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A B S T R A C T

Resistivity and induced polarization (IP) measurements on soil contaminated with non-aqueous phase liquids (NAPLs) show a great variety in results in previous research. Several laboratory studies have suggested that the presence of NAPLs in soil samples generally decrease the magnitude of the IP-effect, while others have indicated the opposite. A number of conceptual models have been proposed suggesting that NAPLs can alter the pore space in different ways, e.g. by coating the grain surfaces and thus inhibiting grain polarization, or by changing the pore throat size and thus affecting the membrane polarization mechanism. The main aim of this paper is to review previously published conceptual models and to introduce some new concepts of possible residual NAPL configurations in the pore space. Time domain induced polarization measurements were performed at a NAPL contaminated field site, and the data were inverted using the Constant Phase Angle (CPA) model and the Cole–Cole model respectively. No significant phase anomalies were observed in the source area of the contamination when the CPA inverted profiles were compared with soil sampling results of free-phase contaminant concentrations. However, relatively strong phase and normalized phase anomalies appeared next to the source area, where residual free-phase presence could be expected according to the chemical data. We conclude that depending on the NAPL configuration, different spectral IP responses can be expected. In previous research, the NAPL configurations in different samples or field sites are often unknown, and this may to some extent explain why different results have been achieved by different authors. In our field case, we believe that the NAPL forms a more or less continuous phase in the pore space of the source zone leading to an absence of IP anomalies. The increase in phase and normalized phase angle observed next to the source zone is interpreted as a degradation zone. The ongoing biodegradation may have led to a fractionation of the continuous NAPL in the outer part of the original source zone, leading to residual presence of isolated NAPL droplets in the soil pores. With such NAPL configurations, an increased polarization can be expected according to the electrochemical- and membrane polarization mechanisms. More research is needed to confirm the effects of different NAPL configuration on spectral IP parameters.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

A common environmental problem is the remediation of ground contaminated with non-aqueous phase liquids (NAPLs), e.g. gasoline or industrial chlorinated solvents. These chemicals are immiscible liquids that do not easily dissolve in water, and as such they often exist as an own phase in the soil (a so called free-phase product). NAPLs are divided into light non-aqueous phase liquids (LNAPLs) and dense non-aqueous phase liquids (DNAPLs), where the former have a lower density and the latter have a higher density than water. The density difference has the implication that large LNAPL spills are often found floating on the groundwater surface when released into the ground, while DNAPLs sink through the groundwater until it reaches a less permeable layer, e.g. the bedrock. Free-phase products of both LNAPLs and DNAPLs can exist as a continuous phase in the ground or as a residual phase, where parts of the contaminant have been trapped in the soil and are not displaced due to gravitational forces (Atekwana and Atekwana, 2010; Ajo-Franklin et al., 2006).

Delineations of NAPL plumes are commonly carried out by means of borehole drilling and chemical sampling. However, there is a risk of spreading the contaminants vertically during this process and the drillings are expensive and give point information rather than a continuous picture of the contaminant plume. Geophysical methods are, in a general sense, effective tools in providing continuous information of soil properties in between individual boreholes. Resistivity and induced polarization (IP) are methods that have been considered promising in order to achieve detection of NAPLs in soils, and research
has been carried out in both laboratory and field. The interest in the resistivity method has emerged because NAPLs are electrical insulators and can cause a rise in resistivity when their free-phase products displace water in saturated soil. This has been observed in a number of lab and field studies on different kinds of NAPLs (e.g. Chambers et al., 2004; Cassiani et al., 2009; Naudet et al., 2014; Power et al., 2015). However, at field sites, the situation is complicated by the fact that the age and degradation status of the contaminants change the groundwater chemistry. Release of ions during biodegradation, or mineral weathering and dissolution caused by organic acids released by bacteria, can cause increased groundwater conductivity (Atekwana and Slater, 2009; Atekwana and Atekwana, 2010). Thus, increased bulk resistivity due to free-phase NAPL presence could be suppressed by increased groundwater conductivity.

A possible solution to the problem of using resistivity alone for NAPL detection is to extend the measurements to include IP. IP spectra or time decays are sensitive to properties at the pore scale, such as e.g. grain sizes, grain shape, grain surface chemistry and pore throat size distribution (e.g. Slater and Lesmes, 2002a; Scott and Barker, 2003; Binley et al., 2005; Nordziek and Weller, 2008; Titov et al., 2010). Consequently, the IP method may have a greater potential of being able to detect NAPLs in the pore space compared to resistivity alone. However, the results of previous studies in both field and laboratory scale vary and sometimes point in opposite directions. Several laboratory measurements have suggested that the presence of NAPLs in water saturated soil samples generally reduce the magnitude of the IP effect (Börner et al., 1993; Vanhala et al., 1992; Vanhala, 1997; Chambers et al., 2004; Martinho et al., 2006; Schmutz et al., 2010; Revil et al., 2011), while others have indicated the opposite (Olhoeft, 1985; Titov et al., 2004; Cassiani et al., 2009; Schmutz et al., 2010, 2012). As expected, varied results have also been achieved in field studies of NAPL contaminated ground. For example, free-phase NAPL presence in an unconfined aquifer have resulted in decreased chargeability (Flores Orozco et al., 2012), while in another field study, high chargeability was interpreted as an indicator of LNAPLs in clayey sand (Deceuster & Kaufmann, 2012).

In contrast to several lab studies, where the relationship between NAPL concentration and IP response has been interpreted as essentially linear (e.g. Revil et al., 2011; Schmutz et al., 2010, 2012), other trends have also been observed. In some cases, a threshold value in the relationship between NAPL concentration and IP response in water saturated soil samples has been seen. Titov et al. (2004) found increasing chargeability with LNAPL content up to a saturation of 92% and decreasing chargeability with further increase of the LNAPL. A similar trend has been observed by Schmutz et al. (2012). In contrast, measurements by Martinho et al. (2006) indicated an initial decrease of chargeability values up to a LNAPL saturation of around 10% in clayey sand samples. Slightly increasing chargeability values were observed at higher contents, although all values were below the values of clean sand, in contrast to the data from Titov et al. (2004).

