Fully decentralized conditions for local convergence of DC/AC converter network based on matching control

Jouini, Taouba; Sun, Zhiyong

Published in:
Proceedings on the Conference on Decision and Control, CDC 2020

DOI:
10.1109/CDC42340.2020.9304344

2020

Document Version:
Peer reviewed version (aka post-print)

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):
Jouini, T., & Sun, Z. (2020). Fully decentralized conditions for local convergence of DC/AC converter network based on matching control. In Proceedings on the Conference on Decision and Control, CDC 2020 IEEE - Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc.. https://doi.org/10.1109/CDC42340.2020.9304344

Total number of authors:
2

General rights
Unless other specific re-use rights are stated the following general rights apply:
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.
• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
• You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
• You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.
Fully decentralized conditions for local convergence of DC/AC converter network based on matching control

Taouba Jouini¹, Zhiyong Sun²

Abstract—We investigate local convergence of identical DC/AC converters interconnected via identical resistive and inductive lines towards a synchronous equilibrium manifold. We exploit the symmetry of the resulting vector field and develop a Lyapunov-based framework, in which we measure the distance of the solutions of the nonlinear power system model to the equilibrium manifold by analyzing the evolution of their tangent vectors. We derive sufficient and fully decentralized conditions to characterize the equilibria of interest, and provide an estimate of their region of contraction. We provide ways to satisfy these conditions and illustrate our results based on numerical simulations of a two-converter benchmark.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the advent of high penetration of renewable energy resources in the electrical network [1], power system stability remains at the heart of the understanding of the ramifications of these unprecedented changes affecting the generation, operation and distribution of energy, where power electronic DC/AC converters, play key role in maintaining reliable power supply.

a) Literature review: Despite their intrinsic differences, synchronous machines and DC/AC converters share structural similarities, which are often exploited to design efficient control strategies that endow resilience to the electrical grid. Thus, different schemes for machine emulating control e.g., droop control [2], virtual synchronous machines [3], synchroconverters [4], are extensively studied and labeled as grid-friendly for meeting power demands and showing robustness against common disturbances. In particular, the matching control, introduced recently in [5] has gained much attention, due to its simple implementation and advantageous plug and play properties [6].

One of the major difficulties in the network analysis of power system stability, is the presence of a continuum of steady states due to the symmetry of the vector field describing the multi-converter or multi-machine dynamics [7]. In particular, the rotational invariance indicates the absence of a reference frame or absolute angle in power system and presents a fundamental obstacle for defining suitable error coordinates. A common approach in power system literature is to eliminate this continuum of equilibria, e.g., by performing transformations either resulting from grounding a node [8], or projecting into the orthogonal complement [9], if the equilibrium manifold is a linear subspace, where classical stability tools such as Lyapunov direct method, can be deployed. Nonetheless, this type of transformations are not possible for high-order systems, where the dynamics do not have a direct coupling term or if the Laplacian matrix cannot be expressed explicitly. This has been highlighted at different occasions in power system literature.

Differential geometric methods have been adopted in the study of nonlinear solutions of symmetric vector fields and we distinguish two main avenues. First, contraction theory and differentiation methods [10] assess the stability of nonlinear trajectories, in terms of their convergence with respect to one another. Contraction theory captures the convergence towards a particular solution with a specific smooth property [11] relying on infinitesimal virtual displacements. The study of differential system dynamics on the tangent bundle shows for example the convergence to an attractor for coupled identical nonlinear oscillators [12]. Contracting systems are also referred to as convergent.

Second, Lyapunov theory and incremental methods [13] have been recognized as promising tools to study the stability of trajectories with respect to one another, besides being attracted towards an equilibrium of interest. Incremental Lyapunov theory is tailored to power system models in the aftermath of a failure or disturbance from an energy-shaping perspective.

