preferential use of either the paternal or maternal X-chromosome in 80% or more of the cells is termed skewing of XCI and is overrepresented in patients with AITD [9-11]. Environmental factors involved in the etiology of GD include iodine intake, cigarette smoking, stress [12], external irradiation [13], internal irradiation by $^{131}$I [14], drugs such as antiretrovirals [15], interferon-alpha (IFNα) [16], and Campath-1H [17], and infection, especially Yersinia enterocolitica (reviewed in [18]). In populations with sufficient iodine intake, hypothyroidism is more common than in iodine-deficient areas, whereas the prevalence of thyrotoxicosis is higher in areas with mild to moderate iodine deficiency [19, 20]. Among the causes of thyrotoxicosis, GD is more frequent in iodine-replete areas [20, 21]. Iodine excess can trigger GD in individuals with subclinical GD or autonomous nodules (Jod-Basedow effect) [22] (Table 1). Sweden has a long-standing salt iodization program (since 1936), and the current iodine nutritional status of the Swedish population is adequate [23].

Table 1. Endogenous and environmental factors involved in the etiology of GD and the possible mechanisms. Adapted from [24] and [18].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Suggested mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>Oral contraceptives [6, 7]</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-partum period [25]</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal microchimerism [8]</td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Fetal cells in maternal thyroid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewed XCI [9-11]</td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Lack of exposure to self-antigens on one X chromosome in the thymus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette smoking [26]</td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Hypoxia? Effects on immunity?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress [12]</td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Corticosteroid effect on immunity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodine intake</td>
<td>Mild/moderate deficiency [20, 21]</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iodine excess [22]</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External [13] and internal irradiation [14]</td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Exposure of antigens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs</td>
<td>HAART [15]</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IFNα [16]</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Campath-1H [17]</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection (reviewed in [18])</td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Molecular mimicry, bystander activation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HAART, highly active antiretroviral therapy

Cigarette smoking is associated with higher risk of GD [odds ratio (OR) 3.3] and, even more so, of GO (OR 4.4) [26], and the risk increases with the intensity of smoking [27, 28]. Other risk factors that have been debated but not convincingly confirmed include allergy and seasonal variation [18, 24].
Genetic analyses of complex diseases and genetics of GD

Markers

The markers used in genetic studies include single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), microsatellites, and during the past few years, copy-number variants (CNVs). A SNP is a DNA sequence variation where alternative bases are present in different individuals in one nucleotide position (Figure 1). SNPs are the most abundant type of variation in the human genome [29] and occur, on average, once per 300 nucleotides. For a variation to be called a SNP, it must be present in at least 1% of the population; less frequent variations are described as mutations.

![SNP example]

Figure 1. SNP is a sequence variation where alternative bases are present in different individuals in one nucleotide position. Homozygous carriers have the same nucleotide on both chromosomes while heterozygous carriers have two different.

SNPs may fall within coding sequences of genes, non-coding regions of genes, or in the regions between genes. A SNP in the coding region can result in the same amino acid (synonymous SNP), a different amino acid (non-synonymous SNP) or a stop codon. SNPs in non-coding regions may have consequences for gene splicing or transcription. Microsatellites are highly polymorphic 2–4-nucleotide sequences, such as (CA)n, with variable numbers of repeats. CNVs are segments of DNA that are 1 kilo base pairs (kb) or larger and are present at a variable copy number in comparison with a reference genome [30].

Linkage studies

The two main strategies employed for mapping complex diseases such as GD are linkage and association analyses. Linkage analysis detects genes with major influences on the development of a disease without any a priori assumptions on disease pathogenesis. The principle of linkage analysis is based on the premise that if two markers are located near each other on a chromosome, they will co-segregate in families because the likelihood that a recombination will occur between them is low. Therefore, if a marker is close to a disease susceptibility gene, its alleles will co-segregate with the disease in families and the marker will show linkage with the disease. The locus where the marker is located can then be fine-mapped in search for the susceptibility gene [31, 32].
Association studies

Association analysis is more sensitive than linkage and may detect genes contributing <5% of the total genetic contribution to a disease. However, association studies often face the problem of inability to replicate the reported positive results [33]. Association analyses are performed by comparing the frequency of an allele between patients and controls. If the allele tested is associated with the disease, then it will appear significantly more frequently in patients than in controls. The possible explanations for the existence of an association between an allele and a disease are as follows: (1) the associated allele itself is the causative genetic variant; (2) the associated allele is in linkage disequilibrium with the causative variant; and (3) the frequency in patients differs from controls not because of the investigated trait but because they belong to different populations [31].

Candidate genes

In searches for complex disease susceptibility genes, either the candidate gene approach or genome-wide screening can be applied using linkage or association. A candidate gene is a gene that, based on a priori knowledge of its biological function, is believed to play a role in the genetic susceptibility to a complex disease.

Genome-wide screening

Genome-wide screening is a powerful tool, as it enables scanning of the whole genome for a susceptibility gene without any prior assumptions of disease pathogenesis. It is performed by testing a panel of markers spanning the entire genome for linkage or association (genome-wide association study [GWAS]) with the disease [32]. Genetic markers located close to each other are inherited together more frequently than would occur by chance. This phenomenon is referred to as linkage disequilibrium (LD) and the HapMap Project has demonstrated that the human genome is organized in blocks of high LD, interspersed with spots where recombination occurs [29]. This inheritance pattern of SNPs can be used to create haplotypes, a combination of alleles on the same chromosome that are transmitted together. Tag SNPs are SNPs that are needed to describe a particular haplotype [34]. There are around 10 million SNPs, however, with the haplotype approach, variation in the entire genome can be captured by genotyping approximately 500,000 SNPs [35].

Genetics of GD

The strongest evidence for a genetic contribution to the etiology of GD comes from twin studies where the concordance in monozygotic twins was 35%, compared to 3–7% in dizygotic twins, and 79% of the susceptibility to develop
GD was predicted to be attributable to genetic factors [36]. The two main groups of autoimmune thyroid disease (AITD), GD and Hashimoto thyroiditis, share part of the genetic background and the genetic pattern is complex [37]. The genetics of GD has been extensively studied, but only a few positive results of linkage and candidate gene studies have been replicated, including the following: the human leukocyte antigen DR (HLA-DR) locus on chromosome 6p21; 2q33, where the cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated factor 4 (CTLA4) gene is located; 20q11, harbouring the B cell surface antigen CD40 (CD40) gene; 1p13, encoding the protein tyrosine phosphatase-22 (PTPN22) gene; 8q24, harbouring the thyroglobulin (Tg) gene; 14q31, encoding the thyroid-stimulating hormone receptor (TSHR) gene, Xp11, harbouring the forkhead box P3 (FOXP3) gene (reviewed in [31] and [32]); and 5q31–33 [38–40], which encodes a variety of cytokines, such as interleukin-12b [41], interleukin-13 [42, 43], interleukin-4 [43], and interleukin-3 [44], immunoregulatory factors such as the beta-2-adrenergic receptor (ADRB2) [45], and the secretoglobulin family 3a member 2 (SCGB3A2) gene [46, 47]. Recent studies also reported an association of the Fc receptor–like 3 gene (FCRL3) with GD [48, 49] (Table 2). Many of these genes are part of the immunological synapse, which is a complex interface between antigen-presenting cells and T cells that is formed during T cell activation [32].

**Table 2.** Associations with GD confirmed in several studies. Adapted from [31].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene/Locus</th>
<th>Associated variants</th>
<th>Putative causative variant</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HLA-DR</td>
<td>DR3</td>
<td>DRβ-Arg74</td>
<td>Caucasians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTLA4</td>
<td>3'UTR microsatellite, A/G 49 SNP, CT60 SNP</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Caucasians, Japanese, Koreans, Chinese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD40</td>
<td>- CT (Kozak) SNP</td>
<td>- CT (Kozak) SNP</td>
<td>Caucasians, Japanese, Koreans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTPN22</td>
<td>R620W SNP</td>
<td>R620W SNP</td>
<td>Caucasians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg</td>
<td>S374A SNP, T2334C SNP, M1028V SNP, R19999W</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Caucasians, Chinese, Japanese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSHR</td>
<td>Intron 1 and 7 SNPs</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Caucasians, Japanese</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CTLA4, which is a key negative regulator of the T cell-mediated immune response [50], is linked to and associated with all AITD phenotypes, including GD, in different populations, and also with other autoimmune disorders such as type 1 diabetes mellitus, Addison’s disease, Sjögren’s syndrome, systemic lupus erythematosus, and myasthenia gravis [31]. The causative allele is not known, but the variants with reported association with GD include the microsatellite marker in
the 3’ untranslated region (UTR) [51, 52]; the A/G49 (alanine/threonine) non-
synonymous SNP at position 49 in exon 1 (rs231775), associated with GD in 
Caucasians [53-57], Japanese [58], and Koreans [59]; and the 3’ UTR CT60 SNP 
(rs3087243) [60]. Analysis of the -318 promoter SNP (rs5741909) showed 
inconsistent findings across studies [61, 62].

Pathogenesis
The hyperthyroidism of GD results from circulating immunoglobulin G (IgG) 
antibodies against TSHR (TRAb) produced outside and within the thyroid that 
bind to and activate the receptor, which leads to an increase in intracellular cyclic 
adenosine monophosphate (cAMP). However, not all TRAb present in GD are 
thyroid stimulators. According to their ability to induce the generation of 
intracellular cAMP, TRAb have been classified as stimulating (increasing cAMP 
concentrations), blocking (reducing cAMP concentrations), and neutral (with no 
effect on TSH binding and no effect on cAMP levels) [63]. Activation of TSHR 
stimulates hypertrophy and hyperplasia of the thyroid follicles, causing thyroid 
enlargement, visible as goitre, and increased thyroid hormone production and 
triiodothyronine (T3)/thyroxine (T4) ratio [3]. The blood flow to the thyroid is 
increased due to increased vascularisation, and vascular endothelial growth factor 
(VEGF) has been ascribed a central role in the thyroid angiogenesis [64]. A 
hallmark of the thyroid morphology in GD is the infiltration by lymphocytes, 
dendritic cells, and monocytes/macrophages. Intrathyroidal lymphocytes are a 
major source of autoantibodies and cytokines. The initiation of GD is likely to 
involve B cells and dendritic cells. Later, thyroid cells, in response to cytokines 
produced by infiltrating T cells, express adhesion and co-stimulatory molecules, as 
well as HLA class II molecules, and synthesize cytokines, which sustains the local 
autoimmune process [3].

Clinical features
The symptoms of hyperthyroidism in GD include fatigue, weight loss, 
palpitations, heat intolerance, tremor, sleep disturbances, increased frequency of 
defecation, proximal muscle weakness, mood changes, and difficulty to 
concentrate. Women with GD often have irregular menses, whereas men may 
suffer from gynecomastia, reduced libido, and erectile dysfunction. Signs include 
tachycardia or atrial fibrillation, resting tremor, warm, moist and smooth skin, and 
hyperreflexia [3, 65]. The clinical manifestations attributable to GD are diffuse 
goitre, GO, pretibial myxedema, and thyroid acropachy [3, 65]. The ocular 
engagement, GO, occurs in 25–50% GD cases [66], whereas the other 
eextrathyroidal manifestations, pretibial myxedema (discoloured induration of the 
skin or non-pitting edema on the anterior aspect of the lower extremities) and 
thyroid acropachy (clubbing of the fingers and toes) are rare findings (<1%) [65].
GD, regardless of treatment, can severely impact the quality of life [67], in some cases even long time after euthyroidism has been achieved [68].