It is not always straightforward to compare the results from previous laboratory measurements since there can be variations in e.g. sample preparation and NAPL phases and species (Cassiani et al., 2009). Field data is often even more challenging because of different field circumstances such as geological setting and degradation status. Beyond variations in chemical, biological and geological parameters, a factor that plays a major role in understanding the effect on measured IP of NAPL in soils is the geometrical configuration of the free-phase product in the pore space. In published research, interpretation of IP data often rely on different conceptual models of NAPL configuration, which in different ways explain the observed results (e.g. Olhoeft, 1985; Titov et al., 2004; Martinho et al., 2006; Schmutz et al., 2010; Revil et al., 2011; Flores Orozco et al., 2012; Shefer et al., 2013). However, to date, there are no comparative studies discussing possible effects of different models. There is thus a need for a comprehensive discussion and comparison of various possible NAPL configurations, since this may enhance the understanding of why different results may be achieved when IP measurements are performed on NAPL contaminated soil.

The main aim of this paper is to review previously published conceptual models and to introduce some new concepts of possible residual NAPL configurations in the pore space. We will discuss how the conceptual models can be expected to influence spectral (Cole–Cole) IP parameters (Section 5). Field results from time domain IP measurements on a site contaminated with DNAPLs will be presented (Section 4) and discussed in relation to the qualitative results from the discussion of NAPL configurations. The data were inverted with both the Constant Phase Angle (CPA) model and the Cole–Cole model. The results indicate a zone of increased phase/chargeability and decreased relaxation time at a location outside the free-phase source area, while no effects can be distinguished at the locations where the highest DNAPL concentrations were observed. The increased IP effects are interpreted as possible effects of residual free-phase presence. We believe that the concentration and configuration of the DNAPL in the soil are important since this will affect the micro geometrical properties at the pore scale and the current paths through the soil.

In a wider context, we wish to raise the awareness of the importance of assumptions about the NAPL configuration in a pore space, an issue that was also stressed by Cassiani et al. (2009), and its possible impacts on spectral IP parameters. We believe that such considerations could enhance future interpretations of IP data measured on NAPL contaminated lab samples or field sites.

2. Theory

2.1. Polarization mechanisms

The mechanisms behind the spectral induced polarization response in metal-free soils are usually described in terms of electrochemical polarization, membrane polarization or Maxwell–Wagner polarization. The basis of the electrochemical polarization mechanism (also called grain polarization or Stern layer polarization) is the existence of electrical double layers (EDLs) around soil grains. The EDLs arises as a result of a negative surface charge on mineral grains surrounded by water, which leads to attachment of a fixed layer of counter-ions at the grain surface, the so called Stern layer. In addition, a second layer of counter-ions, called the diffuse layer, is formed and consists of more weakly electrostatically attracted solvent ions. When an electrical field is applied to the soil, the EDL becomes polarized (Schwarz, 1962). Modeling studies have shown that the polarization of the Stern layer is much stronger in magnitude compared to the polarization of the diffuse layer (De Lima and Sharma, 1992; Lesmes and Morgan, 2001; Leroy et al., 2008). The total polarization magnitude has been observed to be inversely proportional to the grain size of silts, sands and tills (Slater, 2002). The relaxation time increases with the characteristic grain (or pore throat) size, since this determine the possible length scale of diffusion for ions in the EDL (Slater, 2007).

The concept behind membrane polarization is that series of small ion-selective and non-selective zones exist in the pore system. The ion-selective zones can consist of negatively charged clay particles attracting a cloud of counter-ions, or narrow pores or pore-throats which to a large degree are occupied by the EDLs of the surrounding grains. When an electric field is applied to the system, ions are blocked in front of the ion-selective zones, and ions attached to mineral particles are displaced. The length ratio and relative ion transparencies between the ion-selective and non-selective zones determine the polarization magnitude, while the length of the non-selective zones controls the diffusion length scale and therefore the relaxation time (Marshall and Madden, 1959; Vinegar and Waxman, 1984; Titov et al., 2002).

The Maxwell–Wagner polarization mechanism is related to ion accumulations at borders between soil phases with different dielectrical properties, e.g. water and grains. This mechanism dominates at higher frequencies (above 1 kHz) and is also dependent on the shapes of
different phases in a soil matrix (Lesmes and Morgan, 2001). Quantification of the mechanism requires modeling with effective media theories, and this has usually been made with two-phase systems (De Lima and Sharma, 1992; Lesmes and Morgan, 2001; Leroy and Revil, 2009).

The IP effects measured in a natural soil likely consist of several superposed relaxation mechanisms, but no unifying theory covering interactions between all of the polarization mechanisms is available to date. Therefore, we will discuss both electrochemical and membrane polarization mechanisms in Section 5. Although Maxwell–Wagner effects are also likely to influence measured IP effects, this mechanism will not be further discussed. A study of the behavior of the Maxwell–Wagner effect in NAPL contaminated soil requires modeling of three-phase systems, and its behavior cannot be qualitatively predicted from simplified conceptual models as those that will be presented in Section 5. Furthermore, we are mainly interested in low-frequency mechanisms.

2.2. Frequency domain and time domain IP

In frequency domain, the amplitude- and phase-shifts of an alternating current (AC) that has traveled through a soil volume is measured. The total complex conductivity $\sigma'$ (the reciprocal of complex resistivity $\rho'$) can be expressed as:

$$\sigma' = |\sigma|e^{i\phi}$$

(1)

where $|\sigma|$(S/m) is the measured magnitude of the amplitude and $\phi$(rad) is the phase angle.

In time domain, resistivity is measured during the injection of a direct current (DC) pulse, while chargeability is measured as the remaining potential after the current has been switched off. The intrinsic chargeability is defined as (Seigel, 1959):

$$m = \frac{V_t}{V_p}$$

(2)

where $V_p$ is the primary voltage of the transmitted DC current and $V_t$ is the maximum voltage immediately after the interruption of the current pulse. In practice, $m$ is commonly measured and calculated as the integral of the decay curve during a defined time window:

$$m_i = \frac{1}{t_{i+1} - t_{i}} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} \frac{V}{V_p} dt.$$  

(3)

The complex conductivity (Eq. (1)) can be rewritten in Cartesian coordinates:

$$\sigma' = \sigma' + i\sigma''$$

(4)

where $\sigma'$ and $\sigma''$ are the real and imaginary parts of $\sigma'$, which can be calculated as functions of both $|\sigma|$ and $\phi$. The real part $\sigma'$ represents the electrical conduction in the soil, which is mainly made up of ionic conduction in the groundwater and surface conduction along interconnected grains. The imaginary part $\sigma''$ represents the complex and frequency-dependent capacitive properties in the soil, i.e. it is usually assumed to be a measure of the induced polarization effect only. The time domain parameter $m$ can be compared to the frequency domain $\phi$, that is, it is affected not only by polarization mechanisms but also the bulk conductivity in the ground. The time domain equivalent to the frequency domain parameter $\sigma'$ is called the normalized chargeability (MN) and is calculated by a division with resistivity. Since the normalized parameters (during the right soil conditions, e.g. water saturated and metal free soil) can be assumed to be a measure of the IP effect alone, they are more sensitive to surface chemical properties of the material compared to $m$ and $\phi$ (Slater and Lesmes, 2002b).

