

Words as cues to conceptual structure: theoretical considerations and practical applications

Paradis, Carita

2015

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Paradis, C. (2015). Words as cues to conceptual structure: theoretical considerations and practical applications. Abstract from The Fifth Conference of the Scandinavian Association for Language and Cognition, Trondheim, Norway.

Total number of authors:

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study

- or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Download date: 17. May. 2025

Words as cues to conceptual structure theoretical considerations and practical applications

Carita Paradis, Centre for Languages and Literature, Lund University

This talk centres on the notion of word and discusses why the idea that words have set meanings is problematic for the description and modelling of meaning using real language data. Rather than thinking of words as having set meanings, words are better modelled as cues to meaning. Sometimes a word is not a word but many words, e.g. in spite of, have a bath, all of a sudden and sometimes, or often, words express meanings that may not be offered by language users had they been asked, e.g. not meaning 'fairly', as in not bad, certain colours describing smells as in white aromas, or tactile experiences such as soft describing colours as in soft purple. Such uses are neither accidental nor rare but pervasive in language and cry out for a fair and equal treatment. A general framework that offers a way of describing and explaining lexical meaning and that furnishes the analyst with a way of tackling the challenges of the dynamics of human communication is outlined.