The diagnosis of GD is based on clinical symptoms and signs and laboratory findings, including suppressed serum TSH, elevated serum free T4 and/or free T3, and the presence of TRAb, which are diagnostic for GD [3, 65]. The sensitivity and specificity of the TRAb assays have increased over the past 20 years [69-73], which improved the diagnosis of GD and probably contributed to the increasing reported incidence of GD [5]. Thyroid peroxidase antibodies are present in about 75% of patients with GD. The presence of GO or pretibial myxedema is sufficient to confirm the diagnosis of GD in a patient with hyperthyroidism and diffuse goitre. When in doubt, a thyroid radionuclide scan can be performed, which, in case of GD, demonstrates diffusely enhanced uptake in an enlarged thyroid [3].

**Treatment**

Current treatment options for GD include antithyroid drugs (thyrostatics), radioiodine, and surgery. All these treatment modalities have both advantages and disadvantages but are initially similarly effective. The relapse rate is highest after discontinuation of thyrostatics; however, approximately 50% of the patients may be spared lifelong L-thyroxin substitution [74]. Antithyroid drugs, methimazole and propylthiouracil, are used as initial treatment in the majority of patients in Europe and Asia, whereas in the USA, radioiodine is favoured [65]. The primary effect of the antithyroid drugs is interference with thyroid hormone synthesis but these medications are also believed to have immunosuppressive effects [75]. Antithyroid drugs have few serious side effects, except for agranulocytosis (1/1000); however, this rare side effect is reversible upon discontinuation of medication. Teratogenic effects of methimazole have been reported, and the drug is currently not recommended during the first trimester of pregnancy [76, 77]. The main concern with propylthiouracil is liver toxicity, especially in children [78]. Radioiodine treatment has better relapse rates but is contraindicated in pregnancy and during breast-feeding and most often results in hypothyroidism with the need of lifelong thyroid hormone substitution [65]. Radioiodine treatment also leads to increased TRAb levels, which can persist for years and in pregnant women cause fetal or neonatal hyperthyroidism [79]. A major concern is the risk of worsening or new development of GO after radioiodine treatment, especially in smokers, patients with severe hyperthyroidism, and those with high levels of TRAb [80-82], which can, however, be decreased by administration of corticosteroids and cessation of smoking [81, 83]. Surgical total or near-total thyroidectomy does not seem to have any effect on GO but carries operation risks and leads to permanent hypothyroidism. Therefore, this treatment is reserved for selected clinical situations [3, 65, 84].
Graves’ ophthalmopathy

Epidemiology
Graves’ ophthalmopathy, also called thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy, occurs most often in association with GD but can even develop in patients with other thyroid abnormalities [85, 86]. In 85% of cases, ocular symptoms and hyperthyroidism develop simultaneously or within 18 months of each other, although GO can both precede and follow the onset of GD [85, 87]. Of patients with GD, 25–50% develop clinically apparent GO [66]; however, subclinical abnormalities can be demonstrated by computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging in the majority of patients [88, 89]. Severe forms of GO affect 3–5% of patients with GD [28]. GO, like GD, is more common in women than men, with estimated incidences of 16 women and 3 men per 100,000 in the USA [90]. Men and older patients tend to suffer from more severe disease [28]. Europeans have a higher risk for GO than Asians [91]. Genetic studies in GO have thus far given conflicting results. The most promising candidate, CTLA4, was associated with GO in some studies [59, 92], whereas other found no evidence for specific risk of GO beyond that conferred for GD [56, 93, 94].

Cigarette smoking is the strongest modifiable risk factor for GO, and the risk is proportional to the number of cigarettes smoked daily [95, 96]. Smokers also have higher risk of suffering from more severe forms and exhibit poorer outcomes of medical treatment [28]. The mechanism by which smoking promotes GO is not clear. Possible mechanisms include the effects of altered cytokine levels, hypoxia, superoxide radicals, and increased expression of HLA class II molecules [6]. Cigarette smoke extract in vitro increases glycosaminoglycan production by orbital fibroblasts, as well as adipogenesis in synergy with interleukin-1 [97]. Radioiodine treatment leads to the development or worsening of GO, especially in smokers and subjects with high T3 and TRAb [80-82]. Both untreated hyperthyroidism and hypothyroidism may account for progression of Graves’ ophthalmopathy [28]. Another potential trigger is trauma. GO shares some pathogenetic features with pretibial myxedema, which tends to develop at sites of trauma [98, 99]. Other possible risk factors for GO include neck irradiation [100] and drugs such as lithium [101], IFNα [102], and glitazones [103, 104] (Table 3).

Pathogenesis
The key pathogenetic processes in GO include inflammation, excess production by orbital fibroblasts of hydrophilic glycosaminoglycans (GAG) resulting in edema, adipogenesis and, at later stages, fibrosis. Adipogenesis and edema lead to increased volume of the intraorbital components, the extraocular muscles and the
intraorbital adipose tissue, within the limits of the bony orbit, which causes the signs and symptoms of GO [66].

Table 3. Risk factors for development, exacerbation and severity of GO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Established risk factors</th>
<th>Possible risk factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex [90]</td>
<td>Genetic factors [59, 92]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age [28]</td>
<td>Trauma [98, 99]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity [91]</td>
<td>Neck irradiation [100]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette smoking [95, 96]</td>
<td>Lithium [101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radioiodine treatment [80-82]</td>
<td>IFNα [102]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypo- /hyperthyroidism [28]</td>
<td>Glitazones [103, 104]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both the intraorbital adipose tissue and the connective tissue between the muscle fibres is infiltrated by mononuclear cells, which include primarily CD4+ T cells but also CD8+ T cells, B cells, monocytes, macrophages, and mast cells. In early stages of the disease, type 1 helper T cells predominate and produce cytokines associated with cell-mediated immunity, such as interleukin-2, interferon-gamma and tumour necrosis factor-alpha (TNFα). In later stages, the disease shifts towards type 2 helper T cell-mediated humoral immune reactions, with the production of interleukin-4, interleukin-5, and interleukin-10 [105, 106].

Current evidence suggests that orbital fibroblasts are central players in the development of GO, both as targets of the autoimmune reaction and as promoters of orbital inflammation and adipogenesis (Figure 2). Orbital fibroblasts differ from fibroblasts from other sites of the body. One subpopulation, Thy-1+, has the ability to synthesize inflammatory mediators and the GAG hyaluronan in response to cytokine stimulation and to differentiate into myofibroblasts. The other type, Thy-1-, termed preadipocytes, has the potential to differentiate into mature adipocytes [107]. Orbital fibroblasts show an exaggerated inflammatory response to various stimuli. In GO, they synthesize high levels of the proinflammatory prostaglandin E2 and hyaluronan in response to interferon-gamma or leukoregulin (products of activated T cells) and interleukin-1 (a product of macrophages) [106]. Hyaluronan accumulation attracts water and causes edema, with subsequent enlargement of the extraocular muscles and the surrounding connective tissue. Orbital fibroblasts express the co-stimulatory protein CD40. Contact with CD4+ T cells expressing the CD40 ligand (CD40L) results in the formation of CD40/CD40L and the production of high levels of the proinflammatory cytokines interleukin-1 and interleukin-6 [108], which augments B cell maturation and antibody production. Activation of the type 1 insulin-like growth factor receptor (IGF-1R) on orbital fibroblasts leads to secretion of the chemokines interleukin-16 and RANTES [109], which enhances the recruitment of immune cells into the orbit. Orbital fibroblasts also produce transforming growth factor-beta, which stimulates both the production of hyaluronan and the differentiation of the Thy-1+ subgroup into...
myofibroblasts that participate in the development of fibrosis [106, 107]. In addition, inflammatory cytokines and antibodies stimulate the expression of intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) on orbital fibroblasts, which can promote leukocyte migration into inflammatory sites and many T and B cell responses [110].

An important feature of the pathogenesis of GO is adipogenesis, the differentiation of preadipocytes into adipocytes. *De novo* adipogenesis is demonstrated by upregulation of adipocyte-related genes, such as peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ), interleukin-6, adiponectin, and leptin, in intraorbital tissue [111]. PPARγ agonists stimulate adipogenesis in cultured orbital fibroblasts and the TSHR expression increases in parallel with adipogenesis *in vitro* [112]. Reactivation of GO has been described in a patient with inactive GO [103], and eye protrusion has been observed in a group of patients without GO after treatment with the PPARγ agonist pioglitazone for type 2 diabetes [104].

**Figure 2.** Pathogenesis of GO. The interplay between infiltrating inflammatory cells and orbital fibroblasts results in production of GAG and inflammatory mediators by these cells, as well as adipogenesis and fibrosis.
The question of autoantigens in GO is still under debate, but the fact that GO is in nearly 100% of patients associated with AITD and that the orbital tissues are infiltrated with immune and inflammatory cells suggests that it is an autoimmune disorder. Because of the close association of GO with GD, the finding of TRAb in virtually all patients with GO and the correlation of TRAb levels and disease activity [113], researchers have hypothesized that the thyroid and the orbit share the same autoantigen, TSHR. TSHR is overexpressed in orbital tissues of patients with GO compared to controls [114, 115], and its expression correlates with disease activity [116]. In orbital fibroblasts transfected with an activated mutant TSH construct, both early adipocyte differentiation and hyaluronan production was stimulated [117, 118], suggesting that TRAb ligation to TSHR on orbital fibroblasts directly contributes to the pathogenesis of GO. However, the expression of TSHR even in active disease is very low [116], and both TSHR mRNA and protein are detectable in several other tissues unrelated to GD [119, 120]. Another possible autoantigen is IGF-1R. Orbital fibroblasts in patients with GO express higher levels of IGF-1R than normal fibroblasts [121]. The presence of IGF-1R autoantibodies has been demonstrated in patients with GO. Moreover, these antibodies are able to activate orbital fibroblasts via IGF-1R and stimulate them to produce chemokines and hyaluronan [109, 122]. Intensive research has also focused on extraocular muscles as possible antigens, as antibodies against these are present in patients with GO (reviewed in [123]). However, it is still unclear if these antibodies represent a secondary phenomenon or are directly involved in the pathogenesis of GO.

Why is the orbit a target for thyroid autoimmunity? One possible explanation could be a combination of the presence of antigenic structures recognized by autoreactive cells involved in AITD and features of the local environment in the orbit, such as the unique characteristics of the orbital fibroblasts or the anatomy of the orbital space. The orbital anatomy is suggested to contribute to increased intraorbital pressure and impairment of the venous and lymphatic drainage, prolonging the half-life of inflammatory cytokines and worsening the inflammation [124].

**Clinical features**

GO is a chronic, disfiguring disease with major negative impact on the health-related quality of life [125, 126]. Symptoms include changes in appearance, gritty sensation, light sensitivity, excess tearing, double vision, and pressure or ache behind the eyes, in addition to symptoms caused by dysthyroid optic neuropathy (DON), such as altered colour perception, visual field defects, blurring of vision and decreased visual acuity/blindness [66, 106]. Common signs (Figure 3) include upper eyelid retraction, proptosis, periorbital and eyelid edema and erythema, conjunctival chemosis (edema) and injection (redness), exposure keratitis (corneal
injury due to dryness), and extraocular muscle dysfunction [66, 106]. DON, which is diagnosed by an ophthalmologist as optical disc swelling, impaired visual acuity or colour vision, abnormal perimetry or afferent pupil defect, occurs in approximately 5% of GO patients [127].