A number of phenomenological models exist which can be fitted to the IP spectra, among which the most commonly used are the Cole–Cole like model (Cole and Cole, 1941; Pelton et al., 1978) and the Constant Phase Angle (CPA) model (e.g. Van Voorhis et al., 1973). The Cole–Cole model assumes a peak frequency of the polarization spectra and implies four parameters describing the shape of the IP spectra. The Cole–Cole model describing the complex resistivity $\rho''(\omega)$ is:

$$\rho''(\omega) = \rho_0 \left[1 - m_0 \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + (i\omega\tau)^b}\right)\right]$$

(5)

where $\rho_0(\Omega m)$ is the DC resistivity, $m_0(mV/V)$ is the chargeability as defined in (2), $\tau(s)$ is the relaxation time, $c$ is the frequency factor, $\omega(rad)$ is the angular frequency and $i$ is the imaginary unit (Pelton et al., 1978; Fiandaca et al., 2013). The response of soils or rocks with little or no frequency dependence of the phase shift is often described in frequency domain with the CPA model:

$$\rho''(\omega) = K(\omega \phi)^{-b}$$

(6a)

where in which the phase of the complex resistivity is constant over frequency

$$\phi = -\frac{\pi}{2}b.$$  

(6b)

In the CPA model the modulus of the resistivity increases indefinitely for decreasing frequencies, and it is not possible to define a zero-frequency value, that can instead be defined in the Drake model (Van Voorhis et al., 1973):

$$\rho''(\omega) = K(\omega + \phi)^{-b}$$

(7a)

in which the low-frequency pole $\phi_l$ allows the definition of a zero-frequency resistivity $\rho$

$$\rho = \rho''(0) = K(\phi_l)^{-b}.$$  

(7b)

The Drake model and the CPA model coincide for $\omega \gg \phi_l$. In the present study when inverting for the CPA model we actually use a Drake model with low-frequency pole $\phi_l$ fixed to a small value (1 µHz). In this way we can invert directly for $\phi$ and $\rho$, instead of having to invert for the non-conventional parameter $K$ of Eq. (6a).

3. Materials & methods

Resistivity and time domain IP (DCIP) were measured over a former dry-cleaning site in southern Sweden, where a large amount of tetrachloroethylene (PCE) was released between the early 1900s and the late 1980s. The site, which is one of the worst PCE contaminated areas in Sweden, rests on top of a major drinking aquifer, and a protected wetland is situated east of the property boundary, see Fig. 1.

The site and its surroundings have previously been extensively investigated with drilling and soil- and groundwater sampling in order to try to delineate the source area of the PCE spill as well as polluted groundwater plumes. While the source area of free-phase PCE resides within the boundaries of the former dry-cleaning site (Fig. 1), contaminated groundwater with dissolved degradation products have been widely spread over surrounding areas covering several hectares.

3.1. Previous investigations

The main aim of the DCIP survey was to investigate if the source area of free-phase PCE could be detected with DCIP. As reference data, geological classifications from the previous drilling investigations carried out in between 2008 and 2013 were used. The labeled boreholes
in Fig. 1 were drilled during the period 2008 to 2010, using auger (100-series) and sonic (500- and 600-series) drilling methods respectively. Polyethene (PEH) wells were installed in approximately half of the 100- and 500-series boreholes, but these had been removed at the time of the DCIP survey.

A principal sketch of the geological profile across the site is shown in Fig. 2. The general geological setting consists mainly of sandy chalk till above a bedrock of sandy limestone. At the southeastern (SE) boundary of the site, the bedrock is situated below −15 mamsl, tilting towards the northwest (NW) to below −20 mamsl. Above the till is a layer of varved clay and a thin layer of fill material. In the NW half of the site, the thickness of the clay layer increases and the clay is interspersed with layers of sand, peat and gyttja clay as the wetland is approached.

Fig. 2 also shows a sketch of the estimated vertical extension of the PCE source contamination in green. The sketch is based on chemical soil sampling results (700-series, Fig. 3) performed less than a month before the DCIP measurements in 2013. The soil samples were collected from complete cores extracted from the boreholes with sonic drilling. Shortly after the core drillings, the cores were geologically classified and soil samples were taken out from different levels along the cores and sent for chemical analysis of different chlorinated hydrocarbon species. Generalized results of the chemical analyses are shown in Fig. 3, where interpolated spatial extensions and total measured amounts of PCE and its degradation product Cis-1,2-dichloroethene (Cis-1,2-DE) in the sandy chalk till (−4 to −14 mamsl) are visualized. The amounts of Cis-1,2-DE were more than 10 times higher than the first- and third order degradation products trichloroethylene (TCE) and vinyl chloride (VC), which are not visualized in Fig. 3.

The interpolated PCE surface in Fig. 3 shows the probable source area of the contamination, while the Cis-1,2-DE results indicate a main degradation zone east of the source area. High amounts of PCE and Cis-1,2-DE were also found in the upper fill- and clay layers (above −4 mamsl), as qualitatively shown in Fig. 2.

No groundwater wells were installed during the drilling campaign 2013 (700-series). Therefore, no reference data on groundwater...
chemistry at the site were available to compare with the DCIP results. However, divers installed in surrounding areas showed that the groundwater level was located at around $-1.7$ m amsl during the time of the DCIP measurements.

### 3.2. DCIP measurements

Nine parallel DCIP lines separated by 2.5 m were used to cover the site of the former dry cleaning facility (Figs. 1 and 3). The DCIP measurements were made with ABEM Terrameter LS by use of pole–dipole configuration, with an electrode spacing of 2.5 m and an average profile length of 80 m. In order to reduce capacitive coupling, a separated cable layout was used (Dahlin and Leroux, 2012). The pole–dipole configuration was used in order to gain good depth penetration in relation to the rather limited spreads, where the spread lengths were limited by the urban character of the site. An electrode spread of 80 m resulted in maximum median depth penetration of around 30 m, but as the data cover for the deepest levels is limited, the model results for the largest depths were not considered in the interpretation.

