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Distributed Control Using Positive Quadratic Programming

Anders Rantzer
Automatic Control LTH
Lund Center for Control of Complex Engineering Systems
Lund University

Building theoretical foundations for distributed control

We need methodology for
- Decentralized specifications
- Decentralized design
- Verification of global behavior

Example 1: A vehicle formation

Each vehicle obeys the independent dynamics

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
x_1(t) \\
x_2(t) \\
x_3(t) \\
x_4(t)
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x_1(t) \\
x_2(t) \\
x_3(t) \\
x_4(t)
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix}
B_1 u_1(t) + w_1(t) \\
B_2 u_2(t) + w_2(t) \\
B_3 u_3(t) + w_3(t) \\
B_4 u_4(t) + w_4(t)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The objective is to make \( E[C_{x_{i+1}} - C_x]^2 \) small for \( i = 1, \ldots, 4 \).

Example 2: A supply chain for fresh products

Fresh products degrade with time:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
x_1(t) \\
x_2(t) \\
x_3(t) \\
x_4(t)
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x_1(t) \\
x_2(t) \\
x_3(t) \\
x_4(t)
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix}
-u_1(t) + w_1(t) \\
u_1(t) - u_2(t) \\
u_2(t) - u_3(t) \\
u_3(t) + w_4(t)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Example 3: A Wind Farm

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
x_1(t) \\
x_2(t) \\
x_3(t) \\
x_4(t)
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x_1(t) \\
x_2(t) \\
x_3(t) \\
x_4(t)
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix}
B_1 u_1 + w_1 \\
B_2 u_2 + w_2 \\
B_3 u_3 + w_3 \\
B_4 u_4 + w_4
\end{bmatrix}
\]
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Example 1: A vehicle formation

Example 2: A supply chain for fresh products

Example 3: A Wind Farm
**Positive systems have nonnegative impulse response**

If the matrices $A$, $B$ and $C$ have nonnegative coefficients except possibly for the diagonal of $A$, then the system

\[
\frac{dx}{dt} = Ax + Bu \\
y = Cx
\]

has non-negative impulse response $Ce^{At}B$.

**Examples:**
- Ecological system with $x_k$ the population of species $k$.
- Chemical reaction with $x_k$ the concentration of reactant $k$.
- Economic system with $x_k$ the quantity of commodity $k$.
- Probabilistic model with $x_k$ the probability of state $k$.

**Stability of Positive systems**

Suppose the matrix $A$ has nonnegative off-diagonal elements. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) The system $\frac{dx}{dt} = Ax$ is exponentially stable.

(ii) There exits a vector $x > 0$ such that $Ax < 0$. (The vector inequalities are elementwise.)

(iii) There is a diagonal matrix $P > 0$ such that $PA^T + AP < 0$
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**Positive Systems and Nonnegative Matrices**

**Classics:**
- Perron (1907) and Frobenius (1912)
- Leontief (1936)
- Hirsch (1985)

**Books:**
- Gantmacher (1959)
- Berman and Plemmons (1979)
- Luenberger (1979)

**Recent control related work:**

**Stability can be Tested in a Distributed Way**

Stability of $x = Ax$ follows from existence of $x_k > 0$ such that

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & 0 \\
    a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & 0 \\
    0 & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\
    0 & 0 & a_{43} & a_{44}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    x_1 \\
    x_2 \\
    x_3 \\
    x_4
\end{bmatrix}
<
\begin{bmatrix}
    0 \\
    0 \\
    0 \\
    0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The first node verifies the inequality of the first row. The second node verifies the inequality of the second row. And so on…
Performance of Positive systems

Suppose the matrices $A$, $B$ and $C$ have nonnegative coefficients except for the diagonal of $A$. Suppose $A$ is Hurwitz. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $\max \omega |C(\omega I - A)^{-1}B| < \gamma$

(ii) $|CA^{-1}B| < \gamma$

(iii) There exists $x > 0$ such that $Cx < \gamma$, $Ax + B = 0$.

(iv) There is a diagonal matrix $P > 0$ such that

$$PA^T + AP + PC^T + CP + \gamma^{-2}BB^T < 0$$

Note: The linear inequalities (iii) can be tested row by row.

