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Abstract
We describe preliminary results of an acoustic-phonetic study of voice imitations, which is ultimately aimed towards developing an explanatory approach to similar-sounding voices. Such voices are readily obtained by way of imitations, which were elicited by asking an adult-male, professional imitator to utter two tokens of the Swedish word “hallå” in a telephone-answering situation and three Swedish dialects (Gothenburg, Stockholm, Skania). Formant-frequency (F1, F2, F3, F4) patterns were measured at several landmarks of the main phonetic segments (‘a’, ‘l’, ‘å’), and cross-examined using the imitator’s token-averaged F-pattern and those obtained by imitation. The final ‘å’-segment seems to carry the bulk of differences across imitations, and between the imitator’s patterns and those of his imitations. There is however a notable constancy in F1 and F2 from the ‘a’-segment nearly to the end of the ‘l’-segment, where the imitator seems to have had fewer degrees of articulatory freedom.

1 Introduction
It is an interesting fact but all the same a challenging one in forensic voice identification, that certain voices should sound similar (Rose and Duncan, 1995), even though they originate from different persons with differing vocal-tract structures and speaking habits. It is also a familiar observation (Zetterholm, 2003) that human listeners can associate an imitated voice with the imitated person. However, there are no definite explanations for similar-sounding voices, and thus there is still no definite approach for understanding their confusability. Nor are there any systematic insights into the degree of success that is achievable in trying to identify an imitator’s voice from his/her imitations. Some valiant attempts have been made in the past to characterise the effects of disguise on voice identification by human listeners. More recently, there have been some useful efforts to evaluate the robustness of speaker identification systems (Zetterholm et al., 2005). The results are however consistent in that “it is possible to trick both human listeners and a speaker verification system” (Zetterholm et al., 2005: p. 254), and that there are still no clear explanations.

Overall, the knowledge landscape around the issue of similarity of voices appears to be quite sparse, yet this issue is at the core of the problem of voice identification, which has grown pressing in dealing with forensic-phonetic evaluation of legal and security cases. Our ultimate objective, therefore, is to use acoustic, articulatory and perceptual manifestations of imitated voices as pathways for developing a more explanatory approach to similar-sounding voices than available to date.

The present study describes a preliminary step in the acoustic-phonetic analysis of imitations of the word “hallå” in three dialects of Swedish. The formant-frequency patterns obtained are enlightening from a phenomenological and a methodological point of view.
2 Imitations of the Swedish Word “hallå” – The Speech Material
The material gathered thus far consists of auditorily-validated imitations of the Swedish word “hallå”. An adult-male, professional imitator was asked to first produce the word in his own usual way. The imitator is a long-term resident of an area close to Gothenburg and, therefore, his speaking habits are presumed to carry some characteristics of the Gothenburg dialect. He was asked to also produce imitations of “hallå” in situations such as: (i) answering the telephone, (ii) signalling arrival at home, and (iii) greeting a long-lost friend, all in 5 Swedish dialects (Gothenburg, Stockholm, Skania, Småland, Norrland). The 2 tokens obtained for the first 3 dialects in situation (i) were retained for this preliminary study. The recordings took place in the anechoic chamber recently built at Lund University. The analogue signals were sampled at 44 kHz, and then down-sampled by a factor of 4 for formant-frequency analyses.

3 Formant-Frequency Parameterisation
3.1 Formant-Tracking Procedure
The voiced region of every waveform was isolated using a spectrographic representation, concurrently with auditory validation. Formants were estimated using Linear-Prediction (LP) analyses through Hanning-windowed frames of 30-msec duration, by steps of 10 msecs, and a pre-emphasis of 0.98. For 25% of the data used for this study, the LP-order had to be increased to 18 from a default value of 14. For each voiced interval, the LP-analyses yielded a set of frame-by-frame poles, among which F1, F2, F3 and F4 were estimated using a method (Clermont, 1992) based on cepstral analysis-by-synthesis and dynamic programming.

3.2 Landmark Selection along the Time Axis
The expectedly-varying durations amongst the “hallå” tokens raise the non-trivial problem of mapping their F-patterns onto a common time base. We sought a solution to this problem by looking at the relative durations of the main phonetic segments (‘a’, ‘l’, ‘å’), which were demarcated manually. The token-averaged durations for imitated and imitator’s segments are superimposed in Fig. 1, together with the overall mean per segment.