Stainless steel electrodes were used for current transmission as well as potential measurements (Dahlin et al., 2002; LaBrecque and Daily, 2008). The measurement protocols were designed to avoid using an electrode for potential measurement immediately or soon after it was used for transmitting current, in order to reduce background potential variation due to charge-up during current injection (Dahlin, 2000). Attention was devoted to securing good galvanic contact between the electrodes and ground in order to optimize data quality, where a starch based gel (Revert Optimum from Johnson Screens®) proved effective for reducing the contact resistance in coarse grained soils and fill material. Average contact resistances were thereby kept well below 1 kΩ with occasional electrodes going up to a few kΩ.

A square-wave with 1 s on- and off time was used for the measurements and full-waveform data was recorded. Logarithmically spaced IP gates were integrated over multiples of 60 ms to account for and remove train traffic disturbances at 16 2/3 Hz. The total measuring time of each decay curve was 1 s, which together with the gating provided a time domain data range corresponding to approximately 1.25–25 Hz in frequency domain.

![Fig. 3. Summarizing results from the chemical analyses of soil samples taken in the sandy chalk till (below − 4 m amsl). The interpolated PCE surface show approximately the main location of the source contamination, while the interpolated Cis-1,2-DE surface indicate the main degradation zone.](image)

![Fig. 4. Inverted decay curves (red lines) fitted to the data (black lines) during the CPA inversion. Most decays were fitted with the CPA model (left) while some decays contained spectral information neglected in the CPA inversion (right).](image)
3.3. Data processing and inversion

The raw data was affected by urban noise but were still of an overall adequate quality. The data sets were processed, and the noisiest decay curves were removed together with some early data points which indicated coupling effects. Around 25% of the measured decay curves were removed due to low data quality.

The processed DC and full decay IP data were inverted in 2D with AarhusInv (Auken et al., 2014), following (Fiandaca et al., 2013), with both the CPA and the Cole–Cole models. In both cases, the current

![Diagram showing CPA inverted sections of Line A with superposed geological reference data (a–c). The black dashed line represent the border between the clay layers and the sandy till, while the dotted line show the limits between fill, clay and peat layers. a) The resistivity of the sandy till is generally low with the exception of a high-resistive anomaly (red dashed line). b) The phase angle is generally low with the exception of two anomalies (red dashed lines). c) The center phase anomaly is also visible in the normalized phase angle profile (red dashed line), which indicate that it is not related to variations in groundwater chemistry. d) The chemical reference data show that the zone of highest concentrations of chlorinated hydrocarbons (boreholes 728 and 711, which indicate the PCE source zone) is located in between the phase anomalies.](image-url)
waveform was modeled in the forward computations, in order to obtain inversion results not affected by the acquisition settings (Fiandaca et al., 2012). All settings used for inverting the CPA- and Cole–Cole models were identical with the exception of the parameterization. Since the IP decays had been measured during only 1 s, it was difficult to reliably resolve the spectral parameters $\tau$ and $c$ during the inversion. With such short acquisition times, the data were fitted at least equally as good with the CPA model. For this reason, the CPA

![Image](image.png)

**Fig. 6.** CPA inverted sections of Line C with superposed geological reference data (a–c). The black dashed line represent the border between the clay layers and the sandy till, while the dotted line show the limits between fill, clay and peat layers. a) The resistivity of the sandy till is generally low with the exception of a high-resistive anomaly (red dashed line), b) The phase angle is generally low with the exception of two anomalies (red dashed lines), c) Both phase anomalies are also visible in the normalized phase angle profile (red dashed lines), which indicate that it is not related to variations in groundwater chemistry. d) The chemical reference data show that the zone of highest concentrations of chlorinated hydrocarbons (boreholes 728, 711 and 712, which indicate the PCE source zone) is located in between the phase anomalies.
inversion models will generally be shown in the following, except for one example where both inverted CPA and Cole–Cole models will be shown for comparison. The CPA models will be shown both in terms of the inverted parameters ($\rho$ and $\phi$) and of the normalized phase angle $\phi\sigma$, obtained dividing the inverted phase angle $\phi$ by the inverted resistivity $\rho$ cell by cell. Fig. 4 shows qualitative examples of the fit between the data and the inverted CPA model.

4. Results

In Section 4.1, we will show results from three of the nine CPA inverted DCIP-lines; two of which were measured directly upon the source zone in the southwestern part of the site (lines A and C in Fig. 3 in Section 3.1). The third and northernmost line (Line G) is shown as a reference since only small amounts of chlorinated hydrocarbons, Fig. 7. CPA inverted sections of Line G with superposed geological reference data (a–c). The black dashed line represent the border between the clay layers and the sandy till, while the dotted line show the limits between fill, clay and peat layers. a) The resistivity of the sandy till is more heterogeneous compared to Lines A and C. b) The phase angle is low. c) No normalized phase angle anomalies can be distinguished in the till. d) The chemical reference data show that high concentrations of chlorinated hydrocarbons are only found in the clay layers. The low values found in the till do not seem to give rise to phase anomalies.
situated mainly in the clay layer above the till, were discovered here. In Section 4.2, we will also show results from Line A inverted with the Cole-Cole model for a comparison with the CPA results.

4.1. CPA inversion results and interpretation

Fig. 5 show the CPA inverted results from Line A together with geological reference data. Below the thin fill layer is a low resistive clay layer in the SW half of the profile, extending vertically to −4 maml (Fig. 5a, upper and lower limits indicated by dotted and dashed lines respectively). The vertical and horizontal extension of the clay layer is more clearly distinguishable in the phase section (Fig. 5b), where it gives rise to an anomaly of low phase. In agreement with the geological reference data, the clay layer becomes thinner from x-distance 30 m and is absent in between x-distances 40–50 m. Also in the NE part of the profile a clay layer is present beneath the fill, but here, it is interspersed with layers of peat and gyttja clay. As the wetland is approached, the layer quickly grows thicker towards the NE from x-distance 50 m towards the end of the profile (Fig. 5a, dashed line). The layer is characterized by low resistivity (Fig. 5a) and high- to intermediate phase (Fig. 5b), where the lowest resistivity and highest phase values seem to correspond to presence of peat (dotted lines in Fig. 5a-b).