![Common signs of GO, eyelid retraction (a) and conjunctival injection (b). From [128]. Courtesy of Dr. B. Hallengren and Dr. P.Åsman.](image)

Most patients have both adipose tissue and muscle enlargement, but one or the other can predominate, and the predominance can even vary during the course of the disease. Patients under 40 years of age tend to have more fat expansion, whereas patients over 60 years of age develop more muscle hypertrophy [89].

The diagnosis of GO is based on the presence of eye signs and symptoms together with thyroid autoimmunity (hyper- or hypothyroidism and positive thyroid autoantibodies) and the exclusion of an alternative diagnosis. In some cases, such as unilateral involvement, orbital imaging might be necessary to exclude another pathology.

In the context of GO, the terms ‘activity’ and ‘severity’ are often discussed. The natural course of GO includes an initial phase of worsening of symptoms and signs followed by a plateau phase without further deterioration. A phase of gradual improvement follows until the disease becomes stationary. These first three phases are referred to as the active phase and represent the period when inflammation is present [127, 129]. The final stage, in which the inflammation has resolved, is known as the inactive phase. During this phase, fibrosis may develop such that residual features, such as lid abnormality, extraocular muscle dysfunction, or proptosis, might persist. The term ‘severity’ describes the degree of functional or cosmetic deficit regardless of stage [127]. Determining the activity and severity of the disease has therapeutic implications. Immunomodulatory therapies can only be effective in the presence of inflammation during the active phase, whereas reconstructive surgery should only be performed in the inactive phase, when no further spontaneous improvement is expected. Moreover, DON develops only
during the active phase. In clinical practice, activity is often evaluated by the clinical activity score (CAS) described by Mourits et al. [130]. Severity is assessed by examining eyelid swelling, eyelid aperture, proptosis, eye motility, visual acuity, and colour vision. Pupil responses, the appearance of the cornea and the optic discs are also evaluated [131]. The modified NOSPECS classification was developed in 1977 [132] as a tool to grade the severity of GO. It is now generally accepted that NOSPECS is of little value in predicting outcome [127] and has been criticized for a number of other reasons [133]; however, it is still widely used as a classification instrument in clinical studies.

Treatment

The three established treatment options today include corticosteroids, orbital irradiation, and surgery. Immunosuppressants such as cyclosporine, intravenous immunoglobulin, azathioprine, and ciamexone have all been tested and proven effective in some patients with GO. However, adverse effects and high cost reduce their use to complementing corticosteroids in severe and active GO [131].

The decision whether to treat and which modality to use depends on the disease activity and severity [134]. Patients with mild disease (CAS<3) usually do not require immunosuppressive or surgical treatment and can be managed conservatively using artificial tears, lubricant ointments, sunglasses, prisms, or head elevation during sleep and the majority will improve spontaneously within 3-6 months [135]. Moderate to severe cases (CAS≥3) in the active phase benefit from immunosuppressive therapy, either with corticosteroids, orbital irradiation, or a combination of the two. Sight-threatening disease with DON and/or severe corneal damage may require decompression surgery in case the immunosuppressive therapy fails. In the inactive phase, patients do not respond to immunosuppressive treatment; however, reconstructive surgery can be considered to correct diplopia or for cosmetic reasons.

Glucocorticoids have been used in the treatment of active and severe GO for 50 years and have a proven beneficial effect on soft tissue signs, ocular motility, and visual acuity, whereas the effect on proptosis is limited [136, 137]. The debated issues regarding treatment with corticosteroids include the route of administration (oral or intravenous), the dosage, and the regimen. The main disadvantage of oral steroid treatment, which typically is administered for several months, is the iatrogenic Cushing syndrome with many serious side effects. A recent meta-analysis revealed that intravenous-pulse corticosteroids have a small but statistically significant advantage in terms of CAS response compared with oral corticosteroids and cause significantly fewer adverse events [138]. Therefore, they are currently the treatment of choice [134]. The main concern with intravenous administration is the risk for rare severe adverse events reported in the literature,
such as liver damage [139, 140] or cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events [141].

The rationale of orbital radiotherapy in GO resides in the radiosensitivity of lymphocytes that infiltrate the orbit in GO. A meta-analysis found no advantage of radiotherapy over sham radiation regarding CAS or proptosis, but radiotherapy did affect diplopia, and the combined treatment with corticosteroids was significantly better than with either modality alone [138]. Radiotherapy is a safe treatment; however, diabetics, especially when hypertensive, are at risk of developing retinopathy [142], and in patients with known retinopathy, radiotherapy is contraindicated. Initial worsening of soft tissue inflammation is common but can be diminished with corticosteroids.

Due to the numerous side effects of corticosteroid treatment, intensive research is ongoing with the aim of identifying novel therapeutic options. Somatostatin analogues have been tested to treat GO, with conflicting results. The rationale behind somatostatin use is to take advantage of the immunomodulatory effect of these drugs as well as the presence of somatostatin receptors on orbital fibroblasts [143] and the correlation of GO disease activity with the uptake of somatostatin analogues in orbital scintigrams [144]. Somatostatin analogues have a marginal advantage over placebo in moderately severe GO [138], and given their high cost, they are currently not recommended for routine treatment of GO.

Novel therapeutic strategies for GO have emerged in recent years. Rituximab is an anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody causing B cell depletion and is effective in rheumatoid arthritis [145]. It has been tested in GO with promising results [146-148], and a randomized trial of this agent is ongoing [106]. Other strategies tested include targeting TNFα [149] or TNFα-receptor [150]. A recent consensus statement by the European Group on Graves’ Orbitopathy (EUGOGO) [134] aiming at improving the outcome of patients with GO emphasized the need for an evidence-based approach in treating GO; therefore, randomized clinical trials of these new potential treatments are essential.

Decompression surgery is, in the active phase, reserved for severe cases of GO with serious corneal damage or DON irreversible to medical treatment [151]. Removing the medial, lateral, or inferior orbital wall with concomitant fat removal reduces intraorbital volume. Decompression surgery provides immediate relief of the optical nerve compression and reduces the need for long-term steroid treatment but is associated with surgical risks such as sinusitis, haemorrhage, cerebrospinal fluid leak, diplopia (especially when using medial decompression), and, rarely, visual loss [152]. In the chronic phase, rehabilitative decompression surgery can reduce proptosis and eyelid displacement [153] and can be followed by squint and
eyelid surgery (blepharoplasty) or eyebrow plasty, aiming at restoring the patient’s appearance.

Lymphedema

The function of the lymph is to return proteins and colloids to the blood compartment. Lymphedema arises due to an imbalance between the intact capillary filtration and disturbed lymph drainage. There are two types of lymphedema: primary, which is inborn and develops without any known insult, and secondary, which is acquired and can be caused by surgery, radiotherapy, infection, inflammation, or lymphangiotrombosis [154]. According to recent findings, lymphedema seems to involve more than just passive accumulation of lymph, as complex changes also occur in the tissue surrounding the damaged lymph vessels. These changes appear to be associated with an inflammatory process [155, 156]. The lack of effective drainage of immune cells from the tissue leads to persistent inflammation [155]. Impaired lymphatic transport causes accumulation of hyaluronan, which attracts water and causes edema in the initial phases [157]. At later stages, the condition is characterized by excessive fat accumulation and fibrosis [158, 159].

Adipogenesis

It is now clear that adipose tissue is an active endocrine organ that secretes many proteins influencing energy homeostasis, immune functions, angiogenesis, coagulation, and blood pressure [160]. Recent findings also provided evidence of a link between adipogenesis and inflammation. Obesity is regarded as a state of chronic inflammation with increased expression of TNFα, C-reactive protein, interleukin-6 and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 [161]. Chronic inflammation induces enlargement of adjacent adipose tissue, which has been proposed a mechanism for adipose tissue hypertrophy in, e.g., Crohn’s disease or lymphedema [162].

Adipogenesis is the process of maturation of mesenchymal cells into mature fat cells, adipocytes. The first step, known as determination, involves the commitment of a pluripotent mesenchymal cell to the adipocyte lineage, which results in conversion into a preadipocyte. During the following phases, the preadipocyte takes on the characteristics of a mature adipocyte [160]. In vitro, adipogenesis has been extensively studied in the mouse preadipocyte 3T3-L1 and 3T3-F442A cell lines. The first stage in the differentiation process is growth arrest at confluence. The cells undergo one or two rounds of cell division, known as the mitotic clonal
expansion phase, on days 1 and 2 post-confluence, with concomitant expression of immediate early genes (IEGs) [163]. IEGs are expressed within 30–60 minutes in response to mitogens, and their function is to trigger transcriptional cascades leading to the different biological phenotypes. This phase ceases simultaneously with the expression of the central regulators of adipogenesis, PPARγ and CCAAT/enhancer binding protein alpha. A second growth arrest follows on days 3–4, after which the cells enter the terminal differentiation phase on days 4–10, with expression of the genes that characterize the adipocyte phenotype, such as stearoyl-coenzyme A desaturase (SCD) and fatty acid synthase. After this, secreted products such as leptin or adiponectin are synthesized [160, 164].

Thyrostimulin

TSH belongs to the glycoprotein family of hormones together with follitropin, lutropin, and human chorionic gonadotropin. The structure of these hormones consists of a common alpha subunit, shared by all the members of the family, and a hormone-specific beta subunit. Recently, two additional human glycoprotein hormone subunit-like genes have been identified and named alpha 2 (GPA2) and beta 5 (GPB5) [165]. GPA2 and GPB5 have conserved cysteine residues, similar to those found in the well-characterized alpha and beta subunits [165]. The A2–B5 heterodimer stimulates TSHR both in vitro and in vivo in male rats [166]. In the rat, both units are expressed in a variety of tissues, including the anterior pituitary and the thyroid [165, 166], and researchers have speculated that this new hormone might have functions in thyroid biology or disease. However, unlike the TSH-beta subunit, transcription of GPB5 is not regulated by thyroid hormone, and thyrotropin-releasing hormone stimulation of primary cultures of rat pituitaries does not increase GPB5 expression [167, 168]. As GPB5 is widely distributed in Amphioxus embryos [169] and abundantly expressed in newborn mice [170], it was suggested to have a role during embryologic development. Mice overexpressing GB5 develop biochemical and clinical signs of hyperthyroidism; however, mice in which the GPB5 gene is knocked out do not exhibit a distinct phenotype [170]. In another animal study, knock-out of GPB5 resulted in moderate deviations of the hypothalamus-pituitary-thyroid axis, with more pronounced differences in juvenile mice compared to adult mice, which further supported the hypothesis that GPB5 has a role during development [171].
AIMS

Both GD and GO are associated with decreased quality of life. GO is a disfiguring, potentially sight-threatening condition, and is currently not preventable. The treatment options for GO are limited and associated with side effects. There are many areas that require further research including genetics, trigger factors, and the molecular pathogenesis of GD and GO, as well as novel therapeutic regimens for GO. The overall aim of this thesis was to contribute to the understanding of the molecular etiology of GD and GO.

The specific aims were as follows:

I. To explore the mechanisms leading to GO by comparing gene expression in the intraorbital adipose tissue of patients with severe active GO and healthy controls.

II. To investigate a potential role for thyrostimulin in thyroid biology and disease by studying its expression in thyroid tissue of patients with multinodular goitre and GD and healthy controls, as well as in intraorbital adipose tissue of patients with GO and healthy controls.