Another approach, under the name of differentiable Lyapunov framework, merges integration methods revolving around incremental Lyapunov functions with differentiation methods, based on contraction analysis [14]. This approach allows the study of stability of nonlinear trajectories by looking at the dynamics of their virtual displacements and measures well-defined distance, called Finsler distance, between them via integration.

b) Contributions: In this work, we consider high-fidelity power system model, consisting of identical DC/AC converters interconnected via identical resistive and inductive lines. Based on preliminary results in [15], we exploit structural properties of the vector field to prove convergence of the nonlinear trajectories, under fully decentralized conditions, which can be verified individually at each converter. For this, we adopt the differential stability framework presented in [14], by lifting the Lyapunov function to the tangent bundle. Based on considerations in the quotient manifold, we show that solutions of the multi-converter system converge towards a synchronous equilibrium manifold on a contraction region.
characterized by small distance of the angles, frequency and AC signals to the subspace, representing the tangent vector of the rotational invariance at steady state. We link our stability results theories in the study of weak/partially contracting systems. Our simulations illustrate our results, where the stability conditions are satisfied and the contraction region is numerically estimated.
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B. Steady state manifold

In light of Section II-A, we aim to understand the properties of the induced synchronous equilibrium manifold,

\[ \mathcal{M} = \{ z^* \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid f(z^*, u) = 0 \}, \tag{6} \]

resulting from setting \( \delta_q \) to zero, for a given input vector \( u \in \mathbb{R}^N \) to be specified. Next, we investigate the properties of the equilibrium manifold \( \mathcal{M} \) and define properties related to its symmetry and feasibility.

**Lemma II.1.** Consider the equilibrium manifold \( \mathcal{M} \) described by (6). Then, \( \mathcal{M} \) has the following properties:

1) **Synchronization:** The frequencies of all converters synchronize at the nominal frequency \( \omega_n \).
2) **Rotational symmetry:** \( \mathcal{M} \) has a rotational symmetry given by the equilibrium manifold,

\[ \{ \left[ (\gamma^* + \theta I_n)^T 0^T (R(\theta)x^*)^T \right]^T, \theta \in \mathbb{S} \} \}, \tag{7} \]

that is, for all \( z^* \in \mathcal{M} \), it holds that \( [\gamma^*] \in \mathcal{M} \).
3) **Feasibility:** If \( u(z^*) = \delta\text{Rot}(\gamma^*)^T I_n \), then \( \mathcal{M} \) is non-empty.

**Proof.**

1) By the chosen \( dq \) frame with \( \delta_q = \omega_n \), we set the angle dynamics in \( \delta_q \) to zero. This implies that for \( v_{dc} = v_{dc}^* \), the relative frequency \( \gamma = \omega = 0 \) at steady state.
2) The existence of a symmetry for \( \mathcal{M} \) is a direct consequence of \( \delta_q \), applied to the steady state equations, satisfying (6).
3) The feasibility condition follows from setting DC voltage dynamics in \( \delta_q \) to zero and solving for the input \( \delta_q \), given by \( \delta_q = \frac{\delta_f}{\delta z} \), \( k = 1 \ldots n \).

**Assumption 1** (Feasibility of the steady states). Assume that the input \( u \) in (3) is given by \( u = u(z^*) \).

III. LOCAL ASYMPTOTIC CONTRACTION OF POWER SYSTEM MODEL

A. Preliminaries

Under Assumption 1, we consider the power system model (2). Let \( \mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^N \) be a non-empty steady-state manifold as defined in (6). Because of the symmetry \( \delta_q \), we define the quotient manifold \( \mathbb{R}^N/\sim \) induced by the following equivalence relation for \( z_1 = [Y_1^T \ v_{dc,1} x_1^1]^T \), \( z_2 = [Y_2^T \ v_{dc,2} x_2^2]^T \), given by,

\[ z_1 \sim z_2 \quad \text{iff} \quad \exists \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 = \theta I_n, x_1 = R(\theta)x_2, \tag{8} \]

and defined by the equivalence class \( \delta_q \). The equivalence between two AC signals \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) follows from re-defining \( dq \) frame angle. Hence (3) represents a quotient system on \( \mathbb{R}^N/\sim \), in the sense of [14, Sec. VIII-B] and [11, Sec. B]: For every initial condition \( z_0^* \in \mathcal{Z}_0 \), the solution \( \phi(t, z_0^*) \) to (3) satisfies \( \phi(t, z_0^*) \in \{ \phi(t, z_0) \} \).