A discrimination between organic material and clay is effectively seen in the normalized phase section (Fig. 5c), where the layer of high normalized phase correlate well with confirmed peat presence in the reference boreholes.

Below the fill- and clay layers in Fig. 5 (the black dashed line in Fig. 5a-c), the geophysical data correspond exclusively to sandy chalk till. As can be seen in Fig. 5a, the resistivity is generally low with the exception of a high-resistive anomaly (red dashed line). The reference data do not indicate a major geological difference between the higher- and lower resistive zones in the till, but it is possible that these variations are caused by slight differences in grain size distribution that may have been overlooked during the geological classifications. The phase values in the sandy chalk till (Fig. 5b) are generally low as expected for sand and chalk. However, two clearly distinguishable anomalies with high phase values can be seen at x-distances 0–10 m and 20–35 m respectively (red dashed lines). These anomalies cannot be explained by geological variations in the till material as no e.g. clayey zones have been discovered in the sandy chalk till. Furthermore, the center anomaly is also visible in the normalized phase section (Fig. 5c), which indicate that it is not connected to variations in groundwater chemistry.

In Fig. 5d, chemical reference data from boreholes along Line A are plotted together with lines representing the geological interpretations from and the phase anomalies in the geophysical data. Just west of the center phase anomaly, the chemical reference data show that the total chlorinated hydrocarbon concentrations are at a maximum, which indicate the location of the PCE source zone (Fig. 3 in Section 3.1). The location of the source zone (Fig. 5d) correspond to high values in resistivity (Fig. 5a). However, it is uncertain if the source of the observed high resistivity is due to free-phase contamination, coarser till or a combination thereof. The center phase and normalized phase anomaly is located in a zone which is characterized by intermediate concentrations of chlorinated hydrocarbons (Fig. 5d). While the concentrations are high at the SW border of the phase anomaly, they are intermediate inside it and are becoming essentially zero beyond the NE border.

The patterns of the phase anomalies in the till in relation to chemical borehole data are consistent in all of the nine measured DCIP profiles. Phase anomalies surrounding high hydrocarbon concentrations in the

Fig. 8. Map showing the highest resistivity- and phase values in the sandy till (−4 to −14 maml), obtained through interpolation of the resistivity and phase values in the CPA inverted 2D sections.
till are visible in Lines A–E. Another example is shown in Fig. 6, where the CPA inverted results from Line C are shown. The resistivity, phase and normalized phase distributions in the sandy till show patterns similar to those in Line A (Fig. 5), i.e. a high resistive anomaly coinciding with the location of the chlorinated hydrocarbon source zone (Fig. 6a, red dashed line) and a phase anomaly NE of the source zone (Fig. 6b and d, red dashed line) which is also visible in the normalized phase section (Fig. 6c). In Line C, a second strong phase anomaly located SW of the source zone is visible in both the phase and the normalized phase sections (Fig. 6b–c). Based on the location of this anomaly close to edges of the inverted sections, it is possible that it is enhanced due to border effects, but a physical cause of these anomalies cannot be ruled out.

In Lines F–I, high hydrocarbon concentrations are only found in the upper clay layer, and the IP effects in the till are generally very low. Fig. 7 shows an example of data from Line C, where the concentrations are low in the till and there is no longer an apparent phase anomaly visible below the clay layers (Fig. 7b–c). The geological stratigraphy is similar to Lines A and C according to the geological reference data, although the resistivity values are more heterogeneous compared to in Lines A and C (Fig. 7a).

High resistivity in the till where high total chlorinated hydrocarbon concentrations have been measured is visible in many of the profiles, but the resistivity anomalies do not show the same consistency in relation to the chemical data as the phase anomalies. It is probable that the high resistive anomalies are caused by both high concentrations of chlorinated hydrocarbons and/or zones of coarser till material. Gravel and cobbles have been observed locally in a few of the reference boreholes, although the major parts of the sandy chalk till consist mainly of sand-sized grains.

In Fig. 8, the CPA inverted resistivity and phase data have been interpolated between the 2D profiles, and the highest values encountered in the sandy chalk till (resistivity above 130 Ωm and phase above 3 mrad) at levels −5 to −14 mamsl have been visualized in a map. When the locations of the high-resistive anomalies in Fig. 8 are compared to the distribution of the PCE source zone in Fig. 3 (Section 3.1) some resemblance can be seen. The Cis-1,2-DE distribution NE of the PCE source zone in Fig. 3, which indicate the location of the degradation zone, correlate well with the phase anomalies in Fig. 8. The phase anomalies close to the SW border of the investigation area in Fig. 8 indicate an additional degradation zone SW of the PCE source zone. Such a widely distributed degradation zone is not indicated by the interpolated Cis-1,2-DE distribution in Fig. 3 due to a lack of chemical data between boreholes 728 and 704. However, high concentrations of Cis-1,2-DE have been measured in borehole 728 which is located just SW of the PCE source zone in Fig. 3. It is therefore likely that a degradation zone actually exist in the SW part of the site and extends beyond borehole 728, as indicated by the phase anomalies in Fig. 8.

4.2. Comparison with Cole–Cole inversions

In Fig. 9, one of the CPA inverted models shown above (Line A) is compared to the Cole–Cole inverted results of the same data. The resistivity pattern in the Cole–Cole inverted model is, as expected, similar to the pattern in the CPA inverted model (Fig. 9a). Also the location of the discussed IP anomalies in the till, i.e. high ϕ and m₀ respectively, is similar (red dashed lines in Fig. 9b). A difference between the m₀ and the ϕ sections is that the center m₀ anomaly (x-distance 25–40 m) seems to extend throughout the SW half of the Cole–Cole inverted profile, connecting to another high m₀ anomaly located at the SW border of the investigated line. The SW border anomaly is also visible in the CPA inverted ϕ section; however, it is much weaker compared to the Cole–Cole inverted m₀ section.

Fig. 9. Comparison of Line A inverted with the Cole–Cole model (left) and CPA model (right). The IP anomaly located east of the highest contaminated area is marked with a dashed line, and it can be seen that shorter relaxation times have been measured here compared to the surrounding till.
In Fig. 9c–d, it can be seen that the discussed $m_0$ anomaly seem to correspond to areas with relatively low $\tau$ and a varying $c$ (Fig. 9b–d, red dashed lines). Where $m_0$ is strongest, the inverted $c$ results are high. These patterns are consistent in all of the nine Cole–Cole inverted profiles. As mentioned in Section 3.3, the short acquisition times and noise affected IP decays resulted in an uncertainty in the inverted Cole–Cole parameters $\tau$ and $c$. Even so, the inverted results are good enough to provide a rough estimation of the variation of these parameters at locations where the signal-to-noise ratio is high, i.e. where $m_0$ is high.

