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Determination of normalized magnetic eigenfields in microwave cavities
Johan Helsing and Anders Karlsson

Abstract—The magnetic field integral equation for axially symmetric cavities with perfectly conducting surfaces is discretized according to a high-order convergent Fourier–Nystöm scheme. The resulting solver is used to determine eigenwavenumbers and normalized magnetic eigenfields to very high accuracy in the entire computational domain.

Index Terms—body of revolution (BOR), axial symmetry, MFIE, RF-cavity, Nystöm method, whispering-gallery mode (WGM), toroidal harmonics, wakefield.

I. INTRODUCTION

This work is on the numerical solution of the time harmonic Maxwell equations in axially symmetric microwave cavities with smooth and perfectly electric conducting (PEC) surfaces. We use the magnetic field integral equation (MFIE) and high-order convergent Fourier–Nystöm discretization to find normalized magnetic eigenfields to high accuracy.

Particle accelerators are the most common application for microwave cavities, also known as Radio Frequency (RF) cavities. Today, all high energy particle accelerators use such cavities for particle acceleration by means of eigenfields, excited by external sources. The cavities consist of one, or several, axially symmetric cells, where in each cell the excited eigenfield corresponds to the TM_{010} mode in a cylindrical cavity, see [1, Chapter 1]. In addition to the eigenfields excited by the external sources, there are wakefields that consist of a large number of higher-order modes (HOM). The wakefields are excited in cavities and flanges by the beam of particles. See [1, Chapter 11] for a more detailed discussion. The wakefields affect the trajectories of the particles and, by that, the quality of the beam. To prevent that harmful wakefields are excited in the accelerator, numerical simulations are used both in its design process and during operation. These simulations need to cover a frequency band up to at least 10 times the frequency of the external source. At the highest frequency the width of each cell of a cavity is on the order of 5-10 wavelengths and the length on the order of 3-6 wavelengths. Accelerators can have cavities with as many as 20 cells. In the collaboration of the present authors with scientists at the synchrotron light source MAX IV and the European Spallation Source (ESS), both under construction in Lund, Sweden, we see a great need for improved numerical tools for accurate wakefield evaluation at higher frequencies. This is a motivation for our work.

During the last 30 years, software packages have become increasingly important for the design of microwave cavities. Today, finite element method (FEM) based software packages like COMSOL Multiphysics, ANSYS, and HFSS, finite difference method (FD and FDTD) based packages like SUPERFISH [2] and GdfidL [3], and finite integration technique (FIT) [4] based packages like MAFIA and CST, are common design tools. All of these packages rely on a partial differential equation (PDE) formulation of the time harmonic Maxwell equations and they discretize the volume, or, in the axially symmetric case, half the cross section of the cavity. They are suitable for evaluations of low-order modes in cavities, but less suitable for accurate evaluations of high-frequency wakefields, due to their relatively low-order convergence.

To our knowledge, there are no published papers on the MFIE applied to microwave cavities. Neither have we found any published benchmark results. Authors using the MFIE in axially symmetric domains apply it to exterior problems and the same is true for the related electric field integral equation (EFIE) and the combined field integral equation (CFIE). The method of moments (MoM) is the most common method for discretization, see [5], [6], [7], and the reference list in [8]. Only a few papers favor Nystöm methods, see [9] and [10]. The CFIE is often used for exterior problems since, in contrast to MFIE and EFIE, it provides unique solutions also at the eigenwavenumbers of the interior problem. For the interior problem, CFIE is unnecessarily complicated. An alternative to CFIE is presented in [11] and [12], where two coupled surface integral equations with unique solutions are derived by introducing surface potentials, referred to as Debye sources.

The present work shows that high-order convergent Nystöm schemes for the MFIE can be efficiently implemented when applied to cavities with PEC surfaces. Very accurate results are obtained both for surface current densities and for surface charge densities at a broad range of eigenwavenumbers. These results can, via a post-processor, be carried over to normalized magnetic eigenfields at all positions inside the cavity, also close to surfaces where integral equation techniques usually encounter difficulties. Our post-processor takes advantage of a new surface integral expression for the normalization. In terms of discretization techniques used, we rely solely on [13].

In [13] we developed an explicit kernel-split panel-based Fourier–Nystöm scheme for integral equations on axially symmetric surfaces where the integral operators can have weakly singular or Cauchy-type singular kernels. The numerical examples in [13] deal with acoustic eigenfields and only require the discretization of three distinct integral operators. The numerical examples of the present work involve around...
30 distinct, but very similar, integral operators. They are all discretized using techniques from [13]. See [14] for a related Nyström scheme, without kernel splits, in the acoustic setting.

The paper is organized as follows: Section II presents the MFIE and an integral representation of the magnetic field in a concise notation. Section III defines an azimuthal Fourier transformation of $2\pi$-periodic functions and applies it to the MFIE and to the field representation. Section IV reviews our Nyström discretization scheme for transformed integral operators and equations. Section V contains numerical examples with relevance to accelerator technology and nano-optics. The conclusions in Section VI relate to future research directions.

II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

This section introduces the MFIE for the time harmonic Maxwell equations in a notation that is particularly adapted to axially symmetric cavities with PEC surfaces. Most of the material is well known, see [6], [7], [9], [15].