III. To define target genes for therapeutic intervention by studying gene expression of markers of adipogenesis and inflammation during the active and chronic phase of GO and to establish an in vitro model for studying adipogenesis.

IV. To identify potential common pathogenetic mechanisms in GO and lymphedema by comparing gene expression in adipose tissue from patients with chronic GO and chronic lymphedema.

V. To investigate whether genetic variation in genes overexpressed in active GO is associated with GD and/or GO by performing a case-control study.
STUDY SUBJECTS

Swedish subjects

GD2002
In 2002, a biobank named GD2002 was established in Malmö, southern Sweden, where serum, plasma and buffy coat are collected and stored. Patients with a history of GD and newly diagnosed patients with GD are included in the biobank after their informed consent. The biobank now consists of approximately 400 GD patients with and without GO and contains a database with the phenotypic data, including sex, age, ethnicity, family history, medical history, and smoking habits, of each patient. Additionally, biopsies of thyroid and intraorbital adipose tissues from the patients undergoing thyroid or ophthalmopathy surgery are saved. In study V, 312 GD patients from GD2002 with (n = 86) and without (n = 226) GO as well as 621 sex and ethnicity matched controls from Malmö were included.

Phenotypic characterization
The diagnosis of GD in all studies was performed by an endocrinologist. The diagnosis was based on clinical signs and symptoms and biochemical findings including suppressed serum TSH, increased serum free T4 and/or free T3, and the presence of TRAb and/or a diffuse uptake on technetium scintigraphy.

The diagnosis of GO was determined by an endocrinologist and/or an ophthalmologist based on the various clinical signs; eyelid retraction alone was not classified as GO. CAS [130] was used to assess the disease activity.

Chronic lymphedema was diagnosed clinically by a senior plastic surgeon with special interest in lymphedema.

Tissue biopsies
In studies I-IV, which focused on gene expression analysis, biopsies from intraorbital adipose tissue from patients with active GO who underwent lateral decompression and from patients with chronic GO who underwent restorative eyelid surgery were used. Biopsies from intraorbital adipose tissue from individuals without thyroid disease that were operated with restorative eyelid surgery were used as controls. Patients with active GO were all diagnosed with severe GO with DON and were treated with corticosteroids prior to surgery. Patients classified with chronic GO had no change in their disease state for at least one year prior to inclusion in the study and were not treated with corticosteroids.
before surgery. During the eyelid surgery, intraorbital tissue was collected after cleavage of the orbital septum. Therefore, although the patients with chronic GO and the controls underwent eyelid surgery, the tissue obtained was intraorbital and of the same origin as the one obtained by lateral decompression in patients with active GO.

In studies I and II, subcutaneous and visceral adipose tissue was obtained during bariatric surgery.

In study III, biopsies from normal thyroid tissue, thyroid tissue in multinodular toxic goitre, and thyroid tissue in GD were collected during thyroid surgery.

In study IV, patients who underwent an operation for breast cancer and developed lymphedema as a complication of the treatment were included. These patients suffered from chronic lymphedema that was unsuccessfully treated with conservative measures and, subsequently, underwent liposuction of the lymphedematous arm. Subcutaneous adipose tissue was collected from the lymphedematous arm before starting liposuction and from the unaffected arm of the same individual, which served as a control.

All subjects gave informed consent, and all studies were approved by the local ethics committee.

Polish subjects

In Study V, two SNPs (rs12136280 and rs3753793) were also genotyped in a Polish cohort including 562 GD patients with (n = 262) and without (n = 282, data missing in 18 individuals) GO as well as in 855 (for rs12136280) and 475 (for rs3753793) controls. The diagnostic criteria for GD and GO were the same as in the Swedish material. All subjects were Caucasians of Polish origin. No data on sex, age, ethnicity, or smoking were available for the controls.
METHODS

DNA and RNA extraction

DNA was extracted from whole blood using the MaxiPrep Kit (QIAGEN, Germany). All biopsies were treated with RNAlater (Ambion Inc., Austin, TX) to minimize RNA degradation. RNA was extracted with the RNeasy Mini or Midi Kit (QIAGEN, Germany). This extraction kit uses columns containing a silica membrane to which RNA binds and the contaminants are washed away. In Study IV, RNeasy MinElute Cleanup Kit (QIAGEN, Germany) was used to isolate high quantity and quality RNA suitable for subsequent microarray analysis. RNA quality and quantity were measured an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer and Nanodrop ND-1000, respectively.

Reverse Transcription-Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR)

PCR is a technique commonly used in molecular biology to amplify DNA. In RT-PCR, an RNA strand is first reverse transcribed into its complementary DNA (cDNA) using the enzyme reverse transcriptase, followed by amplification of cDNA using traditional or real-time PCR. In Study II, RNA was treated with DNAse (Invitrogen, UK) to eliminate genomic DNA contamination prior to cDNA synthesis. In studies I-III, cDNA was synthesised using Superscript II RNase H Reverse Transcriptase and random hexamer primers (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). In studies II and IV, a QuantiTect Reverse Transcription Kit (QIAGEN, Germany) was used for cDNA synthesis. The reverse transcription step was followed by traditional PCR and gel electrophoresis in Study III and by real-time PCR in the remaining expression experiments in Studies I-IV.

Real-Time PCR

Real-time PCR is used for quantification of gene expression. In contrast to traditional PCR, it measures amplification as it occurs. It is quantitative because data are collected during the exponential phase of PCR when quantity of the PCR product is directly proportional to the amount of input DNA. The technique is based on the 5’ to 3’ exonuclease activity of the enzyme TaqDNA polymerase [172] (Figure 4). A hybridization probe that binds between two PCR primers is labelled with a fluorescent reporter dye and a quencher dye. The quencher dye prevents the reporter dye from emitting fluorescence when the probe is hybridized.
and intact. During PCR, the probe is cleaved by the exonuclease activity as the polymerase reaches it. This cleavage leads to release of the quencher molecule, and the reporter dye emits fluorescence [172].

**Figure 4.** During the real-time PCR reaction, the polymerization-associated 5´-3´ nuclease activity of the DNA polymerase detaches the reporter dye from the quencher dye, and the reporter dye emits fluorescence. Adapted from [173].

During the initial cycles of the amplification, the fluorescence emitted by the reporter dye is too small to be detected. The first cycle that gives a value above the baseline is set to be the threshold cycle (Ct), which is inversely proportional to the amount of starting material.

All real-time PCR experiments were performed on the ABI PRISM 7900HT sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) using the TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix and the TaqMan Gene Expression Assays (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) or primers and probes (MWG Biotech AB, Germany) designed using the Primer express software (Applied Biosystems,
For analysis of the gene expression data, the standard curve method was used. This method determines the amount RNA in the sample from a standard curve with known amounts of RNA [173]. To adjust for differences in the amount of starting material between samples, quantification is performed relative to an internal standard, such as a housekeeping gene, whose expression does not change in the tissue(s) studied. In Studies I-IV, cyclophilin A was used as a housekeeping gene after controlling for stable expression in all conditions investigated using the human Endogenous Control Array (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). In Study II, mouse beta-actin (β-actin) was used as a housekeeping gene for expression studies in mouse 3T3-L1 preadipocytes.

Microarray analysis

Microarray is a powerful technique used for gene expression profiling or SNP detection. In a single gene expression profiling experiment, the expression levels of thousands of genes can be simultaneously determined using microarray as compared to only a few genes when using real-time PCR. The Affymetrix oligonucleotide microarray technology is based on slides with a solid surface filled with spots containing thousands of oligonucleotide probes. On the Affymetrix arrays, the probes are 25 nucleotides long, and the sequence of each probe is specific for a certain transcript. The samples to be analyzed are labelled with a fluorescent dye and hybridized to the arrays. Then, a laser is used to visualise the hybridized transcripts. The emitted fluorescence is detected as a measure of the transcript level and is used for analysis of differences in gene expression between groups of samples (Figure 5). Quality of microarray data depends upon various factors, including the effects of background noise, appropriate normalization of the data, and identification of statistically significant changes. The real challenge is the subsequent interpretation of the biological relevance of the enormous amount of data generated. Significant changes in gene expression are usually confirmed with an alternative strategy, such as real-time PCR, to exclude false-positive results.

The GeneChip® Human Genome U133A 2.0 Array from Affymetrix is an array representing 14,500 well-characterized human genes. The GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array from Affymetrix combines the content of the GeneChip® Human Genome U133A 2.0 Array with 6,500 additional genes, thereby covering most of the human genome. In Study I, the GeneChip® Human Genome U133A and in Study IV the GeneChip® Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 arrays were used. The gene expression analyses were performed at the SCIBLU Microarray Resource Center at Lund University, Sweden, using the GeneChip® Expression 3′-Amplification Reagents One-cycle cDNA synthesis kit (Affymetrix
Inc, Santa Clara, CA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. In Study I and IV, AffyProbeMiner [174] was used to regroup the individual probes into consistent probesets and remap the probesets to the corresponding correct sets of mRNA transcripts for the GeneChip® Human Genome U133A and GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 arrays.

Figure 5. Schematic overview of the different steps required to perform an Affymetrix GeneChip expression profiling experiment. Adapted from Affymetrix.

Six different algorithms for normalization and summarization, which combine the multiple probe intensities for each probeset to produce an expression value, were used (MAS5.0, dChip-PM/MM, d-Chip PM Only, RMA, GC-RMA, and PLIER) [175-180]. Further sorting based on the MAS5.0 [175] was performed to classify each probe set as a present call (expression above background) or an absent call (low or no expression). The probesets with a present call in at least one group [181] were included. In addition, probe-level analysis using the significance score (S-Score) algorithm [182, 183] was performed. In comparisons between groups, probesets with at least 2-fold change in all the six normalization algorithms and with an S-Score algorithm p-value <0.05 were considered to have a significantly different expression. Sets of the differentially expressed genes were analyzed with the Web-based Gene Set Analysis Toolkit (WebGestalt) [184], which determines
the extent of over- or under-expression of sets of genes in a microarray experiment relative to a reference state.

Selection of SNPs

SNPs for Study V were selected using data from the Hap Map consortium [29]. Using the tag SNPs approach reduces the number of SNPs that must be genotyped to account for the genetic variation in a region. Therefore, the region surrounding each of the selected genes, including an extra 10 kb upstream and downstream, was analyzed by Tagger in the Haploview program [185], which provided tag SNPs for each gene. Additionally, potentially important SNPs were chosen from TAMAL (http://neoref.ils.unc.edu/tamal/) as described in [186] and from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) SNP database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP/). Minor allele frequency (MAF) for all SNPs was >0.05.

Genotyping

In a single sample, a large number of SNPs can be simultaneously genotyped by iPLEX™ assay (Sequenom Inc., San Diego, CA) using the Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization - Time of Flight Mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) [187, 188] (Figure 6). Primers of different lengths are designed so that they end one nucleotide before each SNP. Dideoxynucleotide triphosphates (ddNTPs) are used to stop the PCR reaction when incorporated into the PCR product, resulting in a mixture of extension products of different lengths and mass depending upon the sequence and SNPs. These products are spotted on a solid matrix and ionised by a laser. The ionised DNA molecules are then accelerated by an electric field and directed towards a detector. During this flight, they are separated according to their mass to charge ratio; thus, the molecules reach the detector at different times, which produces a spectrum from which the genotypes can be read. In Study V, SNPs were genotyped by this method at the DNA/RNA Genotyping Lab, SWEGENE Resource Center for Profiling Polygenic Disease at Lund University, Sweden.