Because of the general uncertainty in the spectral parameters due to the short acquisition range, we constrain our interpretation of the Cole–Cole inverted results to the fact that the discussed $m_0$ anomalies in the till seem to comprise low $\tau$.

5. Discussion

The field results presented in Section 4 show that high DNAPL concentrations in the soil did not give any measurable IP response, while zones with intermediate DNAPL concentrations gave enhanced IP effects and indicated decreased $\tau$. Considering the spatial location of the $\phi$ anomalies next to the source zone of free-phase PCE (Figs. 5d and 6d in Section 4.1) and with support from the chemical data in Fig. 3 (Section 3.1), we interpret these anomalies as representations of degradation zones in the outer edges of the PCE source zone. In a degradation zone, we believe that the partial degradation and dissolution of a continuous free-phase contamination can be expected to result in residual free-phase DNAPL in the soil pores. However, enhanced $\phi$ (or $m_0$) and decreased $\tau$ due to NAPL presence is in a general sense contradictory to the results from several other studies, since many of them indicate decreased IP effects (Börner et al., 1993; Vanhala et al., 1992; Vanhala, 1997; Chambers et al., 2004; Martinho et al., 2006; Schmutz et al., 2010; Revil et al., 2011) and often increased $\tau$ (Schmutz et al., 2010, 2012; Revil et al., 2011; Flores Orozco et al., 2012) in NAPL contaminated compared to clean soil. In order to explain our results, we therefore review some of the conceptual interpretation models that have been used in earlier studies, and complement them by other possible NAPL configurations that have not been discussed previously. The description of the expected IP behavior of these simplified systems will be carried out in terms of Cole–Cole parameters, since these are both effective and commonly used to describe IP spectra in both time- and frequency domain.

5.1. Conceptual models of NAPL saturated pore space

If the soil pores are essentially saturated with non-conductive free-phase NAPL, the two scenarios depictured in Fig. 10 can be considered. The configuration of NAPL around the soil grains depends on whether the geological material is water-wet or oil-wet. A discussion of the factors that determine the wettability of the interface between geological materials and NAPL is outside the scope of this paper and can be found elsewhere (Zinszner and Pellerin, 2007). Regardless of the wettability, however, full free-phase NAPL saturation in a soil usually makes it impossible to inject current into the sample and measure the IP response in lab (e.g. Schmutz et al., 2010). On the other hand, a zone of NAPL saturated soil could in theory be detectable in field measurements as an anomaly with low $m_0$. Low $m_0$ would be the result of suppressed membrane and/or Stern layer polarization mechanisms due to the displacement of the pore water by the NAPL.

5.2. Conceptual models of residual NAPL in water saturated pore space

When the soil is not fully saturated with NAPL, there is a variety of possible geometrical arrangements of the free-phase product, and we confine ourselves here to the case of residual NAPL presence in an otherwise water-saturated pore space. The geometrical configuration of the residual NAPL depends on factors such as the wettability, the capillary pressure and the dynamic history of the NAPL in the pore space (Zinszner and Pellerin, 2007). Fig. 11 gives four different conceptual models which are possible during different circumstances, and the geometrical configurations in these models could affect measured spectral IP parameters in different ways. In model A, the NAPL is trapped in the soil pores while it in principle also could be trapped in the pore throats (model B), interconnected between several pores (model C) or coating the grain surfaces (model D). In contrast to models C and D, the effects of the conceptual models A and B on IP response or Cole–Cole parameters have not been thoroughly discussed in previous research.

5.2.1. Model A

Assuming that the NAPL is present as isolated spheres or blobs in the pores of the granular media (model A in Fig. 11), we can expect an increase in $m_0$. This can be explained by either the electrochemical or the membrane polarization mechanism. It has been shown that a negative surface charge arises at the interfaces between non-polar oil droplets and water, caused by adsorption of hydroxyl ions (Marinova et al., 1996). Analogous to the EDL that forms at grain-water interfaces, the water–oil interfaces will also attract counter ions, leading to the formation of polarizable EDL that can add up to a larger IP response of the soil system. A second contributing factor to increased $m_0$ in model A would be that a larger portion of the current flows through the EDLs of the grains compared to the conductive preferential pathways made up by free ion mobility in the water, and thus produce enhanced polarization, a process that has been described by Titov et al. (2004) for unsaturated sands. According to the electrochemical polarization mechanism, the Cole–Cole peak relaxation time $\tau$ is proportional to the dominant radius of the (assumed spherical) particles (Lesmes and Frye, 2001; Revil and Florsch, 2010). With the presence of NAPL droplets in the pores (which are smaller than the dominant grain size), it can be assumed that the dominant size of the spheres (grains and NAPL droplets) decrease, resulting in a decreased $\tau$ in the soil volume. Because of the greater range of particle sizes and relaxation times $\tau$, the frequency factor $c$ can also be expected to decrease. Although these effects may be likely for many natural materials with a relatively broad particle size distribution, a second peak in the frequency spectra may be more likely to appear in a very well sorted medium.

In terms of the membrane polarization mechanism, the space between the grains and the NAPL droplets can be considered as the ion selective (narrow) zone while the pore throats makes up the non-selective (wide) zones, i.e. the opposite to the situation in clean granular medium where the pore throats make up the selective zones and the non-selective zones are constituted by the pores (Titov et al., 2002, 2004). Compared to a clean sample, $m_0$ would increase with NAPL presence since the variation ion transparency between the zones becomes larger (Titov et al., 2004). Since $\tau$ is determined by the length of the non-selective zones (Vinegar and Waxman, 1984; Titov et al., 2002) and the pore throats (i.e. grain contacts) have smaller length scales than the pores, $\tau$ can be expected to decrease in NAPL.
contaminated soil. The frequency factor $c$ is dependent on the distribution of non-selective zone lengths. Thus, the presence of NAPL droplets in a portion of, but not all, pores would possibly increase the dispersion of relaxation times $\tau$.