A. Basic notation

Let $\Gamma$ be an axially symmetric surface enclosing a three-dimensional domain $V$ (a body of revolution) and let

$$r = (x, y, z) = (\rho \cos \theta, \rho \sin \theta, z)$$

denote a point in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Here $\rho = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ is the distance from the $z$-axis and $\theta$ is the azimuthal angle. The outward unit normal $\nu$ at a point $r$ on $\Gamma$ is defined as

$$\nu = (\nu_\rho \cos \theta, \nu_\rho \sin \theta, \nu_z).$$

We also need the unit vectors

$$\rho = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta, 0),$$
$$\theta = (-\sin \theta, \cos \theta, 0),$$
$$\tau = \theta \times \nu = (\nu_z \cos \theta, \nu_z \sin \theta, -\nu_\rho),$$
$$z = (0, 0, 1),$$

where $\theta$ and $\tau$ are two tangent unit vectors. See Figure 1(a) and 1(b).

The angle $\theta = 0$ defines a half-plane in $\mathbb{R}^3$ whose intersection with $\Gamma$ corresponds to a generating curve $\gamma$. Let $r = (\rho, z)$ be a point in this half-plane and let $A$ be the planar domain bounded by $\gamma$ and the $z$-axis. The outward unit normal on $\gamma$ is $\nu = (\nu_\rho, \nu_z)$ and $\tau = (\nu_z, -\nu_\rho)$ is a tangent. See Figure 1(c). The unit vectors in the $\rho$- and $z$-directions are $\hat{\rho}$ and $\hat{z}$.

B. PDE formulation

Our primary interest is the magnetic field. With vacuum in $V$ and with $\Gamma$ perfectly conducting, the magnetic field $H(r)$ satisfies the following system of partial differential equations

$$\nabla^2 H(r) + k^2 H(r) = 0, \quad r \in V, \quad (1)$$
$$\nabla \cdot H(r) = 0, \quad r \in V, \quad (2)$$

with boundary condition

$$\lim_{V \ni r \to \Gamma} \nu \times (\nabla \times H(r)) = 0, \quad r^0 \in \Gamma. \quad (3)$$

We will find nontrivial solutions to these equations in a fast and accurate fashion via the MFIE. Note that, since $\Gamma$ is perfectly conducting and from a mathematical as well as physical point of view, nothing exterior to $\Gamma$ can affect $H(r)$ in $V$. Hence the region exterior to $\Gamma$ is irrelevant to the problem.

The values $k^2$ for which the system (1), (2), and (3) admits nontrivial solutions are called eigenvalues. We refer to the corresponding fields $H(r)$ as magnetic eigenfields and to $k$ as eigenwavenumbers. The eigenvalues constitute a real, positive and countable set, accumulating only at infinity [16]. The eigenvalues have finite multiplicity. Magnetic eigenfields that correspond to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal and subspaces of magnetic eigenfields that correspond to particular eigenvalues can be given orthogonal bases.

We introduce an inner product on $V$, denoted by angle brackets $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and an induced norm

$$\langle F, G \rangle = \int_V F^*(r) \cdot G(r) \, dV, \quad \|F\|^2 = \langle F, F \rangle. \quad (4)$$
Here \( F(r) \) and \( G(r) \) are vector (or scalar) fields on \( V \) and the symbol \( * \) denotes the complex conjugate. Magnetic eigenfields \( H(r) \) are normalized so that

\[
||H||^2 \equiv \int_V H^*(r) \cdot H(r) \, dV = 1. \tag{5}
\]

The volume integral in (5) is referred to as the normalization integral. In Appendix A it is reformulated as a surface integral that is well suited for numerical evaluation in the framework of the MFIE.

### C. The MFIE

The magnetic field is represented in terms of the surface current density

\[
J_s(r^o) = \lim_{V \ni \nu \rightarrow r^o} -\nu^o \times H(r), \quad r^o \in \Gamma, \tag{6}
\]

by the Stratton–Chu integral representation [17], [18]. When (3) holds, this representation assumes the form

\[
H(r) = \nabla \times \int_{\Gamma} J_s(r') \Phi_k(r, r') \, d\Gamma', \quad r \in V, \quad r \in e\nu, \tag{7}
\]

where, using the time dependence \( e^{-i\omega t} \),

\[
\Phi_k(r, r') = \frac{e^{ik|r-r'|}}{4\pi|r-r'|}
\]

is the causal fundamental solution to the Helmholtz equation and \( e\nu \) is the exterior to \( V \cup \Gamma \). The upper equation in (7) gives the magnetic field in \( V \). The lower equation states that \( J_s(r) \) induces a magnetic null field outside \( \Gamma \).

Taking the limit \( V \ni \nu \rightarrow r^o \in \Gamma \) in (7) and using (6) one gets, with \( r \in \Gamma \), the MFIE:

\[
\frac{1}{2} J_s(r) - \nu \times \int_{\Gamma} (J_s(r') \times \nabla \Phi_k(r, r')) \, d\Gamma' = 0. \tag{8}
\]

A solution to the MFIE, together with (7), is also a solution to the system (1), (2), and (3). The kernel of the integral operator in (8) is weakly singular, whereas the kernel in (7) exhibits a stronger singularity in the limit \( \nu \ni \nu \rightarrow r^o \in \Gamma \).