Adipocyte cell culture

3T3-L1 is a mouse preadipocyte cell line used in research on adipose tissue. These cells have a fibroblast-like morphology but can, under appropriate conditions, differentiate into mature adipocytes. In Study III, the 3T3-L1 preadipocytes were
grown to confluence. Confluent 3T3-L1 cells were incubated with a standard differentiation cocktail consisting of dexamethasone (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), insulin (Novo Nordisk, Denmark), and 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (Invitrogen, UK) or rosiglitazone (Glaxo-Smith-Kline, UK). For inhibition studies, cells were treated with 0.4–10 μM diclofenac (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) or vehicle for 6 days. Differentiated adipocytes were stained with Oil Red, visualized under a light microscope, and the average number of lipid-containing cells was calculated.

Figure 6. The iPLEX® assay is based on multiplex PCR followed by a single-base primer extension reaction. After the PCR, remaining nucleotides are deactivated by shrimp alkaline phosphatase treatment. The single-base primer extension step is performed, and the primer extension products are analysed by Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization Time of Flight Mass spectrometry (MALDI TOF MS). Adapted from [188].

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Two sided p-values below 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Non-parametric analyses were used due to small sample sizes, including Wilcoxon signed-rank test for paired comparisons, Mann-Whitney U
test for unpaired comparisons, and Kruskal-Wallis one-way analysis of variance for comparing three or more groups. Normally distributed variables were analysed using analysis of variance. In Study V, logistic regression was used to estimate the odds ratios (OR). OR is a prediction of the fold change in risk due to a selected factor regarding the studied phenotype. Logistic regression allows adjustment for confounding factors such as age, gender, or ethnicity. In study V, all analyses were adjusted for age and smoking. Haplotype analysis and association testing was carried out using the Haplovview program version 4.0 [185]. Statistical calculations were performed using the SPSS statistical package version 16.0 or 17.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) and PLINK version 1.07 (http://pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/~purcell/plink/index.shtml) [189].
RESULTS

Study I

Gene expression in intraorbital adipose tissue was studied in patients with severe active GO and individuals without thyroid disease to provide insight into molecular mechanisms involved in the pathogenesis of GO.

The microarray analysis showed that 159 genes were upregulated in active GO compared with controls. Given the predominance of adipocytes and inflammatory cells in intraorbital tissue in GO, we focused on adipocyte- and inflammation-related genes. Among the genes with a case/control expression ratio greater than 4, SCD was the only adipocyte-specific gene. SCD, a product of mature adipocytes and a marker of adipogenesis, had a case/control ratio of more than 4 in the microarray analysis, and real-time PCR confirmed its overexpression in the patient group (p<0.05).

In our search for adipocyte-specific genes, we then selected genes with an expression value of ≥100 in both patients and controls on the microarray. This approach excluded many genes representing infiltrating inflammatory cells or contaminating glandular tissue because normal adipose tissue lacks or contains sparse numbers of these cells. The greatest case/control ratio was seen for the IEG cysteine-rich, angiogenic inducer 61 (CYR61), which was closely followed by nine other IEGs. The search for known adipocyte-related IEGs was then extended to the whole group of genes with an expression value ≥100 in either the case or the control group. Among these, 14 adipocyte-related IEGs were overexpressed with a case/control ratio of more than 2. IEGs were then divided into groups according to their function (Table 4), and one gene from each group (except for the metallothioneins), was selected for confirmation with real-time PCR.

With real-time PCR, IEGs CYR61, cyclooxygenase 2 (COX2), B cell translocation gene 2 (BTG2), dual specificity phosphatase 1 (DUSP1), and early growth response 1 (EGR1) were all overexpressed (more than 4 times) in the patients compared with the controls (p<0.01), which replicated the microarray results. Despite lengthy high-dose corticosteroid treatment, COX2 was overexpressed in the patients when analyzed with both microarray and real-time PCR. This was in contrast to cyclooxygenase 1 (COX1), which was suppressed in the patient group (p<0.05).
Table 4. IEGs upregulated in active GO compared with healthy intraorbital fat in the microarray analysis. Genes are divided into groups according to their function.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Gene ID</th>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>Case/control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adhesion factors</td>
<td>CYR61</td>
<td>cysteine-rich, angiogenic inducer 61</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>THBS1</td>
<td>thrombospondin 1</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG synthesis enzymes</td>
<td>COX2</td>
<td>cyclooxygenase 2</td>
<td>8.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphatases</td>
<td>DUSP1</td>
<td>dual specificity phosphatase 1</td>
<td>3.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metallothioneins</td>
<td>MT1H</td>
<td>metallothionein 1H</td>
<td>3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MT1F</td>
<td>metallothionein 1F</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MT1G</td>
<td>metallothionein 1G</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcription factors</td>
<td>FOS</td>
<td>FBJ murine osteosarcoma viral oncogene homolog</td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EGR1</td>
<td>early growth response 1</td>
<td>9.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZFP36</td>
<td>zinc finger protein 36</td>
<td>7.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JUN</td>
<td>jun proto-oncogene</td>
<td>3.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IER2</td>
<td>immediate early response 2</td>
<td>3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IER3</td>
<td>immediate early response 3</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KLF6</td>
<td>Kruppel-like factor 6</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ID3</td>
<td>inhibitor of DNA binding 3</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cofactors of TF</td>
<td>BTG2</td>
<td>B cell translocation gene 2</td>
<td>3.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PG - prostaglandin, TF - transcription factors

Inflammation and adipogenesis play important roles in the pathogenesis of GO. CYR61 has functions in both processes and showed one of the highest case/control ratios on the microarray. Therefore, we searched for CYR61-responsive genes on the microarray. We found that matrix metalloproteinase 3 (MMP3), interleukin-1 beta (IL-1β), and VEGF were upregulated, which was confirmed with real-time PCR (p<0.05). Expression of CYR61 was also studied in chronic GO and was higher than in controls, but lower than in active GO (p=0.006) with real-time PCR (Figure 7).

In summary, adipocyte-related IEGs were overexpressed in GO, and CYR61 was determined to be a marker of disease activity.
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Figure 7. Expression of CYR61 in active GO (n=5), chronic GO (n=5), and controls (n=5), measured with real-time PCR and normalized to cyclophilin A (CyA). Mean values are represented by horizontal lines. P=0.006 (Kruskal-Wallis).
Study II

To explore a potential role for thyrostimulin in thyroid biology and disease, we examined the expression of the beta subunit of thyrostimulin, GPB5, in human thyroid and intraorbital adipose tissue with RT-PCR and real-time PCR. We used the same primers as an earlier study [166]; however, these primers did not span the exon-intron boundary, which introduces the risk of amplifying genomic DNA. Thus, we treated the RNA with DNase to eliminate genomic DNA. Before DNase treatment, GPB5 showed weak expression in the orbital (Table 5) and thyroid (Table 6) tissues; however, this expression disappeared after DNase treatment.

Table 5. Real-time PCR expression of GPB5 relative to cyclophilin A (CyA) in patients with active GO (P1-5) and controls (C1-5) before and after DNase treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No DNase</th>
<th>GPB5</th>
<th>CyA</th>
<th>GPB5/CyA</th>
<th>DNase</th>
<th>GPB5</th>
<th>CyA</th>
<th>GPB5/CyA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>215.96</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>37.79</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>195.36</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>P2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>94.34</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>174.55</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>P3</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>21.40</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>135.88</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>P4</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>45.73</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>254.88</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>P5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>107.52</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>60.88</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>21.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>95.03</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>23.55</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>47.65</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>15.51</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>20.18</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>C4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>10.82</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>121.13</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>C5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>37.83</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Real-time PCR expression of GPB5 relative to cyclophilin A (CyA) in normal thyroid (NT1-6), Graves’ thyroid (GD1) and multinodular goitre (MNG1-6) before and after DNase treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No DNase</th>
<th>GPB5</th>
<th>CyA</th>
<th>GPB5/CyA</th>
<th>DNase</th>
<th>GPB5</th>
<th>CyA</th>
<th>GPB5/CyA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NT1</td>
<td>23.25</td>
<td>48.50</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>NT1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>27.11</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT2</td>
<td>105.29</td>
<td>41.36</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>NT2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>16.55</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT3</td>
<td>18.78</td>
<td>84.74</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>NT3</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>58.42</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT4</td>
<td>11.70</td>
<td>71.31</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>NT4</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>74.18</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT5</td>
<td>15.13</td>
<td>104.14</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>NT5</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>49.28</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT6</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>41.20</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>NT6</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>21.64</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GD1</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>66.94</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>GD1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>33.68</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNG1</td>
<td>14.86</td>
<td>53.46</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>MNG1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>21.71</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNG2</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>48.89</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>MNG2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>28.32</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNG3</td>
<td>16.37</td>
<td>69.96</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>MNG3</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>51.83</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNG4</td>
<td>14.40</td>
<td>84.92</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>MNG4</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>59.46</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNG5</td>
<td>18.57</td>
<td>56.52</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>MNG5</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>55.55</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNG6</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>80.23</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>MNG6</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>59.15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TSHR was used as a positive control and was expressed both before and after DNase treatment in the orbital and thyroid tissues. When a different method, which included a genomic DNA elimination step, was used for cDNA synthesis (QuantiTect Reverse Transcription Kit), we obtained the same results. In agreement with the findings in the human tissues, expression of GPB5 in a commercially available rat thyroid tissue showed expression before, but not after, DNase treatment.

In conclusion, we did not detect the expression of GPB5 in intraorbital adipose tissue, normal thyroid tissue, and thyroid tissue from GD or multinodular goitre. The expression of GPB5 in rat thyroid tissue reported previously was not confirmed.

Study III

In Study III, we tried to define targets for therapeutic intervention in GO by studying the expression of markers of inflammation and adipogenesis in active and chronic GO as well as in 3T3-L1 preadipocytes.

SCD, a marker of adipose tissue, and COX2, a marker of inflammation, were both overexpressed in active GO compared with the chronic phase (Figure 8).
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**Figure 8.** Real-time PCR expression in intraorbital adipose tissue of patients with active (n=5) and chronic (n=5) GO. The results are normalized to cyclophilin A (CyA). P-values were calculated using the Mann-Whitney U test. (a) SCD (p=0.009) (b) COX2 (p=0.003).

In growth-arrested 3T3-L1 preadipocytes stimulated with rosiglitazone, COX2 expression rapidly increased within one hour and then decreased to undetectable levels within 24 hours (Figure 9a). In contrast, SCD and PPARγ expression gradually increased from day 2 to day 7, which correlated with adipogenesis (Figures 9b and 9c).
Figure 9. Real-time PCR expression of Cox2, Pparγ, and Scd1 in 3T3-L1 cells during adipogenesis. The results were normalized to β-actin. 3T3-L1 preadipocytes were treated with a differentiation cocktail containing dexamethasone, insulin, and rosiglitazone (black bars) or medium (white bars).