NAPL droplets in soil pores was proposed but not discussed by Martinho et al. (2006). The NAPL configuration in model A is also similar to the conceptual model of air-filled pores in water-saturated soil studied by Titov et al. (2004), who interpreted a measured increased $m_0$ in NAPL contaminated samples as a result of mechanisms identical to increased $m_0$ of unsaturated samples. However, the NAPL configuration in model A does not require that entire pore spaces are filled with NAPL, and the mechanism of NAPL displacement over water is not the same as water drainage of pores in a soil.

5.2.2. Model B

If the residual NAPL is assumed to be trapped in the pore throats (model B in Fig. 11) instead of in the pores, the electrochemical polarization mechanism would still be expected to work identically as in case A, i.e. increased $m_0$, decreased $\tau$ and decreased $c$. However, the membrane polarization mechanism would differ in this case, since the non-selective zones appear in the pore throats for both clean and contaminated samples. The chargeability $m_0$ would still increase by NAPL droplets due to the decreased ion transparency in the narrower pore throats, but the lengths of the non-selective zones, and consequently $\tau$ and $c$, would probably remain unchanged.

5.2.3. Model C

In model C (Fig. 11), it is assumed that the free-phase NAPL is interconnected between several pores and pore throats. It can be expected that part of the electrochemical polarization present in a clean sample would be short-circuited by surface conduction along the EDLs of the interconnected residual NAPL, similarly to what have been observed for clays where the microstructure results in a conductive continuum rather than unconnected and polarizable EDLs or series of alternating ion transparency (Marshall and Madden, 1959). In addition, membrane polarization effects would vanish since this mechanism requires diffusion of mobile ions in the pore fluid. The net polarization $m_0$ is therefore expected to decrease with NAPL presence, until the soil eventually approaches the fully NAPL-saturated case (Fig. 11) and results in a flat IP spectrum. This means that $c$ is expected to decrease while $\tau$ is left unchanged. We believe that in the case of a NAPL configuration according to model C, the decrease in polarization magnitude would be related to an interconnected EDL of the NAPL rather than a suppression of the EDLs forming around the mineral grains.

Conceptual models similar to figure model C (Fig. 11) have been published by Schmutz et al. (2010) and Revil et al. (2011) for the water-wet distribution of LNAPLs in the pore space. In addition, they also presented a variant on model C where the geological material is assumed to be oil-wet rather than water-wet. This condition results in a full or partial prohibition of the EDLs around the affected grains in contact with the interconnected EDL, and this conceptual model have also been presented by Flores Orozco et al. (2012).

In contradiction to our qualitative predictions above, Schmutz et al. (2010, 2012) saw an increase in $c$ with increasing LNAPL content during water-wet conditions, a result which was attributed to the decrease in water saturation. In contrast, decreased $c$ were measured by Revil et al. (2011) for oil-wet conditions as well as decreased $m_0$ by Flores Orozco et al. (2012) in a field study of a LNAPL spill. Revil et al. (2011) interpreted the decrease in $c$ to depend on an increased cation exchange capacity (CEC) at the oil–water interface due to presence of polar molecules in the NAPL, resulting in increased surface conduction and a disappearance of polarization length scales, while Flores Orozco et al. (2012) interpreted their observation as a result of prevented EDL formation. In most cases, increased $\tau$ were observed in these studies (Schmutz et al., 2010, 2012; Revil et al., 2011; Flores Orozco et al., 2012), but these observations were not interpreted as a result of the NAPL configuration in model C. Therefore, these studies are not in contradiction to our expectation of unchanged $\tau$ due to the NAPL configuration.

Fig. 11. Conceptual models showing possible residual NAPL configurations in water saturated porous media. Each of these configurations can be expected to affect measured IP responses in a different way. Model A: the NAPL is distributed as isolated droplets in the pores. Model B: the NAPL droplets are trapped in the pore throats. Model C: the NAPL forms a continuous phase through several pores. Model D: the NAPL is coating the grains.
in model C. The observed effects on \( \tau \) may instead be related to other superposed mechanisms, e.g. Maxwell–Wagner effects (Revil et al., 2011) or mixed NAPL configurations (Flores Orozco et al., 2012).

5.2.4. Model D

In model D (Fig. 11), it is assumed that the NAPL coats grain surfaces and thereby prohibits grain EDL formation. Martinho et al. (2006) interpreted their data in terms of model D, where organic molecules coating clay particles would explain the observed decrease in \( m_0 \) in the range 10–20% gasoline concentration in a sandy loam. Prior to Martinho et al. (2006); Olhoeft (1985) suggested a similar process whereby organic molecules attach to the clay surfaces and inhibit the cation exchange processes, given that the actual organic molecules are soluble in water. Nevertheless, Olhoeft (1985) showed that the net effect of organic contaminants on the measured \( \phi \) was an increase, induced by unspecified chemical effects, so called clay-organic reactions.

Focusing only on the effects of the NAPL configuration, it is reasonable that a decreased \( m_0 \) can be observed for clay grains, given that their assumable high CEC would lead to a considerable stronger EDL if NAPLs are absent. On the other hand, the effect of NAPL coating on e.g. sand grains may be much more subtle and the response of \( m_0 \) is difficult to judge since it depends on the relative strength of the NAPL and grain EDLs. In terms of the electrochemical polarization mechanism, \( \tau \) is expected to increase since the dominant diameters of the polarizing spheres (grain and NAPL) will increase. Assuming that not all grains are coated by NAPL, the frequency factor \( c \) could be expected to decrease.

In terms of the membrane polarization effect, it can be argued that the generally narrower zones will have a greater effect on ion transparencies and thus of the NAPL coating compared to the pores, which will still mainly consist of pore fluid. Thus, the contribution of the membrane mechanism to the net polarization \( m_0 \) may be an increase. In addition, decreased \( \tau \) can be expected according to the membrane mechanism since the length scale of the pores will be reduced by the NAPLs. Assuming again that not all grains are coated by NAPL, the distribution of pore lengths will increase resulting in a broader relaxation spectra and decreased \( c \).

5.2.5. Summary

The conceptual models in Fig. 11 and the discussion above covers a wide range of possible NAPL configurations in the pore space, each expected to affect the spectral IP parameters in different ways (summarized in Table 1). However, in a real sample or field site, it is not obvious that all parts of the residual NAPL are arranged in a certain way: a combination of one or several of the conceptual models in Fig. 11 is probably possible.

Although outside the scope of this paper, it is worth mentioning that a variety of NAPL configurations could be possible also in unsaturated material where air constitutes a third phase in the pore system together with NAPL and water. For example, Shefer et al. (2013) presented a conceptual model of these conditions, where the NAPL was, as we understand it, assumed to create rings around air-filled pores by displacement of the water phase.