In order to cast (8) in a form more similar for numerical solution we decompose \( J_s(r) \) in its tangential components and split (8) into the two coupled scalar equations

\[
(I + K_1) J_s(r) + iK_2 J_0 (r) = 0, \tag{9}
\]

Here \( I \) is the identity. The double-layer type operators \( K_\alpha \), with kernels \( K_\alpha(r, r') \), \( \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), are defined by their actions on a layer density \( g(r) \) on \( \Gamma \) as

\[
K_\alpha g(r) = \int_{\Gamma} K_\alpha(r, r') g(r') \, d\Gamma', \quad K_\alpha(r, r') = D_\alpha(r, r') P(|r-r'|), \tag{10}
\]

where

\[
D_1(r, r') = 2\left(\frac{\nu_\rho \rho - (\nu_\rho \cdot r' - \nu_\rho z) \cos(\theta - \theta')}{|r-r'|^3}\right),
\]

\[
D_2(r, r') = -2i\left(\frac{(z - z') \sin(\theta - \theta')}{|r-r'|^3}\right),
\]

\[
D_3(r, r') = 2i\left(\frac{(\nu_\rho \rho - \nu_\rho \cdot r' - \nu_\rho z) \sin(\theta - \theta')}{4\pi|r-r'|^4}\right),
\]

\[
D_4(r, r') = -2\left(\frac{\nu_\rho \rho - (\nu_\rho \cdot r' - \nu_\rho z) \cos(\theta - \theta')}{4\pi|r-r'|^4}\right),
\]

\[
P(|r-r'|) = (1 - ik|r-r'|) e^{ik|r-r'|},
\]

\[
|\nu - r'| = \sqrt{r^2 + \rho^2 - 2\rho \rho |\nu - \nu'| \cos(\theta - \theta') + (z - z')^2}.
\]

The functions \( D_\alpha(r, r') \) can be viewed as static kernels, corresponding to wavenumber \( k = 0 \).

### D. Expressions for the magnetic field

The magnetic field (7) can be expressed in a form analogous to (9), which is better suited for numerics. For this, we introduce the decomposition

\[
H(r) = \rho H_\rho(r) + \theta H_\theta(r) + z H_z(r).
\]

Straightforward calculations give for \( r \notin \Gamma \)

\[
H_\rho(r) = -iK_2 J_0(r), \quad H_\theta(r) = K_3 J_0(r) + iK_6 J_0(r), \quad \tag{11}
\]

where \( K_\alpha, \alpha = 5, \ldots, 10 \), are defined as in (10) with

\[
D_5(r, r') = -i\left(\frac{\nu_\rho \rho - \nu_\rho \cdot r' - \nu_\rho z) \cos(\theta - \theta')}{4\pi|r-r'|^3}\right),
\]

\[
D_6(r, r') = \left(\frac{(z - z') \sin(\theta - \theta')}{4\pi|r-r'|^4}\right),
\]

\[
D_7(r, r') = -\left(\frac{\nu_\rho \rho - (\nu_\rho \cdot r' - \nu_\rho z) \cos(\theta - \theta')}{4\pi|r-r'|^4}\right),
\]

\[
D_8(r, r') = \left(\frac{(z - z') \sin(\theta - \theta')}{4\pi|r-r'|^4}\right),
\]

\[
D_9(r, r') = \left(\frac{-\nu_\rho \rho \sin(\theta - \theta')}{4\pi|r-r'|^4}\right),
\]

\[
D_10(r, r') = \left(\frac{-\rho \rho \cos(\theta - \theta')}{4\pi|r-r'|^4}\right).
\]

### III. FOURIER SERIES EXPANSIONS

The aim of this paper is to present a high-order convergent and accurate discretization scheme to solve the MFIE and to evaluate magnetic eigenfields, normalized by (5). We employ a Fourier–Nystrom technique where the first step is an azimuthal Fourier transformation of the MFIE system (9) and of the system for the decomposed magnetic field (11).

Several \( 2\pi \)-periodic quantities need to be expanded. We define the azimuthal Fourier coefficients

\[
g_\alpha(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-in\theta} g(r) \, d\theta, \tag{12}
\]

\[
G_\alpha(r, r') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-in(\theta - \theta')} G(r, r') \, d(\theta - \theta'), \tag{13}
\]
where \( g(r) \) can represent functions like \( J_\gamma(r) \), \( J_0(r) \), \( H_\alpha(r) \), \( H_\alpha(r) \), and \( H_\alpha(r) \) and where \( G(r, r') \) can represent functions like \( K_\alpha(r, r') \), \( D_n(r, r') \), and \( P(|r - r'|) \). The subscript \( n \) is the azimuthal index. The coefficients \( G_n(r, r') \) may also be called transformed kernels or modal Green's functions [19].