The effect of rosiglitazone on adipogenesis was dose-dependent in the range of 0.4-10 μM, and rosiglitazone, insulin, and dexamethasone acted synergistically. The non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug diclofenac (10 μM) reduced the number of mature adipocytes by approximately 50% (Table 7). The effect was dose-dependent in the range of 0.4-10 μM and could not be explained by cell toxicity because the cells exhibited intact morphology after six days.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that COX2 and SCD gene expression was diminished in chronic GO compared with active GO. In growth-arrested preadipocytes, diclofenac antagonized the adipogenic effect of rosiglitazone in a dose-dependent manner.
Table 7. Synergistic effect of rosiglitazone, dexamethasone, and insulin on adipogenesis in 3T3-L1 cells and inhibition of adipogenesis with diclofenac (10 μM). Results are expressed as a percentage of maximal response induced by 10 μM rosiglitazone+dexamethasone+insulin. Values are the mean of three separate experiments ± SEM. P-values were calculated using Mann-Whitney U test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Differentiation cocktail</th>
<th>Differentiation without diclofenac</th>
<th>Differentiation with diclofenac</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 μM ROS+DEX+INS</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>58±12</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 μM ROS+DEX+INS</td>
<td>65±6.0</td>
<td>34±3.4</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4 μM ROS+DEX+INS</td>
<td>39±9.1</td>
<td>29±3.5</td>
<td>0.513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEX+INS</td>
<td>1.7±1.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 μM ROS</td>
<td>0.43±0.43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.66±0.33</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ROS – rosiglitazone, DEX – dexamethasone, INS – insulin.

Study IV

Lymphedema and ophthalmopathy share some pathogenetic features. In Study IV, we examined gene expression in subcutaneous adipose tissue from lymphedematous arms and control arms as well as intraorbital adipose tissue from patients with chronic GO and thyroid-healthy controls to search for similarities between GO and lymphedema.

Comparison of gene expression in chronic arm lymphedema and chronic GO

Some similarities were found between the two conditions. Several genes involved in the Wnt pathway were upregulated in the microarray analysis in the lymphedematous arm compared with the healthy arm (secreted frizzled-related protein 2 [SFRP2], desmoplakin [DSP], four and a half LIM domains 2 [FHL2], and tenasin C [TNC]). In addition, several Wnt pathway genes were also upregulated in chronic GO compared with healthy intraorbital adipose tissue (R-spondin 2 homolog [RSPO2] and cysteine-serine-rich nuclear protein 1 [CSRNP1]). Both conditions exhibited a low number of up- or downregulated genes and an absence of pronounced inflammation.

We observed several differences between chronic GO and lymphedema. Most of IEGs that were overexpressed in active GO in Study I were also upregulated in the chronic GO group (1.4-times higher expression than controls on average) (Table 8). In contrast, IEGS were not upregulated in chronic lymphedema. Upregulation of genes with roles in wound healing, formation of extracellular matrix, and fibrosis was observed in chronic lymphedema, but not in chronic GO.
Table 8. Expression of IEGs in intraorbital adipose tissue from patients with active (n=5) and chronic (n=8) GO, analyzed with microarray.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Active</th>
<th>Chronic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FOS</td>
<td>38.81</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYR61</td>
<td>27.14</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGR1</td>
<td>11.73</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX2</td>
<td>8.38</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZFP36</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUN</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUSP1</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IER2</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTG2</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THBS1</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IER3</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gene expression in intraorbital adipose tissue from GO patients in the active or chronic phase and healthy controls

Compared with healthy intraorbital tissue, the microarray analysis identified 14 upregulated genes and 22 downregulated genes in chronic GO tissue. Two of the upregulated genes and several downregulated genes were also up- and downregulated, respectively, in Study I. Therefore, the two genes upregulated in both studies, FBJ murine osteosarcoma viral oncogene homolog B (FOSB) and apolipoprotein L domain containing 1 (APOLD1), and one of the genes downregulated in both studies, parathyroid hormone-like hormone (PTHLH), were chosen for analysis with real-time PCR. We confirmed the upregulation of APOLD1 (p=0.05) (Figure 10a) and the downregulation of PTHLH (p=0.01) (Figure 10c) in both the chronic and the active phases. The upregulation of FOSB, however, was only confirmed for the active phase (Figure 10b).

Gene expression in adipose tissue from the lymphedematous and normal arms in patients with chronic arm lymphedema

We identified thirty-five upregulated and five downregulated genes with microarray in the lymphedematous arm group compared with the healthy arm group. By performing enrichment analysis, we identified several categories of genes with roles in the pathophysiology of the disease, including genes involved in differentiation and development, the cytoskeleton, wound healing and fibrosis, muscle contraction, adhesion, extracellular matrix structure and remodeling, fat metabolism, inflammation, and the Wnt pathway. At least one gene from each category was chosen for replication with real-time PCR, including SFRP2; DSP; TNC; ATP-binding cassette, sub-family G (WHITE), member 1 (ABCG1); carboxypeptidase X (M14 family), member 1 (CPMX1); pentraxin-related gene, rapidly induced by IL-1 beta (PTX3); and actin, alpha 2, smooth muscle aorta
Except for DSP, all genes were upregulated 2- to 3-times in the lymphedematous arm compared with the healthy arm (p<0.05).
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**Figure 10.** Real-time PCR expression of selected genes in intraorbital adipose tissue of patients with active (n=10) and chronic (n=10) GO and healthy controls (n=10). The results are normalized to cyclophilin A (CyA). P-values were calculated using the Kruskal-Wallis test: (a) APOLD1 (p=0.05), (b) FOSB (p=0.01) and (c) PTHLH (p=0.01).

In summary, more differences than similarities were found between chronic GO and chronic lymphedema. For example, myofibroblast markers and other genes with functions in fibrosis and wound healing were upregulated in lymphedema, whereas adipocyte-related IEGs were upregulated in chronic GO.

**Study V**

In Study V, we examined IEGs and immunomodulatory genes that were upregulated in active GO in Study I for possible associations with GD and/or GO. We genotyped 98 SNPs in 12 genes with high expression in active GO. In addition, we included CTLA4, a gene with known associations with GD.
Fourteen SNPs in five genes (CTLA4, BTG2, CYR61, SCD, and zinc finger protein 36 [ZFP36]) showed an association with GD (Table 9a) or GO (Table 9b).

**Table 9.** SNPs associated with GD (a) and GO (b). P-values are calculated using logistic regression with age and smoking as covariates.

### a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>SNP</th>
<th>Selection criteria</th>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTLA4</td>
<td>rs11571297</td>
<td>published</td>
<td>2q33</td>
<td>1.28 (1.03-1.59)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rs3087243</td>
<td>published</td>
<td>2q33</td>
<td>1.25 (1.00-1.56)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rs12136280</td>
<td>tag, missense</td>
<td>1q32</td>
<td>1.28 (1.02-1.60)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rs6663606</td>
<td>tag</td>
<td>1q32</td>
<td>1.29 (1.03-1.61)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rs17534202</td>
<td>tag</td>
<td>1q32</td>
<td>1.26 (1.00-1.57)</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTG2</td>
<td>rs12136280</td>
<td>tag, missense</td>
<td>1q32</td>
<td>1.29 (1.03-1.61)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYR61</td>
<td>rs7549783</td>
<td>tag</td>
<td>1p31-p22</td>
<td>1.41 (1.04-1.93)</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rs251864</td>
<td>promoter¤</td>
<td>19q13.1</td>
<td>2.01 (1.08-3.73)</td>
<td>0.03#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCD</td>
<td>rs569184</td>
<td>tag</td>
<td>10q24.31</td>
<td>3.15 (1.33-7.43)</td>
<td>0.01#</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# associated in men only, * associated in women only, ¤ also predicted to destroy a transcription factor binding site

### b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>SNP</th>
<th>Selection criteria</th>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CYR61</td>
<td>rs3753793</td>
<td>tag, promoter</td>
<td>1p31-p22</td>
<td>1.77 (1.15-2.73)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rs6682848</td>
<td>tag</td>
<td>1p31-p22</td>
<td>2.08 (1.09-3.99)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rs12756618</td>
<td>tag</td>
<td>1p31-p22</td>
<td>2.72 (1.03-7.19)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rs1378228</td>
<td>tag</td>
<td>1p31-p22</td>
<td>1.49 (1.01-2.21)</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZFP36</td>
<td>rs251864</td>
<td>promoter</td>
<td>19q13.1</td>
<td>1.56 (1.04-2.34)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rs11083522</td>
<td>tag</td>
<td>19q13.1</td>
<td>1.56 (1.06-2.31)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCD</td>
<td>rs11190483</td>
<td>tag</td>
<td>10q24.31</td>
<td>1.48 (1.01-2.17)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Next, we performed a haplotype analysis. Association with GD was shown for the BTG2 block 1 TGTTG ($\chi^2=5.62, \ p=0.02$) and the CTLA4 block 1 CACATC ($\chi^2=4.28, \ p=0.04$) haplotypes. The BTG2 SNPs that showed an association with GD (rs12136280 and rs17534202) were in strong LD with each other ($r^2 = 0.74$ and $D' = 1.00\ [0.97–1.00]$). The composite haplotype that consisted of the protective allele of both SNPs (rs12136280G/rs17534202G) was significantly more common among the control subjects ($\chi^2=4.39, \ p=0.04$). The haplotype that consisted of the risk allele of both SNPs (rs12136280T/rs17534202C) was significantly more common among patients ($\chi^2=6.29, \ p=0.01$). The following haplotypes were associated with GO: the CYR61 haplotypes TCCTC in block 1 ($\chi^2 = 4.85, \ p = 0.03$) and TCTCC in block 3 ($\chi^2=5.85, \ p=0.02$), the SCD block 1 haplotypes TCGC ($\chi^2=4.38, \ p=0.04$) and CCGC ($\chi^2=4.52, \ p=0.03$), and the ZFP36 block 1 haplotype GGA ($\chi^2 = 4.25, \ p = 0.04$).
We then tried to replicate our findings in a Polish population. No association between the BTG2 SNP rs12136280 and GD (OR 0.97 [0.82-1.16], p=0.75) or GO (OR 1.05 [0.82-1.33], p=0.71) was observed. In addition, the CYR61 SNP rs3753793 did not show an association with GD (OR 0.96 [0.81-1.14], p=0.62) or GO (OR 1.17 [0.89-1.53], p=0.26). We performed a meta-analysis of the Swedish and Polish studies, and the heterogeneity (I²) and p-values for Cochrane’s Q revealed significant heterogeneity between the two studies; therefore, the random effects model was applied. Neither of the SNPs showed an association with GD or GO in the combined population.
DISCUSSION

At the time this project started, gene expression in intraorbital adipose tissue had not been analyzed on a global level, only by a candidate gene approach. In addition, the role of the newly discovered hormone thyrostimulin in human thyroid biology and disease was unclear. Results of genetic studies on GD and GO were conflicting. We therefore found it important to further investigate the molecular etiology of GD and GO.

Genes with possible roles in the etiology of GO and GD

To date, Study I was the first gene expression profiling study of human intraorbital adipose tissue from patients with active GO. Previous studies analyzed selected genes with real-time PCR. We used microarrays, which allowed us to simultaneously analyze the expression of 14,500 genes in a single experiment. This strategy generates an enormous amount of interesting data that can be directly used in further research.

The principal finding of Study I was the overexpression of adipocyte-related IEGs in patients with GO, including CYR61, COX2, BTG2, and ZFP36, among others, in active GO. In response to activation by mitogens, IEGs have been shown to be upregulated in the early phase of adipogenesis in differentiating preadipocytes [163]. Although our finding that IEGs were overexpressed in active GO confirmed the hypothesis that de novo adipogenesis is one of the key processes in active GO, it raised the question as to whether IEGs contribute to the pathogenesis of GO or if their overexpression is just a consequence of the disease. We tried to address this question using several approaches (i.e., investigating the expression of IEGs in active and chronic GO compared with controls (Studies I, III and IV), investigating IEG expression during adipogenesis (COX2 in Study III), studying the expression of IEG target genes (CYR61 in Study I), and examining genetic variation in IEGs for association with GD and/or GO (Study V).