Synthesizing Positive Systems

$$A + BL = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} + \ell_1 & a_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} - \ell_1 & a_{22} + \ell_2 & a_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{32} - \ell_2 & a_{33} & a_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{bmatrix}$$

is stable and nonnegative if and only if $p_1 \geq 0$ and

$$(A + BL)P = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} + \ell_1 p_1 & a_{12} p_2 & 0 & 0 \\ (a_{21} - \ell_1) p_1 & (a_{22} + \ell_2) p_2 & a_{23} p_3 & 0 \\ 0 & (a_{32} - \ell_2) p_2 & a_{33} p_3 & a_{32} p_4 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{43} p_3 & a_{44} p_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

make $(A + BL)P + P(A + BL)^T$ negative definite with nonnegative off-diagonal elements. Solve using convex optimization in the pair $(P, PL)!$

[Tanaka and Langbort, ACC 2010]
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Optimal Allocation for Example A

Both transmission lines serving the load need to be used at full capacity to meet the demand $p_3 = 2$.

Optimal profit: $10p_3 - p_1 = 18$

In real power networks, electrons flow according to Kirchhoff’s laws. The allocation above is not feasible when all three lines are identical. Why?

Distributed Control Synthesis

Suppose the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} + \ell_1 & a_{12} \\ a_{21} - \ell_1 & a_{22} + \ell_2 & a_{23} \\ 0 & a_{32} - \ell_2 & a_{33} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{43} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{bmatrix}$$

is nonnegative for all $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in [0,1]$. For stabilizing gains $\ell_1, \ell_2$, find $0 \leq u_1 < x_4$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} u_1 < \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and set $\ell_1 = u_1/x_1$ and $\ell_2 = u_2/x_2$. Every row gives a local test.

Note: Positivity assumed a priori. What if $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{R}$?

Positivity versus Passivity

- Passivity can be described naturally in frequency domain.
- Positivity can be described naturally in time-domain.
- Negative feedback loops preserve passivity.
- Positive feedback loops preserve positivity.
- Parallel connections preserve both passivity and positivity.
- Series connections preserves positivity, but not passivity.

Example A: Electrical Power Transmission

Two generators with generation cost 1 and 9 respectively. One load willing to buy $p_3 = 2$ at the price 10:

Maximize profit:

$$10p_3 - 9p_2 - p_1$$

subject to capacity constraints:

$$|p_3| \leq 1, p_1 \geq 0, p_2 \geq 0, p_3 \geq 2$$

and conservation laws:

$$p_1 = p_2 + p_3$$
$$p_3 = p_1 + p_2$$

Example B: Optimal Potential Flow

Power flow is driven by potential differences:

Maximize profit:

$$10p_3 - 9p_2 - p_1$$

subject to capacity constraints:

$$|u_3| - |u_4| \leq 1, p_1 \geq 0, p_3 \geq 2$$

and conservation laws:

$$p_1 = (u_3 - u_4) + (u_4 - u_3)$$
$$p_3 = (u_1 - u_3) + (u_2 - u_3)$$
Both transmission lines serving the load need to be used at full capacity to meet the demand $p_3 = 2$. Hence $u_1 = u_2$ and there is no flow between node 1 and node 2!

The optimal profit is much smaller: $10p_3 - p_1 - 9p_2 = 10$

When transmission lines operate near capacity limits, losses are big. Can we take losses into account in the optimization?

Example C: Optimal Power Flow with Losses

Maximize profit: $10p_3 - 9p_2 - p_1$
subject to capacity constraints: $0 \leq v_i \leq 2$
and conservation laws:

$\begin{align*}
p_1 &= v_1(v_1 - v_2) + v_1(v_1 - v_3) \\
p_2 &= v_2(v_2 - v_1) + v_2(v_2 - v_3) \\
p_3 &= v_3(v_1 - v_3) + v_3(v_2 - v_3)
\end{align*}$

Profit Versus Power Demand
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Power Losses in a DC Transmission Line

For a DC transmission line with admittance $y$, input voltage $v_1$ and output voltage $v_2$, we have:

- Line current: $i = y(v_1 - v_2)$
- Injected power: $p_1 = yv_1(v_1 - v_2)$
- Delivered power: $p_2 = yv_2(v_1 - v_2)$
- Power loss: $p_1 - p_2 = y(v_1 - v_2)^2$

If the voltages are bounded from above by $\tau$, there is an upper bound on how much power the transmission line can deliver:

$p_2 = yv_2(v_1 - v_2) \leq \tau v_2 \leq \tau^{\frac{3}{2}}/4$

At the capacity limit, the power loss equals the delivered power.