Expansion and integration of (9) and (11) over \( \theta' \) give the system of modal integral equations for \( r \in \gamma \)

\[
(I + K_{1n}) J_{\alpha n}(r) + iK_{2n} J_{\alpha n}(r) = 0, \\
iK_{3n} J_{\alpha n}(r) + (I + K_{4n}) J_{\alpha n}(r) = 0,
\]

and the modal representation of the magnetic field for \( r \notin \gamma \)

\[
H_{\rho n}(r) = iK_{5n} J_{\alpha n}(r) + K_{6n} J_{\alpha n}(r), \\
H_{\rho n}(r) = K_{7n} J_{\alpha n}(r) + iK_{8n} J_{\alpha n}(r), \\
H_{2n}(r) = iK_{9n} J_{\alpha n}(r) + K_{10n} J_{\alpha n}(r).
\]

The azimuthal index is \( n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \) and

\[
K_{\alpha n} g_n(r) = \sqrt{2\pi} \int_\gamma K_{\alpha n}(r, r') g_n(r') \rho' \, d\gamma',
\]

where \( \alpha = 1, \ldots, 10 \).

A. Azimuthal Fourier coefficients in closed form

When \( r \) and \( r' \) are far apart, the kernels \( K_{\alpha}(r, r') \) are smooth functions and the \( K_{\alpha}(r, r') \), present in (14) and (15), can be efficiently evaluated from (13) using discrete Fourier transform techniques (FFT). When \( r \approx r' \), this is not true. Then it is more economical to split each \( K_{\alpha}(r, r') \) into two terms: a smooth term, which is transformed via FFT, and a non-smooth term, which is transformed by convolution of \( D_{\alpha n}(r, r') \) with parts of \( P_n(r, r') \). See [13, Section 6] for details.

The coefficients \( D_{\alpha n}(r, r') \), for \( r \approx r' \), are also costly to evaluate from (13). Fortunately, the \( D_{\alpha n}(r, r') \) can be obtained as closed-form expressions involving half-integer degree Legendre functions of the second kind

\[
\Omega_{n-\frac{3}{2}}(\chi) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\cos(\chi t) \, dt}{\sqrt{8(\chi - \cos(t))}},
\]

which are cheap to evaluate. The functions \( \Omega_{n-\frac{3}{2}}(\chi) \), with real arguments \( \chi \geq 1 \), may also be called toroidal harmonics [20]. They are symmetric with respect to \( n \) and exhibit logarithmic singularities at \( \chi = 1 \). Introducing

\[
\eta = (8\pi^3 \rho\rho')^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\chi = 1 + \frac{|r - r'|^2}{2\rho\rho'}, \\
d(\nu) = \frac{\nu \cdot (r - r')}{|r - r'|^2},
\]

and the combinations of toroidal harmonics

\[
\mathcal{R}_n(\chi) = \frac{2n - 1}{\chi + 1} \left( \chi \Omega_{n-\frac{3}{2}}(\chi) - \Omega_{n-\frac{3}{2}}(\chi) \right), \\
\mathcal{P}_n(\chi) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{R}_n(\chi) + \Omega_{n-\frac{3}{2}}(\chi) \right),
\]

one can write

\[
D_{1n}(r, r') = -2\eta e^{i\eta \cdot (r - r')} \mathcal{R}_n(\chi), \\
D_{2n}(r, r') = -2\eta e^{i\eta \cdot (r - r')} \mathcal{P}_n(\chi),
\]

and

\[
D_{1n}(r, r') = -\eta e^{i\eta \cdot (r - r')} \mathcal{R}_n(\chi), \\
D_{2n}(r, r') = -\eta e^{i\eta \cdot (r - r')} \mathcal{P}_n(\chi),
\]

where \( \Omega_{n-\frac{3}{2}}(\chi) \) is due to Cohl and Tohline [21]. The toroidal harmonics can be evaluated via a recursion whose forward form, starting at \( n = 2 \), is

\[
\Omega_{n-\frac{3}{2}}(\chi) = \frac{4n^2 - 4}{2n - 1} \Omega_{n-\frac{3}{2}}(\chi) - \frac{2n - 3}{2n - 1} \Omega_{n-\frac{1}{2}}(\chi).
\]

Our derivation of these expressions follows the procedure of Young, Hao, and Martinsson [14, Section 5.3]. The underlying idea – to expand the Green’s function for the Laplacian in toroidal harmonics – is due to Cohl and Tohline [21]. The toroidal harmonics can be evaluated via a recursion whose forward form, starting at \( n = 2 \), is

\[
\Omega_{n-\frac{3}{2}}(\chi) = \frac{4n^2 - 4}{2n - 1} \Omega_{n-\frac{3}{2}}(\chi) - \frac{2n - 3}{2n - 1} \Omega_{n-\frac{1}{2}}(\chi).
\]

Appendix C contains the MATLAB function for the toroidal harmonics.

IV. NYSTROEM DISCRETIZATION AND KERNEL EVALUATION

Our Nyström discretization scheme along \( \gamma \) for the modal equations (14) and (15) and for the normalization integral of Appendix A is, essentially, identical to the scheme developed in [13] in a pure Helmholtz setting. This section only gives a brief review. The scheme relies on an underlying panel-based 16-point Gauss–Legendre quadrature with a mesh of \( n_{\text{pan}} \) quadrature panels on \( \gamma \). The \( 16n_{\text{pan}} \) discretization points play the role of both target points \( r_i \) and source points \( r_j \).

