

LUND UNIVERSITY

Facing metaphors as the most complex iconic signs: Toward a synthetic analysis of figuration in Greek street art

Stampoulidis, Georgios; Bolognesi, Marianna; Zlatev, Jordan

2019

Document Version: Peer reviewed version (aka post-print)

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA): Stampoulidis, G., Bolognesi, M., & Zlatev, J. (2019). Facing metaphors as the most complex iconic signs: Toward a synthetic analysis of figuration in Greek street art.

Total number of authors: 3

Creative Commons License: CC BY

General rights

Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights. • Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study

or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Read more about Creative commons licenses: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

LUND UNIVERSITY

PO Box 117 221 00 Lund +46 46-222 00 00

Facing metaphors as the most complex *iconic signs*: Toward a synthetic analysis of figuration in Greek street art

Abstract

Cognitive linguistic and semiotic accounts of metaphors have often discussed the complex phenomenon of *metaphor* in various ways, often addressing factors such as universality and conventionality, context-sensitivity, cross-cultural variation and creativity, deliberateness and "multimodality". However, in most cases, such factors are investigated in isolation (cf. Gibbs 2017). Therefore, we propose a cognitive semiotic approach that can help us to seek convergences instead of divergences among such long-standing debated issues by using a coherent and consistent terminology, informed by cognitive semiotics.

Cognitive linguistic approaches to metaphor propose an understanding of metaphor as an instrument of thought, rejecting the traditional notion of metaphor as a figurative device (e.g. Grady 1997; Lakoff and Johnson 1980). Conceptual Metaphor Theory (CMT) emphasizes that metaphors are more or less fixed (and static) conceptual mappings based on bodily and cultural experiences. What we argue instead is that such cognitive correspondences are not metaphors *per se*, but rather diagrams, serving as motivations for the use of contextually situated and culturally embedded metaphors.

Taking the overall theme of the conference, *iconicity*, we highlight that similarity-based analogies (diagrams) between *source* and *target* are the dominant motivating factors for metaphor creation and interpretation. At the same time, the semiotic grounds of indexicality and symbolicity (based on sociohistorical awareness, background knowledge and context) are closely interacting with iconicity. This conforms with the view that metaphors are the most complex iconic signs (Peirce 1974 [1931]) especially when understood as creative, emergent, and dynamic processes, which are socio-culturally derived and contextually influenced (Kövecses 2015, Müller 2008, Sonesson 2015).

Our presentation argues for a synthetic cognitive semiotic investigation of metaphors in Greek street art by bringing together complementary perspectives from semiotics and cognitive linguistics.

References

Gibbs, R. (2017). The Embodied and Discourse Views of Metaphor: Why These Are Not So Different and How They Can Be Brought Closer Together. In B. Hampe (Eds.), *Metaphor: Embodied Cognition and Discourse* (pp. 319-334). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/9781108182324.018

Grady, J. (1997). "THEORIES ARE BUILDINGS revisited." Cognitive Linguistics 8 (4): 267–290.

Kövecses, Z. (2015). *Where Metaphors Come From: Reconsidering Context in Metaphor*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

- Lakoff, G., and Johnson, M. (2003 [1980]). *Metaphors We Live By*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Müller, C. (2008). *Metaphors Dead and Alive, Sleeping and Waking: A dynamic view*. Chicago, London: University of Chicago Press.
- Peirce, C. S. (1974 [1931]). The Icon, Index, and Symbol. In C. Hartshorne and P. Weiss (Eds.), *Collected papers of Charles Sanders Peirce*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Sonesson, G. (2015). Bats Out of the Belfry. The Nature of Metaphor, with Special Attention to Pictorial Metaphors, *Signs and Media* 11: 74–104.