



# LUND UNIVERSITY

## Infinitives in Icelandic: a description

Sigurðsson, Halldor Armann

2002

[Link to publication](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Sigurðsson, H. A. (2002). *Infinitives in Icelandic: a description*. [Publisher information missing].

*Total number of authors:*

1

### General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117  
221 00 Lund  
+46 46-222 00 00



- |     |   |  |                    |
|-----|---|--|--------------------|
| (2) | a | [Að ___ lesa bækur] er oft skemmtilegt.<br>to read books is often fun  | Subject            |
|     | b | Það er oft skemmtilegt [að ___ lesa bækur].<br>it is often fun to read books                                 | Extraposed subject |
|     | c | ?[Eftir að ___ hafa setið allan daginn] er hreyfing holl.<br>after to have sat all day.the is motion healthy | Adverbial adjunct  |

Controlled PRO-infinitives, on the other hand, are either complements (of verbs, prepositions, nouns and so on) or adverbial adjuncts:

- |     |   |  |
|-----|---|--|
| (3) | a | Hún þráði [að ___ geta skrifað bókina].<br>she desired to can write book.the<br>'She desired to be able to write the book.'                          |
|     | b | Hún vonaðist til [að ___ geta skrifað bókina].<br>she hoped for to can write book.the  |
|     | c | Hún talaði um þann draum [að ___ geta skrifað bókina].<br>she spoke about the dream to can write book.the  |
|     | d | Hún varð fræg [eftir að ___ hafa skrifað bókina].<br>she became famous after to have written the book<br>'She became famous after writing the book.' |

Being silent, PRO itself is of course not audible or 'visible', and hence it is a much discussed issue whether PRO 'really is there' or not. However, PRO is 'indirectly' visible in Icelandic in many ways. Thus, Icelandic has several agreement phenomena that 'unmask' PRO, as in for instance:

- |     |   |
|-----|---|
| (4) | Ólaf/*Ólafur langaði til [að ___ tala <b>sjálfur</b> á fundinum].<br>Olaf(Acc/*Nom) longed for to talk self(Nom) at meeting.the<br>Olaf wanted to talk at the meeting himself.' |
|-----|---|

Semi-predicates like *sjálfur* 'self' always agree with some antecedent, most commonly their subject. In (4), however, there is no overt potential nominative antecedent for the nominative form *sjálfur* to agree with; rather, it must be taken to agree with its local subject, namely PRO. If so, the agreement in (4) is of the same, usual sort as the simple agreement in (5):

- |     |  |
|-----|--|
| (5) | <b>Ólafur</b> /*Ólaf talaði <b>sjálfur</b> á fundinum.<br>Olaf(Nom/*Acc) talked self(Nom) at meeting.the |
|-----|--|

Clausal *að*-infinitives seem to be able to contain much of the structure that can be found in finite *að*-clauses. However, there are some striking differences:

- Infinitives never allow any kind of preposing, for instance neither Topicalization nor Stylistic Fronting.
- Infinitives do not usually tolerate certain modal auxiliaries
- Infinitives do not tolerate certain modal adverbials

The clauses in (6) demonstrate Stylistic Fronting, **SF**, in a finite clause and the structures in (7) show that the same kind of preposing is impossible in an *að*-infinitive:

- (6) a (Ég veit) að það var sagt fróðlega frá málfræði. no SF  
 (I know) that there was told interestingly about linguistics  
 ‘(I know) that people/someone spoke interestingly about linguistics.’  
 b (Ég veit) að **sagt** var fróðlega frá málfræði. **SF** (of *sagt*)  
 (I know) that told was interestingly about linguistics  
 ‘(I know) that people/someone spoke interestingly about linguistics.’
- (7) a Að vera sagt frá málfræði er fróðlegt. no SF  
 to be told about linguistics is interesting  
 b \*Að **sagt** vera frá málfræði er fróðlegt. \*SF  
 c \***Sagt** að vera frá málfræði er fróðlegt. \*SF