The underlying quadrature is used in a conventional way when kernels \( K_{\alpha}(r, r') \) are sufficiently smooth for \( K_{\alpha}(r_i, r_j) \) to be evaluated by FFT. For \( r_i \approx r_j \), and when convolution is used for \( K_{\alpha}(r_i, r_j) \), an explicit kernel-split special quadrature is activated. Analytical information about the (near) singularities in \( K_{\alpha}(r, r') \) is exploited in the construction of 16th order accurate weight corrections, computed on the fly. As to some extent compensate for the loss of convergence order that comes with the special quadrature, a procedure of temporary mesh refinement (oversampling) is adopted. See [13] and [22] for more information on these constructions and procedures.
A. The MFIE system and the decomposed magnetic field

It is worth emphasizing that all \( K_{an}(r,r') \) are singular at \( r = r' \) and that the singularities are inherited by the corresponding \( D_{an}(r,r') \). The coefficients \( D_{an}(r,r') \), \( a = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), exhibit logarithmic singularities as \( \gamma \ni r' \to r \in \gamma \). The coefficients \( D_{an}(r,r') \), \( a = 5, 8, 9 \), exhibit logarithmic singularities as \( \gamma \ni r \to r' \in \gamma \). The coefficients \( D_{an}(r,r') \), \( a = 6, 7, 10 \), generally exhibit logarithmic and Cauchy-type singularities as \( \gamma \ni r \to r' \in \gamma \). The quadratures constructed in [13] and [22] cover all these situations.

The appearance of the closed-form expressions for \( D_{an}(r,r') \) may seem somewhat intimidating at first glance. Nevertheless, the expressions are favorable from a computational perspective. The same toroidal functions repeat themselves, or occur in combination with smooth and simple functions independent of \( n \). Remember that the \( D_{an}(r_i,r_j) \) are convolved with parts of \( P_n(r_i,r_j) \) to obtain \( K_{an}(r_i,r_j) \) for \( r_i \approx r_j \). Once \( \Omega_{n-\frac{3}{2}}(\chi_{ij}), \mathfrak{M}_n(\chi_{ij}) \), and \( P_n(r_i,r_j), n = 0, \ldots, N \), are evaluated and the convolution of \( \Omega_{n-\frac{3}{2}}(\chi_{ij}), n\Omega_{n-\frac{3}{2}}(\chi_{ij}) \), and \( \mathfrak{M}_n(\chi_{ij}) \) with parts of \( P_n(r_i,r_j) \) is performed at all necessary combinations of \( r_i \) and \( r_j \), the evaluation of \( K_{an}(r_i,r_j), a = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), \( r_i \approx r_j \), is very cheap. The evaluation of \( K_{an}(r_i,r_j), a = 5, \ldots, 10 \), requires a few more function evaluations and convolutions.

B. The normalization integral

Appendix A uses the scaled electric scalar potential \( \Psi(r) \), the magnetic vector potential \( A(r) \), and the surface charge density \( \varrho_s(r) \). These quantities are related to \( J_s(r) \) via

\[
\Psi(r) = S_c \varrho_s(r), \quad r \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (19)
\]

\[
A(r) = S_s J_s(r), \quad r \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (20)
\]

\[
\varrho_s(r) = -\frac{i}{k} \nabla_s \cdot J_s(r), \quad r \in \Gamma, \quad (21)
\]

where \( \nabla_s \cdot \) is the surface divergence and \( S_c \) is a single-layer type operator of the form

\[
S_c g(r) = \int_{\Gamma} S_c(r,r')g(r') d\Gamma', \quad (22)
\]

where \( Z_c(r,r') = Z_0(r,r')e^{ik|r-r'|} \), and \( Z_0(r,r') = \eta \Omega_{n-\frac{3}{2}}(\chi) \).

Azimuthal Fourier coefficients of \( \Psi(r) \), \( A(r) \), and their normal- and tangential derivatives need to be evaluated at discretization points \( r_j \) along \( \gamma \). This, in turn, requires the introduction and discretization of several new and similar integral operators of the double-layer type (10) and of the single-layer type (22). It would, perhaps, carry too far to explicitly write up closed-form expressions for all \( D_{an}(r,r') \), \( Z_0(r,r'), D_{an}(r,r') \), and \( Z_{an}(r,r') \) involved. The closed-form Fourier coefficients are derived using the same techniques as in Section III-A. It is important to note that we avoid using (21) as a computational formula. Numerical differentiation leads to loss of precision and also to loss of convergence order in a panel-based setting. Rather, the surface charge density is obtained from the solution to a Fredholm second kind integral equation, as recommended in [10]. We also take advantage of two useful relations between \( A(r) \) and derivatives of \( \Psi(r) \). Appendix B provides some detail.

V. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

Our Fourier–Nyström scheme is implemented in MATLAB. The code was first verified for the special case of \( \Gamma \) being the unit sphere. The unit sphere allows for closed-form solutions to (1), (2), and (3) [23, Chapter 9] and, by using the MATLAB functions legendre and besselj, these closed-form solutions can be evaluated to almost machine precision. Thus the sphere is a valuable test case for the code. Eigenwavenumbers corresponding to a sphere diameter of less than two wavelengths gave relative \( L^2 \)-errors of about \( 2 \times 10^{-15} \) in the coefficients \( J_{\tau n}(r) \), \( J_{\theta n}(r) \), \( r \in \gamma \) and pointwise errors of, typically, \( 10^{-14} \) in the coefficients \( H_{\mu n}(r), H_{\phi n}(r), H_{z n}(r), r \in A \). See Figure 2 for an illustration. Eigenwavenumbers corresponding to a sphere diameter of 32 wavelengths gave \( J_{\tau n}(r) \), \( J_{\theta n}(r) \) with \( L^2 \)-errors of about \( 10^{-13} \) and \( H_{\mu n}(r), H_{\phi n}(r), H_{z n}(r) \) with pointwise errors ranging from \( 10^{-16} \) to \( 10^{-11} \). The largest errors occurred for \( r \) close to \( \gamma \) in connection with high azimuthal indices \( n \). We also compared evaluations of
coefficients for the cavity with the star-shaped cross-section shown in Figure 1(a) with results obtained from COMSOL Multiphysics. Eigenwavenumbers, determined by system matrix condition number maximization in (14) and corresponding to about two wavelengths across \( V \), gave results which agreed to all significant digits that COMSOL Multiphysics could produce.