Optimal Allocation for Example C

Both transmission lines serving the load need to be used at full capacity to meet the demand $p_3 = 2$. Hence $v_1 = v_2 = \tau$ and there is no current between node 1 and node 2!

There is no room for profit: $10p_3 - p_1 - 9p_2 = 0$

Notice that half of the generated power is lost in transmission!

Analogies to Electric Power Flow

Water distribution systems: Electrical voltage corresponds to water pressure. Differences in pressure creates flow.

Gas diffusion: Electrical voltage corresponds to partial pressure. Gradients in partial pressure creates diffusion.

Exchange economy: Voltages correspond to inverse prices. Price differences drive commodity flows. Delivered electric power corresponds to delivered commodity volume.

Two kinds of flow of simultaneous interest.
In power transmission networks, electric current is conserved, but electric power is dissipated due to transmission losses.
In economic systems the commodity value is conserved, but the commodity volume is dissipated due to transportation losses.
An Optimal Flow Problem for AC Power

Minimize
$$\text{Re} \sum_k i_k^* V_k$$
subject to $I = YV$ and
$$P_k \leq \text{Re} \left( i_k^* V_k \right) \leq P_k$$
$$Q_k \leq \text{Im} \left( i_k^* V_k \right) \leq Q_k$$
$$|V_k| \leq b_k$$
for $k = 1, \ldots, 4$

(Convex relaxation by Lavaei/Low inspired this talk.)

Future DC Power Transmission Network in Europe?

Positive Quadratic Programming

Given $A_0, \ldots, A_K \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with nonnegative off-diagonal entries and $b_1, \ldots, b_K \in \mathbb{R}$, the following equality holds:
$$\max \quad x^T A_0 x = \max \quad \text{trace}(A_0 X)$$
subject to $\lambda_k \geq 0$
$$x^T A_k x \geq b_k \quad k = 1, \ldots, K$$

Proof
If $X = \begin{bmatrix} |x_1|^2 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ |x_n|^2 \end{bmatrix}$ maximizes the right hand side,
then $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$ maximizes the left.

Note: The problem is convex in $|v_1|^2, \ldots, |v_n|^2$

Dual Positive Quadratic Programming

Given $A_0, \ldots, A_K \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with nonnegative off-diagonal entries and $b_1, \ldots, b_K \in \mathbb{R}$, the following equality holds:
$$\text{max} \quad x^T A_0 x = \min \quad -\sum_k \lambda_k b_k$$
subject to $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_K \geq 0$
$$x^T A_k x \geq b_k \quad k = 1, \ldots, K$$
$$0 \geq A_0 + \sum_k \lambda_k A_k$$

Interpretation:
In the power flow example, $\lambda_k$ is the price of power at node $k$. 
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Finding Optimum by Distributed Control

Given power prices $\lambda_k$ at each node, find the optimal allocation:

\[
\min \sum_{j,k} \lambda_k y_{jk} (v_k - v_j) \quad \text{subject to } v_k \leq \bar{v}_k
\]

Primal decomposition gives convergence to optimum:

\[
u^+ = \arg \min \left\{ \sum_{j,k} \lambda_k y_{jk} (v_k - v_j) - \lambda_j y_{jk} (v_k - v_j) \right\}
\]

value into link $jk$ value out from link $jk$

Can it pay off to disconnect a line?

Given power prices $\lambda_k$ at each node, find the optimal allocation:

\[
\min \sum_{j,k} \lambda_k y_{jk} (v_k - v_j) \quad \text{subject to } v_k \leq \bar{v}_k, y_{jk} \in [0, \bar{y}_{jk}]
\]

Summary

\begin{itemize}
  \item Why Distributed Control?
  \item Optimizing Electrical Power Flow
  \item Positive Quadratic Programming
  \item Distributed Control of Positive Systems
  \item Finding Optimum by Distributed Control
\end{itemize}
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