It thus seems that the ‘left edge’ of infinitival *að*-clauses is ‘smaller’ or contains fewer structural positions than the left edge of finite clauses. This is also suggested by the fact that certain modal auxiliaries are awkward or impossible in infinitives (the intended reading of *kunna* in (8b) is epistemic ‘may’, not the root meaning ‘know how to’, which would be somewhat better):

- (8) a \*Hún reynir [að **munu** að lesa bókina].  
 she tries to will to read book.the  
 b \*Hún vonast til [að **kunna** að lesa bókina].  
 she hopes for to may read book.the  
 c ??Henni leiddist [að **hljóta** að lesa bókina].  
 her(Dat) annoyed to must to read book.the

Similarly, certain modal sentence adverbs are ungrammatical in infinitives:

- (9) a \*Hún reynir [að lesa **sennilega** bókina].  
 she tries to read probably book.the  
 b \*Hana langar [að lesa **hreinskilnislega** bókina].  
 her(Acc) longs to read frankly book.the

In contrast, the negation and some other sentence adverbs may occur in *að*-infinitives, and when this is the case the first verb of the infinitival clause is to the left of the adverb:

- (10) a Hann reyndi [að **lesa ekki** bókina].  
 he tried to read not book.the  
 b Hann vonaðist til [að **hafa samt aldrei** lesið bókina].  
 he hoped for to have however never read book.the  
 c Mér fyndist betra [að **þurfa ekki endilega** að hafa lesið bókina  
 fyrr en klukkan tíu].  
 me(Dat) found (it) better to not necessarily have read book.the  
 before than clock ten  
 ‘I’d prefer not to necessarily need to have read the book until ten o’clock.’

In this respect, Icelandic differs from e.g. English and the Mainland Scandinavian languages, where all verbs are to the right of sentence adverbials in clausal infinitives. As we have also seen, Icelandic furthermore differs from these languages in having the tensed verb to the left of sentence adverbials in most finite subordinate clauses – in the Tense position. It seems that

the first verb in clausal *að*-infinitives is in this same position, which is conceivable if the position in question is not really a position of morphological tense but rather a position of tense interpretation, in finite as well as in nonfinite clauses.

(Matrix)	Middle part			Final part
	Inf. marker	'Tense'	Sent. adverbial	
( <i>Hann bað mig</i> ) (he asked me)	<i>að</i> to	<i>lesa</i> read	<i>ekki</i> not	<i>þessa bók strax.</i> thos book immediately
( <i>Hann vonaðist til</i> ) (he hoped for)	<i>að</i> to	<i>hafa</i> have	<i>aldrei</i> never	<i>séð myndina áður.</i> seen movie.the before
( <i>Það er gott</i> ) it is good	<i>að</i> to	<i>þurfa</i> need	<i>ekki endilega</i> not necessarily	<i>að hafa lesið bókina.</i> to have read book.the

What might be referred to as **Purpose Infinitives** is a somewhat peculiar subtype of clausal *að*-infinitives; infinitives of this type do not only have a silent (arbitrary) subject but also a zero complement:

- (11) a      *Þetta er penni til [að skrifa með \_\_\_\_].*  
                   this is a-pen for to write with  
                   ‘This is a pen to write with.’  
       b      *Þetta er ekki bók til [að lesa \_\_\_\_].*  
                   this is not a-book for to read  
                   ‘This is not a book to read.’

On the other hand, Icelandic does not have any *wh*-infinitives of the English type: *I don't know how to do this, I don't know where to go* and so on:

- (12) a      *Ég veit ekki hvernig á að gera þetta.*  
                   I know not how (one) is(3sg) to do this  
       b      *Ég veit ekki hvert á að fara.*  
                   I know not where (one) is(3sg) to go

The infinitive marker of clausal *að*-infinitives cannot usually be dropped:

- (13) a      *Það sem hún reyndi var að selja fisk. / ??Það sem hún reyndi var selja fisk*  
                   it that she tried was to sell fish  
                   ‘What she tried was to sell fish.’  
       b      *Að selja fisk dreymdi hana ekki um. / ??Selja fisk dreymdi hana ekki um.*  
                   to sell fish dreamed her(Acc) not about  
                   ‘Selling fish, she did not dream about.’