We now present two more detailed numerical examples for the normalized magnetic eigenfields of the body of revolution in Figure 1(a). The purpose is to confirm that our solver meets many of the requirements imposed on a wakefield solver. The generating curve \( \gamma \) is parameterized as

\[
r(t) = (1 + 0.25 \cos(5t))(\sin(t), \cos(t)), \quad 0 \leq t \leq \pi,
\]

which is the same curve that was used in the examples of [13]. The FFT operations are controlled by the two integers \( N = \max\{120, 4n_{\text{pan}} + n\} \) and \( N_{\text{con}} = \min\{N, 260\} \) in the sense that we use \( 2N + 1 \) equispaced points in the azimuthal FFT transforms and \( 2N_{\text{con}} + 1 - n \) terms in the convolutions. The MATLAB code is executed on a workstation equipped with an Intel Core i7 CPU at 3.20 GHz and 64 GB of memory.

The first example concerns an eigenfield with \( n = 1 \) and \( k = 81.23584045948068 \), corresponding to a generalized diameter of \( V \) of about 31 wavelengths. The MFIE is solved repeatedly on an increasingly refined uniform mesh. The coefficient vector \( (H_\rho_1(r), H_\theta_1(r), H_z_1(r)) \) is evaluated at 25138 field points \( r \), placed on a Cartesian grid in \( A \). Figure 3 shows that our solver exhibits 16th order convergence, as
expected. The pointwise error refers to an estimated absolute pointwise error of $H_{\alpha n}(r)$, $\alpha = \rho, \theta, z$, divided with the largest value of $(|H_{\rho n}(r)|^2 + |H_{\theta n}(r)|^2 + |H_{z n}(r)|^2)^{1/2}$, $r \in A$. The estimated absolute error in $H_{\alpha n}(r)$ is, in turn, taken as the difference between $H_{\alpha n}(r)$ and a reference solution obtained with a mesh containing 50 percent more quadrature panels and a larger $N$. Figure 3 also shows that the average pointwise precision in $A$ has converged to ten digits already at about ten points per wavelength along $\gamma$ and that it saturates at 14 digits. This, rather high, achievable accuracy is only one digit worse than what is reported for the similar, but simpler, acoustic problem in [13] at $k \approx 19$.

Figure 4 shows $H_{\alpha 1}(r)e^{i\theta}$, $\alpha = \rho, \theta, z$, and $\theta = 0, \pi$, at 490000 field points on a Cartesian grid in the square $x = [-1.2, 1.2]$ and $z = [-1.1, 1.3]$ along with estimated pointwise errors. The experiment uses $n_{\text{pan}} = 76$ in order to assure that the convergence has saturated. The reference solution outside the cavity is the null field $H_{\alpha 1}(r) = 0$, compare (7). The complicated standing wave patterns, visible in the left images, are typical for eigenfields with large $k$ and in combination with small $n$. Electric and magnetic eigenfields with $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ are non-zero on the symmetry axis in axially symmetric cavities. Since the beam of particles in accelerators travels along the symmetry axis, it interacts strongly with these fields. Eigenfields with $n > 1$ are zero on the symmetry axis and are less important in accelerator technology. It is interesting to observe that the field errors in Figure 4, right images, are comparable to those reported by

Figure 5. Left: (a), (c), (e) show $H_{\rho 92}(r)e^{i92\theta}$, $H_{\rho 92}(r)e^{i92\theta}$ and $H_{\rho 92}(r)e^{i92\theta}$ at $k = 81.119397223184$ in a plane $x'z$ where these fields are real.
Right: (b), (d), (f) show $\log_{10} |e|$ of the estimated pointwise error with 1200 discretization points on $\gamma$. One length unit is $k/(2\pi) \approx 12.911$ wavelengths.
Fredholm second kind integral equation for the surface charge symmetric microwave cavities with smooth surfaces. The determination of normalized magnetic eigenfields in axially prediction of wakefields, in most types of cavities and flanges the accurate determination of eigenfields, and by that the time harmonic Maxwell equations, this technique will enable solution of integral equations on piecewise smooth surfaces those used in nano-optics. We, further, plan to extend the can determine electric eigenfields. We may also explore the the changes needed to treat also surfaces with genus 1. A torus, normalized with the value of \( \| \mathbf{H} \| \) according to the formulas below. Then the modal representation (15) is automatically consistent with (5).