5.3. Interpretation of field data

In our field data, the source zone of free-phase PCE did not give rise to any \( \phi \) anomalies. The chemical sampling results showed high concentrations of chlorinated hydrocarbons, and it is probable that the DNAPL to a large degree forms a continuous and interconnected phase in the pore space at this location (model C above).

Several decades have passed since the release of the contaminant and parts of the PCE has degraded and dissolved into the groundwater. Around the borders of the continuous PCE source zone, microorganisms get access to the contaminant and use it as a carbon source. We believe that the biodegradation may fractionate the continuous PCE leading to a distribution more similar to model A above, i.e. isolated droplets in the soil pores. We have shown that the expected behavior of NAPL arranged in this way is an increase of \( m_0 \) (or \( \phi \)), in terms of both electrochemical and membrane polarization mechanisms. This means that the enhanced IP effects that were observed outside the source zone in our field data (Figs. 5b-d, 6-d and 8) probably represent a degradation zone. This interpretation is supported by the spatial pattern of the chemical sampling results in Fig. 3 (Section 3.1) and Figs. 5 and 6 (Section 4.1), going from high concentrations to low with the main \( \phi \) anomaly coinciding with medium concentration of chlorinated hydrocarbons. Furthermore, the Cole–Cole inversions of our field data (Fig. 8) indicated lower \( \tau \) and higher \( c \) corresponding to the same \( \phi \) anomalies. The inverted \( \tau \) models also support the expected behavior of model A, although the results from the Cole–Cole inversion should be interpreted with some caution.

It should be pointed out that even though the geometrical arrangement of NAPLs in the pores is an important parameter controlling the IP response, there are also other contributing factors that could explain enhanced IP effects in a degradation zone. For example, it has been shown that microbial growth in hydrocarbon contaminated soil leads to increased IP effects, probably due to pore constriction or attachment of microbial cells around grains (Abdel Aal et al., 2006; Atekwana and Slater, 2009). Some bacterial activity can also involve iron precipitation (Atekwana and Slater, 2009) or create favorable conditions for iron sulfate precipitation by inducing groundwater chemistry changes (Flores Orozco et al., 2011). Since microbiological and groundwater chemical factors were outside the scope of this investigation, it is unknown if such effects could be possible at this specific site. Future research is needed in order to enable a discrimination between IP effects induced by the NAPL itself and possible effects of microbiological activities in a degradation zone.

The comparison between the horizontally interpolated \( \phi \) anomalies in Fig. 8 (Section 4.1) and the interpolated chemical reference data in Fig. 3 (Section 3.1) shows an overall good agreement of the \( \phi \) anomalies and the degradation zone (as indicated by the Cis-1,2-DE distribution). A perfect correlation cannot be expected since the drilling data do not show an absolute extension of the contaminants; in order to achieve this, the sampling would need to be even denser and cover the full length of every borehole. An interesting example is the \( \phi \) anomaly encountered close to the SW border of the investigated site; although one borehole (728 in Fig. 3, Section 3.1) indicate a degradation zone.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conceptual model</th>
<th>Chargeability ( m_0 )</th>
<th>Relaxation time ( \tau )</th>
<th>Frequency factor ( c )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Electro-chemical</td>
<td>Membrane</td>
<td>Electro-chemical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>Not present</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Decrease or increase</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Increase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
going from the source zone in this direction, its extension cannot be determined due to a lack of chemical data. In addition, the scale differences between DCIP data and chemical data as well as the fact that the interpretation of the $\phi$ anomalies as degradation zones are based on the total amount of free-phase product in the pore space and not the NAPL species (visualized in Fig. 3), some deviations between the patterns in Figs. 3 and 8 are unavoidable.

Our inverted $c$ models contradict our expectation of decreased $c$ for all the discussed residual NAPL configurations. However, it is possible that the apparently high $c$ may merely be an effect of a zone of high $m_0$ surrounded by natural till material with a broad $\tau$ distribution. More research is needed to investigate the IP spectra in natural soils and the relationships between lab results and the inverted spectral parameters measured in field. For field data, the possibility of choosing either the Cole–Cole or the CPA model provides a valuable flexibility in the inversion process that may be important in overcoming these scale differences.

Future research is needed to verify the expected spectral IP responses of different conceptual models (Fig. 11 and Table 1), as well as to investigate the effects of possible Maxwell–Wagner mechanisms on measurement data from NAPL contaminated soil. In order to further improve the applicability of the method in environmental projects, more research is also needed on the technical issues associated with field measurements. Full-wavelength measurements in time domain are robust and time efficient, but further development is necessary in order to achieve data from the earliest times before the current switch off and cover a spectral range comparable to frequency domain measurements. However, since the IP mechanisms we are interested in usually occur at relatively low frequencies, the long acquisition times necessary for the collection of spectral IP data is probably a more challenging problem in both time- and frequency domain field measurements.

6. Conclusions

The potential of detecting NAPL with frequency- or time domain IP has been investigated in a number of lab and field studies, but the results in these studies are inconsistent and sometimes even contradictory. Different conceptual models have been proposed to explain the results from individual authors, and an overall understanding of the effects of NAPL on the porous system has not yet been achieved. In this study, we review and discuss around possible conceptual models (Section 5) and conclude that the spatial configuration of residual NAPLs in a water-saturated pore space may influence the IP mechanisms in different ways. While a decrease in chargeability or phase can be expected for some configurations, the opposite may be true for others. The NAPL configurations in different samples or field sites are often unknown, and this may to some extent explain why different results have been achieved by different authors.

In our field case, we believe that the DNAPL forms a more or less continuous phase in the pore space of the source zone leading to an absence of IP effects. The observed increase in phase and normalized phase angle next to the source zone is interpreted as a degradation zone. It is suggested that the ongoing biodegradation may have led to a fractionation of the continuous DNAPL in the outer part of the original source zone, leading to a residual presence of isolated DNAPL droplets in the soil pores. Another contributing factor to the increased IP effects may be the presence of microbial cells in the degradation zone or microbially induced changes in the geochemical environment. In summary, an understanding of the influence of free-phase NAPLs on spectral IP parameters makes the method a promising tool to improve field delineation of NAPL contaminants in the ground. Furthermore, the ability to detect degradation zones enables monitoring of the natural biodegradation or stimulated in-situ degradation of NAPL spills.
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