An important subclass of predicates that take clausal *að*-infinitives consists of aspectual auxiliaries:

**progressive:** *vera* ‘be’

**inchoative:** *fara* ‘go, begin’, *byrja* ‘begin, start’ (and, literary: *taka* ‘begin’)

**terminative:** *hætta* ‘quit, cease, stop’

Some simple examples:

- (14) a Hann er að lesa.  
he is to read  
'He is reading.'
- b Hún fer bráðum að lesa.  
she goes soon to read  
'She begins to read soon.'
- c Hún hættir bráðum að lesa.  
she quits soon to read  
'She quits reading soon.'

These aspectual constructions may have various less central readings/modalities. Thus, the progressive often translates as the simple present in other Germanic languages, whereas the Icelandic simple present strongly tends to have either a futuritive or a generic/habitual/repetitive reading. German *Ich lese dieses Buch* cannot be translated by Icelandic *Ég les þessa bók* ('I read this book') but must instead be translated as *Ég er að lesa þessa bók* ('I am to read this book' = 'I'm reading this book'). On the other hand, repetitive *Ich lese manchmal dieses Buch* or English *I sometimes read this book* translates as *Ég les þessa bók stundum* (lit. I read this book sometimes), not as *Ég er stundum að lesa þessa bók* (lit. I am sometimes to read this book, i.e. 'I'm sometimes reading this book.').

The progressive *vera* may combine with the inchoative or the terminative, so as to form the **immediate inchoative** or the **immediate terminative**:

- (15) a *Ég er* að fara að lesa.  
I am to go to read  
'I'm on the brink of starting reading.'
- b *Ég er* að hætta að lesa.  
I am to quit to read  
'I'm on the brink of quitting reading.'

Both these 'immediateness aspects' usually require heavy stress on the verb *vera* 'be'. Similarly, *fara* 'go' can combine with both the inchoative and the terminative, so as to render a **proximate** rather than an immediate reading; the resulting **proximate inchoative** and **proximate terminative** are exemplified in (16):

- (16) a *Ég fer* að fara að lesa.  
I go to go to read  
'I'll start reading soon.'
- b *Ég fer* að hætta að lesa.  
'I'll stop reading soon.'

Another subclass of predicates that take clausal *að*-infinitives consists of combinations of *vera* plus **aspectual participles**:

**perfect/terminative**: *vera búinn*, 'have already' (lit. be finished/ready)

**inchoative**: *vera farinn*, *vera byrjaður* 'have gone, have begun' (lit. be gone, be begun)

**terminative**: *vera hættur* 'have quit' (lit. be quit)

Some simple examples:

- (6) a Hann er búinn að lesa bókina.  
he is finished to read book.the  
'He has (already) read the book. / He has finished reading the book.'
- b Hann er farinn að lesa bókina.  
he is gone to read book.the  
'He has (already) begun reading the book.'
- c Hann er hættur að lesa bókina.  
he is quit to read book.the  
'He has (already) quit reading the book.'

## 2. Clausal bare infinitives

Icelandic has several types of clausal bare infinitives, most importantly the following ones:

- 1 The **Accusative-with-Infinitive** construction (AcI, accusativus cum infinitivo)
- 2 The **Nominative-with-Infinitive** construction (NcI)
- 3 The **Dative-and-Nominative-with-Infinitive** construction (D/NcI)

Verbs that take AcI in English include:

- A. Verbs of believe: *Believe, consider*
- B. *Expect, want*
- C. Perception verbs: *See, hear, feel, smell, sense*
- D. Causative verbs: *Let, have, make, force*

Icelandic also has many AcI verbs, the main difference being that it has no AcI verbs that correspond to *expect* and *want*, whereas it has AcI verbs of saying. The following list contains most or all AcI taking verbs that can be said to be a part of every day language (as well as some more literary ones):

- A. Verbs of believe:

<b>telja</b>	'believe, consider'
<b>álíta</b>	'consider'
<b>halda</b>	'believe'
- B. - -
- C. Perception verbs:

<b>sjá</b>	'see'
<b>koma auga á</b>	'set eye on'
<b>horfa á</b>	'look at, watch'
<b>heyra</b>	'hear', hlusta á 'listen to'
<b>finna</b>	'feel, experience'
<b>skynja</b>	'sense'
- D. Causative verb:

<b>láta</b>	'let, have'
-------------	-------------

E. Verbs of saying:

<b>segja</b>	‘say’
<b>kveða</b>	‘say’ (literary)

Some examples:

- |     |   |   |                   |
|-----|---|---|-------------------|
| (1) | a | Við teljum [ <b>hana</b> hafa verið gáfaða].<br>we consider her(Acc) have been intelligent<br>‘We consider her to have been intelligent.’ | A VERB OF BELIEVE |
|     | b | Við sáum [ <b>hana</b> taka hjólið].<br>we saw her(Acc) take byke.the<br>‘We saw her take the byke.’                                      | A PERCEPTION VERB |
|     | c | Við hlustuðum á [ <b>hana</b> syngja lagið].<br>we listened to her(Acc) sing song.the   | A PERCEPTION VERB |
|     | d | Ég fann [ <b>kuldann</b> bíta mig].<br>I felt cold.the(Acc) bite me   | A PERCEPTION VERB |
|     | e | Við létum [ <b>hana</b> syngja lagið].<br>we let her(Ac) sing song.the<br>‘We let/had her sing the song.’                                 | A CAUSATIVE VERB  |
|     | f | Við sögðum [ <b>hana</b> hafa sungið vel].<br>we said her have(Acc) sung well<br>‘We said that she had sung well.’                        | A VERB OF SAYING  |

As seen, all these verbs take a bare infinitive with an accusative subject: [Acc – Infinitive – Final part]. In addition, some verbs that are reminiscent of English AcI-taking verbs can take an accusative object plus a *til að* ‘for to’ infinitive, as in the following examples:

- |     |   |   |
|-----|---|---|
| (2) | a | Við þvinguðum hana til að syngja lagið.<br>we forced her(Acc) for to sing song.the<br>‘We forced her to sing the song.’ |
|     | b | Við fengum hana til að syngja lagið.<br>we got her(Acc) for to sing song.the<br>‘We had her sing the song.’             |

It seems, however, that these *til að* infinitives are PRO infinitives, much as the *um að* ‘for to, about to’ infinitives in the following examples; for clarity, the silent subject position is indicated by a slot:

- |     |   |   |
|-----|---|---|
| (3) | a | Við báðum hana um [að ___ syngja lagið].<br>we asked her(Acc) for to sing song.the  |
|     | b | Við töluðum við hana um [að ___ syngja lagið].<br>we talked with her(Acc) about to sing song.the<br>‘We talked to her about (us/her) singing the song.’ |

In the Accusative-with-Infinitive or AcI construction the accusative argument is the subject of the infinitival clause. In the **Nominative-with-Infinitive** construction, on the other hand, the nominative argument is the subject of the main clause, as in:

- |     |  |
|-----|--|
| (4) | <b>Hún</b> virðist [ ___ vera gáfuð].<br>she(Nom) seems be intelligent |
|-----|--|

As indicated by the slot, however, the nominative argument has its source, so to speak, as a subject of the infinitive, from where it is moved to the subject position, by **Subject Raising**. In other words, the semantic function or the so-called **thematic role** of the subject is not ‘to seem’, but rather ‘to be intelligent’. That is, the clause in (4) does not mean that ‘it seemed to her to be intelligent’, but rather that ‘it seemed to someone else that *she was intelligent*’ (where ‘she’ is a subject of the predicate ‘was intelligent’). Thus, nominative main clause subjects of NcI constructions have the same thematic role as accusative infinitival subjects of corresponding AcI constructions, as illustrated in (5):

- |     |   |   |     |
|-----|---|---|-----|
| (5) | a | Við töldum [ <b>hana</b> vera gáfaða].    | AcI |
|     |   | we believed her(Acc) be intelligent(Acc)  |     |
|     | b | <b>Hún</b> var talin [__ vera gáfuð].     | NcI |
|     |   | she(Nom) was believed be intelligent(Nom) |     |

In both sentences, it is obviously the case that someone believes that ‘*she is intelligent*’, the difference being that ‘she’ moves to the matrix clause subject position in the NcI construction, as opposed to the AcI construction (where the matrix clause subject position is occupied by another subject, in (5a) *við* ‘we’).