![Figure 1. Segmental durations: Mean ratio of ~3 to 1 for ‘a’, ~5 to 1 for ‘å’, relative to ‘l’.](image)

Interestingly, the durations for the imitator’s ‘a’- and ‘å’-segments are closer to those measured for his Gothenburg imitations, and smaller than those measured for his Skanian and Stockholm imitations. Fig. 1 also indicates that the medial ‘l’-segment has a duration that is tightly clustered around 50 msecs and, therefore, it is a suitable reference to which the other segments can be related. On the average, the duration ratio relative to the ‘l’-segment is about 3 to 1 for ‘a’, and 5 to 1 for ‘å’. A total of 45 landmarks were thus selected such that, if 5 are arbitrarily allocated for the ‘l’-segment, there are 3 times as many for the ‘a’-segment and 5 times as many for the ‘å’-segment. The method of cubic-spline interpolation was employed to generate the 45-landmark, F-patterns that are displayed in Fig. 2 and subsequently examined.
4 F-pattern Analysis

4.1 Inter-Token Consistency

It is known that F-patterns exhibit some variability because of the measurement method used, and of one’s inability to replicate sounds in exactly the same way. Consequently, the spread magnitude about a token-averaged F-pattern should be useful for gauging measurement consistency, and intrinsic variability to some degree. Table 1 lists spread values that mostly lie within difference-limins for human perception, and are therefore deemed to be tolerable. The spread in F3 for the imitator’s “hallå” is relatively large, especially by comparison with his other formants. However, the top left-hand panel of Fig. 2 does show that there is simply greater variability in the F3 of his initial ‘a’-segment. Overall, there appear to be no gross measurement errors that prevent a deeper examination of our F-patterns.

Table 1. Inter-token spreads (=standard deviations in Hz) averaged across all 45 landmarks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>F1</th>
<th>F2</th>
<th>F3</th>
<th>F4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IMITATOR (SELF)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STOCKHOLM (STK)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOTHENBURG (GTB)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKANIA (SKN)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (spread) with IMITATOR:</td>
<td>32 (8)</td>
<td>62 (7)</td>
<td>68 (49)</td>
<td>69 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (spread) without IMITATOR:</td>
<td>33 (10)</td>
<td>60 (7)</td>
<td>45 (23)</td>
<td>68 (16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Overview of F-pattern behaviours

For both the imitator’s “hallå” and his imitations, there is less curvilinearity in the formant trajectories for the ‘a’- and ‘l’-segments than in those for the final ‘å’-segment, which behaves consistently like a diphthong. The concavity of the F2-trajectory for the Skanian-like ‘å’-segment seems to set this dialect apart from the other dialects. Quite noticeably for the ‘a’- and ‘l’-segments, F1- and F2-trajectories are relatively flatter, and numerically closer to one another than the higher formants. Interestingly again, the F-patterns for the Gothenburg-like “hallå” seem to be more aligned with those corresponding to the imitator’s own “hallå”.

Figure 2. Landmark-normalised F-patterns: Imitator & his imitations of 3 Swedish dialects.
4.3 Imitator versus Imitations – A Quantitative Comparison

The ‘a’- and ‘l’-segments examined above seem to retain the strongest signature of the imitator’s F1- and F2-patterns. To obtain a quantitative verification of this behaviour, we calculated landmark-by-landmark spreads (Fig. 3) of the F-patterns with all data pooled together (left panel), and without the Skania-like data (right panel). The left-panel data highlight a large increase of the spread in F1 and F2 for the final ‘å’-segment, thus confirming a major contrast with the other dialectal imitations. The persistently smaller spread in F1 and F2 for the two initial segments raises the hope of being able to detect some invariance in professional imitations of “hallå”. The relatively larger spreads in F3 and F4 cast some doubt on these formants’ potency for de-coupling our imitator’s “hallå” from his imitations.

![Figure 3. Landmark-by-landmark spreads: (left) all data pooled; (right) Skania-like excluded.](image)

5 Summary and Ways Ahead

The results of this study are prima facie encouraging, at least for the imitations obtained from our professional imitator. It is not yet known whether the near-constancy observed through F1 and F2 of the initial segments of “hallå” will be manifest in other situational tokens, and whether a similar behaviour should be expected with different imitators and phonetic contexts. We have looked at formant-frequencies one at a time but, as shown by Clermont (2004) for Australian English “hello”, there are deeper insights to be gained by re-examining these frequencies systemically. The ways ahead will involve exploring all these possibilities.
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