The scaled electric scalar potential \( \Psi(r) \) of (19) and the magnetic vector potential \( \mathbf{A}(r) \) of (20) are related by the Lorenz gauge condition

\[
i k \psi = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}.
\]

In \( \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Gamma \) the potentials satisfy

\[
\nabla^2 \psi = -k^2 \psi, \tag{25}
\]

\[
\nabla^2 A = -k^2 A, \tag{26}
\]

\[
\mathbf{H} = \nabla \times A, \tag{27}
\]

and it is easy to show that

\[
|\nabla \times \mathbf{A}|^2 = \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{A}^* \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A})) + ik \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{A}^* \Psi) + k^2 \left( |\mathbf{A}|^2 - |\Psi|^2 \right). \tag{28}
\]

Let \( g(r) \) be a Laplace eigenfunction such that \( \nabla^2 g = -k^2 g \) and with \( k^2 \) real. Then

\[
\nabla \cdot \text{Re} \{ (\nabla g^*) g \} = |\nabla g|^2 - k^2 |g|^2, \nonumber
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot (r |g|^2) = 3 |g|^2 + 2 \text{Re} \{ g^* (r \cdot \nabla g) \}, \nonumber
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot (r |\nabla g|^2) = 3 |\nabla g|^2 + 2 \text{Re} \{ (\nabla g^* \cdot \nabla) g \cdot r \}, \nonumber
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot \text{Re} \{ \nabla g^* (r \cdot \nabla) g \} = |\nabla g|^2 - k^2 \text{Re} \{ g^* (r \cdot \nabla) g \} + \text{Re} \{ (\nabla g^* \cdot \nabla) \nabla g \cdot r \}, \nonumber
\]

and, by that,

\[
|g|^2 = \frac{1}{2k^2} \nabla \cdot \left( r \left( k^2 |g|^2 - |\nabla g|^2 \right) + k^2 \text{Re} \{ (\nabla g^* \cdot (2(r \cdot \nabla) + 1)g) \} \right). \tag{29}
\]

Now, from (6), (25), (26), (27), (28) and Gauss’ theorem one obtains

\[
\| \mathbf{H} \|^2 = k^2 (\| \mathbf{A} \|^2 - |\psi|^2) + \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{A}^* \cdot (\mathbf{J}_s + ik \nu \Psi) \, d\Gamma. \tag{30}
\]

The relation (29) with \( g(r) \) first equal to \( \mathbf{E}(r) \) and then to each of the Cartesian components of \( \mathbf{A}(r) \), together with (4)
and Gauss’ theorem, convert the squared norms on the right hand side of (30) to the surface integrals

\[
\| \Psi \|^2 = \frac{1}{2k^2} \int_A \nabla \cdot r (k^2 |\Psi|^2 - |\nabla \Psi|^2) \, d\Gamma \\
+ \frac{1}{2k^2} \int_A \nabla \cdot \text{Re} \{\nabla \Psi^* (2(r \cdot \nabla + 1) \Psi)\} \, d\Gamma,
\]

\[
\| \mathbf{A} \|^2 = \frac{1}{2k^2} \int_A \nabla \cdot r (k^2 |A|^2 - |\nabla A|^2) \, d\Gamma \\
+ \frac{1}{2k^2} \int_A \nabla \cdot \text{Re} \{\nabla A^* \cdot (2(r \cdot \nabla + 1)A)\} \, d\Gamma,
\]

where interior limits are to be taken for integrands that are discontinuous at \( \Gamma \). By this, \( \| \mathbf{H} \|^2 \) is expressed as a surface integral over \( \Gamma \). We remark that (31) is a special case of a relation derived by Barnett and Hassell [28, equation (4.3)].

Equation (30) with (4) and (24) can be written in terms of

\[
A_n(r) = \rho \Lambda_{n\rho} f(r_n^\circ) + \theta \Lambda_{n\theta} (r_n^\circ + z \Lambda_{n\nu} (r_n^\circ)) r, \quad \tau \Lambda_{n\nu} (r_n^\circ), \quad r \in \gamma, \quad (33)
\]

\[
J_{sn}(r) = \tau J_{\tau n}(r) + \theta J_{\theta n}(r),
\]

and \( \Psi_n(r) \) as

\[
\| \mathbf{H} \|^2 = k^2 \int_A \left( |\Psi_n|^2 - |\Psi_n|^2 \right) \rho \, d\mathcal{A}

\]

Integration over \( \theta \), with \( \nabla = \tau (\nabla \cdot \nabla) + \theta (\theta \cdot \nabla) + \nu (\nu \cdot \nabla) \), in (31) and (32) gives

\[
k^2 \int_A |\Psi_n|^2 \rho \, d\mathcal{A} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_\gamma \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\nu \cdot r}{\rho} (n^2 - k^2 \rho^2) |\Psi_n|^2 \right) \rho \, d\gamma

+ \frac{1}{2} \int_\gamma \text{Re} \left\{ (2\tau r \cdot \partial_r \Psi_n^*) + \partial_r \Psi_n^* \right\} \partial_r \Psi_n \rho \, d\gamma,
\]

\[
\| A_n \|^2 \rho \, d\mathcal{A} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_\gamma \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\nu \cdot r}{\rho} \left( (n^2 - k^2 \rho^2) \right) |A_n|^2 \right) \rho \, d\gamma