There are three major types of NcI verbs in Icelandic: A) ‘Independent’ NcI verbs, i.e., NcI verbs that are not related to AcI verbs. B) Passives of some AcI verbs. C) “Mediopassives” of many AcI verbs (formed by adding the “mediopassive” suffix *-st* to the AcI verb). Most ‘independent’ NcI verbs also take a mediopassive form, in *-st*.

A. ‘Independent’ NcI verbs:

<b>reynast</b>	‘prove (to be/do), turn out’
<b>sýnast</b>	‘appear, look (as if)’
<b>virðast</b>	‘seem’
<b>þykja</b>	‘be held, considered, felt/found’
<b>þykjast</b>	‘pretend, make believe’

B. Passives of some AcI verbs:

<b>vera talinn</b>	‘be believed, considered’
<b>vera álitinn</b>	‘be considered’
<b>vera haldinn</b>	‘be held, believed’ (old fashioned)
<b>vera látinn</b>	‘be let, made’
<b>vera sagður</b>	‘be said’
<b>vera kveðinn</b>	‘be said’ (old fashioned)

C. Mediopassives of some AcI verbs:

<b>heyrast</b>	‘be, get heard’
<b>kveðast</b>	‘say (onself to ...)’ (old fashioned)
<b>sjást</b>	‘be, get seen’
<b>látast</b>	‘pretend’
<b>segjast</b>	‘say (oneself to ...)’
<b>teljast</b>	‘be considered’

Some examples; the slots shown the infinitival subject position (from where the nominative argument raises to the matrix subject position):

- (6) a **Hún** reyndist [ \_\_ vera mjög gáfuð].  
she(Nom) poved be very intelligent
- b **Hún** þóttist [ \_\_ vera vera mjög gáfuð].  
she(Nom) pretended be very intelligent
- c **Hún** var látin [ \_\_ syngja lagið].  
she(Nom) was made sing song.the
- d **Hann** var sagður [ \_\_ syngja lagið vel].  
he(Nom) was said sing song.the well
- e **Hann** heyrðist [ \_\_ syngja lagið].  
he(Nom) was-heard sing song.the
- f **Hann** sást [ \_\_ taka bókina].  
he(Nom) was-seen take book.the
- g **Hann** sagðist [ \_\_ hafa tekið bókina].  
he(Nom) said-himself have taken book.the  
'He said that he had taken the book.'

In addition, some other verbs in *-st* take non-infinitival predicates, for instance participial predicates, as in the following examples:

- (7) a **Hann** fannst [ \_\_ liggjandi á götunni].  
he(Nom) was-found lying on street.the
- b **Þjófurinn** náðist [ \_\_ stelandi bókum].  
thief.the(Nom) got-cought stealing books

The structure of AcI and NcI constructions may be sketched as follows:

- (8) a AcI: Subject – AcI verb – **Acc** – Infinitive
- b NcI: **Nom** – NcI verb –  $\emptyset$  – Infinitive

As mentioned above, Icelandic also has the so-called **Dative-and-Nominative-with-Infinitive** construction D/NcI, where the dative is a matrix clause experiencer whereas the nominative is the subject of the infinitive. Thus, the structure of this unusual construction may be sketched as follows:

- (9) D/NcI: **Dat** – D/NcI verb – **Nom** – Infinitive

For clarity, the three infinitival constructions are compared in (10):

- (10) a Ég taldi [**hana** hafa tekið bókina]. AcI  
I believed her(Acc) have taken book.the
- b **Hún** virtist [ \_\_ hafa tekið bókina]. NcI  
she(Nom) seemed have taken book.the
- c **Mér** sýndist [**hún** hafa tekið bókina]. D/NcI  
me(Dat) appeared she(Nom) have taken book.the  
'It appeared me that she had taken the book.'