We demonstrated that the expression of IEGs was high in the active phase of GO (Study I) and decreased with decrease in disease activity (Studies I, III, and IV). IEGs were upregulated in patients with active and chronic GO, but not in chronic lymphedema.
CYR61 is a multifunctional gene with roles in adipogenesis, inflammation, cell proliferation, extracellular matrix production, and fibrosis [190-193]. Thus, there are numerous mechanisms by which CYR61 could contribute to the pathogenesis of GO. As an inducer of angiogenesis, CYR61 has been shown to activate a wound healing program in skin fibroblasts by inducing the expression of MMP3, VEGF, and IL-1β, among others [192]. In Study I, we demonstrated that CYR61 and the CYR61-responsive genes MMP3, VEGF, and IL-1β were overexpressed in active GO. In addition, IL-1β has been shown to upregulate COX2 in cultured orbital fibroblasts from patients with GO [194]. One of the target genes of IL-1β, COX2, was also upregulated in GO. Furthermore, four SNPs in CYR61 were associated with GO and one SNP was associated with GD in women in Study V.

COX2 is the inducible cyclooxygenase isoform responsible for synthesis of proinflammatory prostaglandins [195]. COX2 may also play an important role in adipocyte differentiation because one of its products, the 15-deoxy-(delta12,14)-prostaglandin J(2) [15d-PGJ(2)], is the natural ligand of PPARγ, the key positive regulator of adipogenesis [196]. Interestingly, COX2 showed much higher expression in GO patients than controls (Study I) despite the fact that the patients had been treated with corticosteroids, which are known to decrease the expression of COX2. Therefore, patients that develop severe GO with DON despite corticosteroid treatment may represent a group that is resistant to corticosteroids. This subgroup of patients may benefit from inhibition of cyclooxygenases by non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) [195]. NSAIDs are an established treatment for other inflammatory conditions, such as rheumatoid arthritis [197], but little is known about their effect in GO. Only one small, uncontrolled study has previously evaluated the effect of NSAIDs in patients with GO, in which treatment with indomethacin resulted in an improvement of the clinical signs [198]. NSAIDs not only inhibit cyclooxygenases, but they also have the ability to interact with PPARγ. In contrast to other NSAIDs, diclofenac in therapeutic concentrations has the ability to bind to PPARγ and act as a competitive antagonist [199]. Thus, diclofenac may inhibit the two key processes in GO, inflammation and adipogenesis, by inhibiting COX2 and antagonizing PPARγ. The effect of diclofenac on adipogenesis in vitro was evaluated in Study III, and an inhibitory effect was demonstrated because diclofenac reduced the number of mature adipocytes by approximately 50%. Whether the drug has the same effect in patients with GO has not been investigated in this thesis project; however, based on our findings, an ongoing, randomized, multi-center trial of diclofenac has been initiated.

BTG2 is a gene with anti-proliferative effects that belongs to the genes differentially expressed between resting and activated mouse T cells [200]. There are several protein families with T cell co-stimulatory properties, including the
CD28 family, that promote T cell proliferation. CD28 activation of CD4+ T cells has been shown to result in increased expression of genes involved in cell proliferation and a downregulation of BTG2 and other anti-proliferation genes [201]. Because BTG2 is a downstream target of CD28, it is tempting to speculate that BTG2 could be involved in the inhibition of T cell activation together with other negative regulators of activated T cells associated with GD, such as CTLA4 and PTPN22. In addition, genetic variation in BTG2 could predispose individuals to autoimmunity. Associations between BTG2 and GD were shown in Study V. All the SNPs tested were tag SNPs, which does not rule out the possibility of the causative SNP being in LD with any of the associated SNPs. Interestingly, rs12136280 in BTG2 is a coding SNP, which leads to a change of an aminoacid; thus, it is the most promising candidate.

ZFP36 codes for the protein tristetraprolin (TTP) whose function is the destruction of TNFα mRNA [202]. TTP-knockout mice exhibit a severe inflammatory phenotype similar to that of transgenic mice overexpressing TNFα [203], which makes TTP an interesting functional candidate for autoimmunity. Indeed, in a genetic study of autoimmune diseases [204], the SNP ZFP36*8 was significantly associated with rheumatoid arthritis. The promoter SNP rs251864 in ZFP36 was one of the four most important variables separating cases and controls, and the haplotype consisting of rs251864-A/ZFP36*8-T increased the risk for rheumatoid arthritis. In Study V, we demonstrated an association of rs251864 with both GD in men and GO. The rs251864 SNP was predicted to destroy a transcription factor binding site [204], which could potentially alter TTP expression.

In summary, adipocyte-related IEGs and their target genes were upregulated in active GO, and this upregulation decreased with decrease in disease activity. Genetic variation in IEGs was associated with GD and GO. These findings suggest a role for adipocyte-related IEGs in the etiology of GO.

SCD, a marker of adipose tissue, is an enzyme that catalyzes the rate-limiting step in the synthesis of unsaturated fatty acids [205]. Mice with a targeted disruption of the Scd1 isoform have reduced body adiposity, increased insulin sensitivity and are resistant to diet-induced weight gain [206]. In addition, genetic variation in the SCD gene is associated with body-fat distribution and insulin sensitivity in Swedish men [207]. In Studies I and III, we demonstrated that SCD was overexpressed in active GO, and its expression decreased with decrease in disease activity. In differentiating preadipocytes, SCD expression gradually increased concomitantly with adipogenesis. Study V showed associations of SNPs in SCD with GD and GO. The risk variant associated with GO could potentiate adipogenesis, which is one of the key pathogenetic processes in GO. The possible link between SCD polymorphisms and GD, however, is less clear.
GO and lymphedema share some pathogenetic features, such as inflammation, accumulation of hyaluronan (which attracts water and causes edema), excessive fat accumulation, and fibrosis [158, 159, 208, 209]. In Study IV, we investigated similarities and differences between the two conditions by performing the first large-scale expression profiling of chronic human lymphedema and chronic GO. Some similarities were found between chronic GO and chronic arm lymphedema, such as low activity (i.e., a low number of up- or downregulated genes) and the absence of pronounced inflammation.

The main differences between GO and lymphedema seem to be the absence of active adipogenesis and the presence of fibrosis in chronic lymphedema. Patients with chronic arm lymphedema following breast cancer treatment were shown to have excess adipose tissue by volume-rendered computed tomography and dual energy X-ray absorptiometry [159]; however, we did not observe upregulation of any key genes involved in adipogenesis in lymphedema. Adipocyte-related IEGs, which were upregulated in active GO and, to a lesser degree, in chronic GO, were not upregulated in lymphedema. These results suggest that active adipogenesis ceased in chronic lymphedema, and excess adipose tissue may have resulted from active adipogenesis at earlier stages. An interesting observation is the downregulation of PTHLH in both active and chronic GO, but not in lymphedema. Unlike parathyroid hormone, which has systemic hormonal effects, PTHLH is a multifunctional protein that may have evolved to regulate local tissue functions. One function of PTHLH is the inhibition of adipogenesis [210, 211]. Therefore, downregulation of PTHLH may promote adipogenesis.
TSHR is overexpressed in orbital tissues of patients with active GO compared to controls [114, 115]. In orbital fibroblasts transfected with an activated mutant TSH construct, both early adipocyte differentiation and hyaluronan production was stimulated [117, 118]. We speculated that the recently discovered TSH-like hormone, thyrostimulin, could play a role as a locally acting factor in orbital adipogenesis by stimulating TSHR. However, we could not detect the expression of the beta subunit of thyrostimulin in healthy or diseased human thyroid tissue, orbital tissue or rat thyroid tissue. The negative findings in the thyroid were confirmed by others, both for the human [165, 168, 212] and the rat [168, 213], which suggested that GPB5 is probably not expressed in the thyroid. What is the role of thyrostimulin? Several studies have suggested a role during development. Indeed, GPB5 has been shown to be widely distributed in Amphioxus embryos [169] and abundantly expressed in newborn mice [170]. Juvenile euthyroid and thyrotoxic GPB5 knockout mice were found to have 25% lower serum T4 compared with wild-type, and juvenile hypothyroid GPB5 knockout mice had 2.5-times lower pituitary TSH beta expression compared with wild-type mice. These alterations were not observed in adult mice, which did not exhibit an overt endocrine phenotype [171]. Proinflammatory cytokines have been shown to induce the expression of GPB5 in a pituitary cell line [167], and a very recent study demonstrated an in vivo role for GPB5 in pituitary and hypothalamic TSHR suppression and hypothalamic deiodinase 2 induction during acute illness [214]. In summary, the current knowledge about thyrostimulin supports the hypothesis that it plays a role during development and acute illness. In addition, thyrostimulin appears to act on the pituitary or hypothalamus rather than the thyroid.

Methodology

Several methodological issues need to be discussed. In the microarray studies, no microdissection of the intraorbital adipose tissue was performed; therefore, the source of RNA was represented by several cell populations. Thus, we did not determine which cell types were responsible for the up- or downregulated genes. In addition, the microarray experiments involved a limited number of patients and controls, and the samples were pooled prior to analysis. Pooling of samples reduces the amount of RNA needed for the experiment, which is an advantage when dealing with small amounts of material, such as the control tissues in our studies. Moreover, pooling the samples lowers the costs of these expensive experiments. The disadvantage of pooled data is that genes of interest can only be selected based on the magnitude of change. When individual samples are used, genes may also be selected on the basis of the significance of changes in expression. This allows for the selection of genes that have small but statistically significant changes in abundance and eliminates genes with changes in expression.
level that are large but not statistically significant (i.e., false-positives) [215]. We confirmed all important results, however, with at least two real-time PCR experiments. At the same time as Study I, another microarray study of GO was published [111]. We were not able to observe the overexpression of some of the genes upregulated in this study, such as secreted frizzled-related protein-1 (SFRP1). Possible explanations include the use of different control tissues (Kumar et al. used intraorbital fat biopsies from autopsied individuals) or the fact that only six out of the twenty patients in their study had been treated with corticosteroids (all patients in our study were treated with corticosteroids).

In the in vitro experiments on adipogenesis, a mouse preadipocyte cell line was used, which was found to be a good model for the study of possible therapeutical targets in adipogenesis. For studies focusing specifically on preadipocytes in GO, however, cultured orbital fibroblasts may represent a more suitable model.

In the Swedish case/control association study, we demonstrated associations of SNPs in CTLA4, BTG2, CYR61, ZFP36, and SCD with GD and/or GO. In the Polish material, however, we were not able to replicate the association between the BTG2 SNP rs12136280 and GD or the association of the CYR61 SNP rs3753793 with GO. Furthermore, the meta-analysis of the two studies was not significant. There may be several reasons for this discrepancy. For example, the reported associations might represent false-positive findings. Our study, which counted 312 cases and 621 controls, was in line with most association studies for GD; however, recent research has shown that larger numbers of individuals are needed to avoid false-positive results. The effect size reported in the Swedish cohort could also be overinflated because of the winners curse [216]. The Polish material, however, was not sex-matched. Moreover, adjustments for age and smoking could not be made in the Polish sample because of a lack of phenotypic data in the control group. Furthermore, results of the meta-analysis suggested heterogeneity between the populations, which means that the Polish cohort may not have been the optimal population to use for replication. In addition, the Swedish cohort had the advantage of being phenotypically well defined, the candidate genes were chosen on the basis of expression data in the same population, and the associated genes were biologically relevant for the disease. Therefore, the failure to replicate the results does not mean that our findings are untrue. Replication in a different, larger and well-characterized population, however, is required.