+ \frac{1}{2} \int_\gamma \text{Re} \left\{ (2\tau r \cdot \partial_r \Lambda_n^\circ) + \partial_r \Lambda_n^\circ \right\} \partial_r \Lambda_n \rho \, d\gamma.
\]

By this, \( \| \mathbf{H} \|^2 \) is expressed as a line integral over \( \gamma \). It remains to relate all terms in the integrands of (34), with (35) and (36), to \( J_{\tau n}(r) \) and \( J_{\theta n}(r) \). This is the topic of Appendix B.
and (39) we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_r \Psi(r) &= ik \Lambda_\alpha(r), \quad r \in \Gamma, \\
\partial_0 \Psi(r) &= ik \rho \Lambda_\alpha(r), \quad r \in \Gamma.
\end{align*}
\]

The Fourier coefficients of these relations read
\[
\begin{align*}
(\partial_r \Psi)_n(r) &= ik \Lambda_{\alpha n}(r), \quad r \in \gamma, \quad (41) \\
n \Psi_n(r) &= k \rho \Lambda_{\alpha n}(r), \quad r \in \gamma, \quad (42)
\end{align*}
\]
and are used for the evaluation of \((\partial_r \Psi)_n(r)\) and of \(\Psi_n(r)\), \(n \neq 0\), and for \(\Lambda_{\alpha n}(r)\).

Explicit expressions in terms of \(J_{\alpha n}(r)\) and \(J_{\beta n}(r)\) for the coefficients of \(A(r)\), with basis as in (33), are
\[
\begin{align*}
\Lambda_{\alpha n}(r) &= S_{1n} J_{\alpha n}(r) + i S_{2n} J_{\beta n}(r), \\
\Lambda_{\beta n}(r) &= i S_{3n} J_{\alpha n}(r) + S_{4n} J_{\beta n}(r), \\
\Lambda_{\alpha n}(r) &= S_{5n} J_{\alpha n}(r) + i S_{6n} J_{\beta n}(r),
\end{align*}
\]
where, for \(\alpha = 1, \ldots, 6, \quad S_{\alpha n} g_n(r) = \sqrt{2\pi} \int_\gamma S_{\alpha n}(r, r') g_n(r') \rho' d\gamma' \quad (43)\)

and \(S_{\alpha n}(r, r')\) are transformed kernels of operators \(S_{\alpha}\) of the form (22). The corresponding static kernels \(Z_{\alpha n}(r, r')\) are
\[
\begin{align*}
Z_{1n}(r, r') &= (\nu_0 \nu_0' \cos(\theta - \theta') + \nu_0 \nu_0') \Phi_0(r, r'), \\
Z_{2n}(r, r') &= -i \nu_0 \sin(\theta - \theta') \Phi_0(r, r'), \\
Z_{3n}(r, r') &= i \nu_0' \sin(\theta - \theta') \Phi_0(r, r'), \\
Z_{4n}(r, r') &= \cos(\theta - \theta') \Phi_0(r, r'), \\
Z_{5n}(r, r') &= (\nu_0 \nu_0' \cos(\theta - \theta') - \nu_0 \nu_0') \Phi_0(r, r'), \\
Z_{6n}(r, r') &= -i \nu_0' \sin(\theta - \theta') \Phi_0(r, r'),
\end{align*}
\]
with closed-form Fourier coefficients
\[
\begin{align*}
Z_{1n}(r, r') &= \eta \left[ \nu_0 \nu_0' \overline{\Omega}_n(\chi) + \nu_0 \nu_0' \overline{\Omega}_{n-\frac{3}{2}}(\chi) \right], \\
Z_{2n}(r, r') &= \eta \nu_0 \overline{\Omega}_n(\chi), \\
Z_{3n}(r, r') &= -\eta \nu_0' \overline{\Omega}_n(\chi), \\
Z_{4n}(r, r') &= \eta \nu_0' \overline{\Omega}_n(\chi), \\
Z_{5n}(r, r') &= \eta \left[ \nu_0 \nu_0' \overline{\Omega}_n(\chi) - \nu_0 \nu_0' \overline{\Omega}_{n-\frac{3}{2}}(\chi) \right], \\
Z_{6n}(r, r') &= \eta \nu_0 \overline{\Omega}_n(\chi),
\end{align*}
\]
where, for brevity, the combinations of toroidal harmonics
\[
\begin{align*}
\overline{\Omega}_n(\chi) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \Omega_{n+\frac{3}{2}}(\chi) + \Omega_{n-\frac{3}{2}}(\chi) \right), \\
\overline{\Omega}_{n}(\chi) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \Omega_{n+\frac{3}{2}}(\chi) - \Omega_{n-\frac{3}{2}}(\chi) \right),
\end{align*}
\]
have been introduced.

For the evaluation of \(\Psi_0(r)\) and \((\partial_r + \partial_0) \Psi_n(r)\) we use
\[
\begin{align*}
\Psi_0(r) &= S_{00} \rho_0(r), \quad r \in \gamma, \quad (44) \\
(\partial_r + \partial_0) \Psi_n(r) &= \rho_n(r) + ik \Lambda_{\alpha n}(r), \quad r \in \gamma, \quad (45)
\end{align*}
\]
with \(\rho_n(r)\) from (40) and \(S_{\alpha n}\) as in (43) with \(\alpha = \varsigma\).
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