D/NcI verbs include at least the following (many of them also being ‘independent’ NcI verbs):

<b>finnast</b>	‘find, consider’
<b>heyrast</b>	‘hear, sound (as if)’
<b>reynast</b>	‘prove, turn out’
<b>sýnast</b>	‘appear’
<b>virðast</b>	‘seem’
<b>þykja</b>	‘find, consider’

Some examples:

- (11) a **Mér** fannst [**hún** syngja vel].  
me(Dat) found she(Nom) sing well  
‘In my opinion she sang well.’
- b **Mér** heyrðist [**hún** syngja vel].  
me(Dat) heard she(Nom) sing well  
‘I thought she sang well.’
- c **Þér** mun líklega reynast [**hún** (verða) góður samstarfsmaður].  
you(Dat) will probably prove she(Nom) (be) good colleague  
‘She will probably turn out to be a good colleague to you.’
- d **Honum** hafði oft virst [**hún** vinna of mikið].  
him(Dat) had often seemed she(Nom) work too much

Clausal bare infinitives are ‘incomplete’ or ‘defect’ in the sense that they only tolerate sentence adverbials very reluctantly:

- (12) a Við höfum ekki talið [hana (??**sennilega**) hafa tekið bókina].  
we have not believed her(Acc) (probably) have taken book.the
- b Þú mundir þá virðast [ \_\_ (??**ekki**) hafa gert skyldu þína].  
you would then seem (not) have done duty your
- c Honum hafði ekki virst [hún vinna (**\*hreinskilnislega**) of mikið].  
him had not seemed she(Nom) work frankly too much

Notice that the infinitival subjects in AcI and D/NcI stay in the subject position of the infinitival in (12a) and (12c); hence, of course, they show up behind both the main verb and the sentence adverbial of the main clause: ... *ekki talið hana* ... and ... *ekki virst hún*, respectively. However, if the main clause contains no auxiliary, the infinitival subjects show up in front of the matrix sentence adverbial:

- (13) a Við töldum **hana** ekki [ \_\_ hafa tekið bókina].  
we believed her(Acc) not have taken book.the
- b Honum virtist **hún** ekki [ \_\_ vinna of mikið].  
him(Dat) seemed she(Nom) not work too much

In examples of this sort, the infinitival subject moves into the matrix clause, across the matrix negation, by so-called **OBJECT-SHIFT** (a slight misnomer as it applies to subjects of bare infinitives as well as to usual objects; see further section ...).

As mentioned, so-called Subject Raising raises the infinitival subject in the NcI construction out of the infinitive into the subject position of the matrix clause. So-called

**TOUGH-MOVEMENT** in English clauses of the following type is in many respects similar to Subject Raising; the slot indicates the position from where the matrix subject has moved:

- (14) a He is tough [to get on with \_\_\_\_].  
 b She is easy [to please \_\_\_\_].  
 c They are likely [to win \_\_\_\_].

Tough-Movement seems to be gaining ground in Icelandic as well. Thus, in addition to the more traditional expressions in (15), the Tough-Movement constructions in (16) are sometimes heard:

- (15) a Það er erfitt [að umgangast **hana**].  
 it is difficult to get-along-with her(Acc)  
 b Það er auðvelt [að lesa **þennan kafla**].  
 it is easy to read this chapter(Acc)
- (16) a %**Hún** er erfið [að umgangast \_\_\_\_].  
 she(Nom) is difficult to get-along-with  
 b %**Þessi kafli** er auðveldur [að lesa \_\_\_\_].  
 this chapter(Nom) is easy to read.