Future challenges

Future research in the field of GO will certainly focus on novel therapies for GO. Indeed, randomized clinical trials of promising drugs, such as rituximab, are
already ongoing [106]. Although the effect of smoking on GO is strong and well documented, the mechanism by which smoking influences GO is poorly investigated and should be further explored. In clinical practice, smoking cessation should become an essential part of the management of patients with GO.

Regarding the genetics of AITD, it is clear that not all of the susceptibility genes have been identified. The field of genetics of complex diseases has been revolutionized by GWAS, which can scan the entire genome for association. Indeed, GWAS has been successful in other complex autoimmune diseases, such as type 1 diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, and psoriasis [35]. So far, no full GWAS has been reported in AITD, but it will certainly be performed in the future. Except for the HLA-DR, all other loci associated with AITD had minor effects with OR values <1.5. The current dogma is that numerous common variants with small effects can cause an additive strong genetic effect on individual susceptibility. This dogma, however, is not able to explain the high prevalence of AITD in the general population due to a low frequency of the combined genotypes in the general population [32]. A better explanation than the additive model might be an interaction between the susceptibility genes and an interaction between the genes and the environment. These questions, however, remain unanswered. According to the subset effect model, a genetic variant might have a large effect in a subset of GD patients, but this effect will be diluted when testing the whole GD population or different populations [32]. Thus, we might see two parallel approaches in the future: one examining large cohorts of patients from different populations to ascertain adequate power, and a second approach studying smaller, but phenotypically well-defined, populations. Future challenges will also include investigation of the role of rare variants by next-generation sequencing of large segments of the genome. In addition, the field of epigenetics remains unexplored in AITD. All these efforts will hopefully lead to the possibility of offering individuals a genetic risk assessment and a prediction of response to medications, which will represent a truly individualized approach to the treatment of complex diseases like GD and GO.
CONCLUSIONS

Adipocyte-related IEGs, including CYR61, COX2, BTG2, ZFP36, EGR1, and DUSP1, were overexpressed in active GO and to a lesser degree in chronic GO, but not in chronic lymphedema. CYR61-responsive genes were also upregulated in active GO. Associations were found between SNPs in CYR61, BTG2, and ZFP36 and GD and/or GO. These findings support a role for IEGs in the pathogenesis of GO.

Inflammation and adipogenesis decreased with decreased disease activity in GO, which was demonstrated by the expression patterns of COX2, CYR61, and SCD in active and chronic GO. The anti-inflammatory drug diclofenac inhibited adipogenesis in vitro. These results suggest that diclofenac may be a therapeutic alternative for GO.

We were not able to detect expression of GPB5 in human healthy and diseased orbital or thyroid tissue. These findings argue against the role of thyrostimulin in human thyroid physiology and disease.

In chronic arm lymphedema, the expression pattern involved upregulation of genes with roles in wound healing, formation of extracellular matrix, and fibrosis. Interestingly, genes involved in early adipogenesis, such as IEGs, were not upregulated. This finding was in contrast to GO, where adipogenesis genes were upregulated and followed the disease activity, but genes related to fibrosis were not upregulated. To summarize, we found more differences than similarities between chronic arm lymphedema and chronic GO.

We replicated the previously reported association between two SNPs in CTLA4 and GD in the Swedish population. The results of the Swedish case/control study showed associations of SNPs in BTG2, CYR61, ZFP36, and SCD with GD and/or GO. In the Polish cohort, however, rs12136280 in BTG2 and rs3753793 in CYR61 were not associated with GD or GO, and the meta-analysis was not significant. The demonstrated associations need to be replicated in a larger, homogenous population before they can be considered to be true.
POPULÄRVETENSKAPLIG SAMMANFATTNING


I Studie I undersökt vilka gener som är av betydelse för patogenesen av endokrin oftalmopati. Genuttryck i fettet bakom ögat (intraorbitalt fett) jämfördes med hjälp av microarray och realtids-PCR mellan patienter opererade för svår oftalmopati i aktiv fas och friska kontroller som genomgick ögonlocksplastik. Vi har fokuserat på gener med viktiga roller i fettbildningen, som är en nyckelprocess vid endokrin oftalmopati. Vi har identifierat 14 så kallade "immediate early genes" bland generna med mer än två gånger högre uttryck hos patienter jämfört med kontroller, däribland CYR61, COX2, BTG2, ZFP36, EGR1 och DUSP. Dessa gener har tidigare visat sig vara uppreglerade i fettbildningens tidiga fas \textit{in vitro}. Även gener reglerade av CYR61 såsom MMP3, VEGF och IL-1β var uppreglerade i patientgruppen och uttrycket av CYR61 minskade i kronisk fas av oftalmopati jämfört med akut fas.

Två viktiga patogenetiska processer vid oftalmopati är inflammation och fettbildning. I Studie III studerades genuttryck av markören för inflammation, COX2, och markören av fettbildningen, SCD, i akut och kronisk fas av oftalmopati med realtids-PCR. Vi har visat att genuttrycken av båda generna minskar i kronisk fas. Vidare har vi odlat 3T3-L1-preadipocyter, celler som har potential att differensieras till mogna fettceller. Vi har kunnat visa att COX2 uppregleras i fettbildningens tidiga fas, medan SCD uppregleras mellan dag 2 och dag 7. Läkemedlet diklofenak har antiinflammatoriska egenskaper genom sin hämning av COX1 och COX2 och har dessutom visat sig kunna interagera med fettbildningens nyckelgen, PPARγ, i terapeutiska koncentrationer. Således skulle diklofenak kunna hämma både inflammation och fettbildning som båda är viktiga processer vid endokrin oftalmopati. I ljuset av detta skulle diklofenak kunna utgöra ett behandlingsalternativ vid oftalmopati. I Studie III har vi visat att fettbildningen i 3T3-L1-celler kan hämmas med diklofenak, som minskade antal mogna celler med 50 %. Dessa resultat har genererat en pågående multicenterstudie med diklofenak som förebyggande behandling mot endokrin oftalmopati hos patienter med nydiagnostiserad Graves sjukdom.

Både vid endokrin oftalmopati och lymfödem dominerar ödem, inflammation och fettbildning i tidig fas, medan fibrosutveckling förekommer i kronisk fas. Teorier finns om eventuella gemensamma patogenetiska mekanismer vid oftalmopati och lymfödem men inga studier har hittills gjorts för att bekräfta det. I Studie IV undersöktes om gemensamma patogenetiska mekanismer vid endokrin oftalmopati och lymfödem föreligger genom studier av genuttryck med microarray och realtids-PCR. Vi jämförde intraorbitalt fett från opererade patienter med endokrin oftalmopati i kronisk fas och från friska kontroller som genomgick ögonlocksplastik. Från individer som utvecklat armlymfödem efter bröstcancerbehandling har underhudsfett både från den drabbade och från den friska armen som kontroll analyserats. Vi har identifierat ett antal gener med högt uttryck i både lymfödem och oftalmopati jämfört med friskt fett, med viktiga funktioner i båda sjukdomsprocesserna. Fler skillnader än likheter förelåg mellan dessa tillstånd. "Immediate early genes", en grupp av gener som var uppreglerade i aktiv oftalmopati jämfört med friskt intraorbitalt fett i Studie I, var i mindre grad uppreglerade i kronisk oftalmopati, men inte i lymfödem jämfört med friskt underhudsfett. I lymfödem, men inte i kronisk oftalmopati, har gener med funktioner i sårläkning och fibros identifierats som uppreglerade.

I Studie IV har vi också visat att PTHLH, en gen med funktioner i fettbildning, är nedreglerad både vid aktiv och vid kronisk endokrin oftalmopati vilket skulle kunna tala för ökad risk för fettbildning hos de patienter med Graves sjukdom som drabbas av oftalmopati.
Både omgivnings- och genetiska faktorer bidrar till utveckling av Graves sjukdom. Ett sätt att söka efter orsaker till varför Graves sjukdom utvecklas är att leta efter genetiska varianter, såsom "single nucleotide polymorphisms" (SNPs), som ökar risken för Graves sjukdom. Ett antal gener har tidigare visat sig bidra till utvecklingen av Graves sjukdom i olika material men vad gäller endokrin oftalmopati har resultaten varit inkonklusiva varför ytterligare genetiska studier behövs. Uppbyggande av en biobank (GD2002) med serum, plasma och "buffy coat" för RNA- och DNA-analyser pågår i Malmö sedan 2002. Kliniska data på patienter lagras kontinuerligt i en databas. I Studie V har 312 patienter med Graves sjukdom med eller utan oftalmopati från GD2002-databasen jämförts med 621 kontroller från Malmö (Malmö Kost Cancer studien) avseende 98 SNPs i 13 gener. Vi har valt att studera de gener som visade högt uttryck i aktiv oftalmopati i Studie I, däribland flera "immediate early genes". Vi har också analyserat SNPs i CTLA4, en gen som tidigare visat sig öka risken för utveckling av Graves sjukdom i andra populationer. Två SNPs i CTLA4 och ett flertal SNPs i BTG2, CYR61, ZFP36 och SCD ökade risken för utveckling av Graves sjukdom, medan SNPs i CYR61, ZFP36 och SCD ökade risken för utveckling av oftalmopati. Vi har dessutom försökt att bekräfta våra resultat genom att studera samband mellan två SNPs, en SNP i BTG2 och en SNP i CYR61, och Graves sjukdom och/eller oftalmopati, i ett Polskt material. Vi har inte kunnat bekräfta de påvisade sambanden i det Polska materialet och en metaanalys av de två studierna var inte signifikant. Det har dock visat sig att de två materialen inte är homogena och det är därför svårt att dra slutsatser om de påvisade sambanden är sanna eller falskt positiva fynd.

Sammanfattningsvis har vi i denna avhandling visat att

I. "immediate early genes" med funktioner i fettbildning och inflammation var uppreglerade vid endokrin oftalmopati men inte vid lymfödem, och deras uttryck sjönk med sjukdomsaktiviteten. SNPs i dessa gener ökade risken för Graves sjukdom och endokrin oftalmopati. Dessa fynd stödjer hypotesen att "immediate early genes" är av betydelse för utvecklingen av endokrin oftalmopati.

II. det antiinflammatoriska läkemedlet diklofenak hämmede fettbildning in vitro och är därför en intressant terapeutisk kandidat vid endokrin oftalmopati.

III. det fanns fler skillnader än likheter mellan kronisk endokrin oftalmopati och lymfödem. Kroniskt lymfödem dominerades av fibros, medan gener med funktioner i fettbildning, såsom "immediate early genes", var uppreglerade vid kronisk oftalmopati.

IV. det nyupptäckta hormonet thyrostimulin inte uttrycktes i frisk eller sjuk sköldkörtel eller intraorbitalt fett hos människa, och sannolikt...
saknar viktig roll för sköldkörtelns funktion eller uppkomst av Graves sjukdom.

V. SNPs i gener som var högt uttryckta vid endokrin oftalmopati ökade risken för utveckling av Graves sjukdom och/eller endokrin oftalmopati hos Malmös befolkning men dessa resultat måste bekräftas i ett annat material.
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