Although we have followed tradition in using the terms Accusative-with-Infinitive and Nominative-with-Infinitive, they are slightly misleading for Icelandic (whereas they are quite suitable for many other languages). The reason for this is that not all arguments that enter into these constructions in Icelandic are accusative vs. nominative. This is illustrated for the AcI construction in (17) and for the NcI construction in (18):

- (17) a Ég taldi [**henni** líða vel].  
 I believed her(Dat) feel well  
 ‘I believed that she felt well.’  
 b Ég taldi [**hennar** hafa verið leitað].  
 I believed her(Gen) have been looked-for  
 ‘I believed that she had been kookied for.’
- (18) a **Hana** virðist langa heim.  
 her(Acc) seems long home  
 ‘She seems to want to go home.’  
 b **Henni** virðist líða vel.  
 her(Dat) seems feel well  
 ‘She seems to feel well.’  
 c **Hennar** virðist hafa verið leitað.  
 her(Gen) seems have been looked for  
 ‘She seems to have been looked for.’

Thus, in the AcI construction, the ‘accusative’ may be dative or genitive as well as accusative, and in the NcI construction, the ‘nominative’ may be accusative, dative or genitive as well as nominative.

These alternative case-markings in the AcI and NcI constructions are, however, not arbitrary. Rather, they are instantiations of so-called **INHERENT CASE-MARKING**. It is an inherent property of many predicates in Icelandic that they take inherently case-marked



### 3. A note on Small Infinitives

It is not clear that all infinitives are best analyzed as being clausal; rather, many auxiliaries take infinitival complements that seem to be verb phrases or non-clausal constructions of some other sort. For ease of reference, let us call these infinitives SMALL INFINITIVES. Like clausal infinitives, small infinitives are either bare infinitives or *að*-infinitives. Four auxiliaries take bare small infinitives: *mega* ‘be allowed, may’, *munu* ‘will’, *skulu* ‘shall’, *vilja* ‘want to’; all other auxiliaries that take an infinitival complement take an *að*-infinitive. Using or not using *að* improperly in small infinitives is sharply ungrammatical:

- (1) a Hann mun fara. / \*Hann mun **að** fara.  
he will go  
b Þú skalt fara. / \*Þú skalt **að** fara.  
you shall go  
‘You should go.’
- (2) a Hún verður **að** fara. / \*Hún verður fara.  
she must to go  
b Hún þarf **að** fara. / \*Hún þarf fara.  
she needs to go  
c Hún á **að** fara. / \*Hún á fara.  
she is to go  
d Hún fær **að** fara. / \*Hún fær fara.  
she gets (permission) to go

-----

#### Some relevant studies:

- Hornstein, Norbert. 1990. Verb Raising in Icelandic Infinitives. *NELS* 20, 215-229.
- Johnson, Kyle and Sten Vikner. 1995. The Position of the Verb in Scandinavian Infinitives: In  $V^0$  or  $C^0$  but not in  $I^0$ . *Working Papers in Scandinavian Syntax* 53, 61-84.
- Friðjónsson, Jón. 1981. Um nafnhátt. [On infinitives.] *Afmælikveðja til Halldórs Halldórssonar* 13. júlí 1981, 134-153. Reykjavík: Íslenska málfræðifélagið.
- Friðjónsson, Jón. 1982. Um lýsingarhátt nútíðar. [On the present participle.] *Íslenskt mál og almenn málfræði* 4, 191-219.
- Friðjónsson, Jón. 1989. *Samsettar myndir sagna* [Complex verbal categories]. Institute of Linguistics, Reykjavík
- Hornstein, Norbert. 1990. Verb Raising in Icelandic Infinitives. *NELS* 20, 215-229.
- Sigurðsson, Halldór Ármann. 1989. *Verbal Syntax and Case in Icelandic*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Lund. [Reprinted 1992 by Institute of Linguistics, University of Iceland, Reykjavík].
- Thráinsson, Höskuldur. 1979. *On Complementation in Icelandic*. New York: Garland Publishing.
- Thráinsson, Höskuldur. 1984. Different types of infinitival complements in Icelandic. In W. de Geest and Y. Putseys (eds). *Sentential Complementation*, pp. 247-255. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Thráinsson, Höskuldur. 1993. On the Structure of Infinitival Complements. Höskuldur Thráinsson, Samuel D. Epstein og Susumu Kuno (eds.): *Harvard Working Papers in Linguistics* 3, 181-213.