**Assumption 2** (Isolated equilibria on \( \mathbb{R}^N/\sim \)). Consider the system (3) defined on the quotient manifold \( \mathbb{R}^N/\sim \). Assume that the equilibria of the manifold \( \mathcal{M} \) on \( \mathbb{R}^N/\sim \) are isolated.

Based on Assumption 2 let \( D \subset \mathbb{R}^N \) be a neighborhood of \( [z^*] \in \mathcal{M} \). In general, the steady state manifold \( \mathcal{M} \) has multiple equilibria that are isolated (Assumption 2) on \( \mathbb{R}^N/\sim \). We refer to the study of contraction of solutions of (3) restricted to a region of the space \( D \) containing \( [z^*] \subset \mathcal{M} \) by local contraction analysis.

Next, we consider the following variational system on \( D \), (and implicitly on \( D/\sim \)),

\[ \dot{z} = f(z, u), \tag{9} \]

\[ \delta z = \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z} \delta z, \]

where \( \partial f(z)/\partial z \) denotes the partial derivatives of (3) representing the Jacobian and \( \delta z \) lies on \( T_D \) the tangent space of \( D \) at \( z \).

**Definition III.1** (Lyapunov function with respect to \( S \)). A differentiable function \( V : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, U \subset \mathbb{R}^N \), is a Lyapunov function with respect to a non-empty, closed and invariant set \( S \subset U \), if

1) \( V \) is positive definite with respect to \( S \), that is,

- \( V(z) = 0 \), \( z \in S \),
- \( V(z) > 0 \), \( z \in U \setminus S \).
2) Lie derivative of \( V \) is negative definite with respect to \( S \), that is,

- \( V(z) = 0 \), \( z \in S \),
- \( V(z) > 0 \), \( z \in U \setminus S \).

**Definition III.2** is equivalent to the notion of smooth Lyapunov function with respect to \( S \) using \( K_w \) functions introduced in [17].

Our analysis of the Jacobian of the nonlinear power system model (2) in [15], [18], takes under the loop the behavior of the differential system in (3) restricted to the tangent space \( T_z \mathcal{M} \) with \( z^* \in \mathcal{M} \), as shown in Figure 1 and described by,

\[ \delta z = A(z^*) \delta z, \quad A(z^*) = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{10} \]

with \( \delta z = [\delta z_1^T \delta z_2^T]^T \in T_z \mathcal{M} \) corresponding to the partition \( \delta z_1 = [\delta \gamma^T \delta v_{dc}^T]^T, \delta z_2 = \delta x \). Note that the Jacobian \( A(z^*) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \) has a one-dimensional zero subspace denoted by,

\[ \text{span}\{v(z^*)\} = \text{span}\{[I_n^T 0^T (Jx_1^*)^T]\}, \]

where \( Jx_1^* = [(Jx_1^*)^T (Jv_1^*)^T (Ji_1^*)^T]^T \). For all \( \delta z \in T_z \mathcal{M} \), we show in [15] asymptotic stability of \( \text{span}(v(z^*)) \), in the sense of [17, Theorem 2.8] under the following steady state condition:

**Condition III.2** (Equilibria of interest [15]). Consider a steady state \( z^* \in \mathcal{M} \). Assume the following condition is satisfied at the \( k \)-th converter,

\[ Q_{\text{stick}} > \frac{\mu^2 v_{dc}^2}{16 R}, \tag{11} \]
where $Q_{sw,k} = \frac{1}{2}\mu (I r(\gamma_k))^{T} i_k v_{dc}$, denotes steady state reactive power after the switching block (before the output filter) at the $k$-th converter.

Fig. 1. Proof of asymptotic stability of $\text{span}\{v(z^*)\}$ in the sense of [17] for trajectories on the tangent space $T_x\mathcal{M}$ for the linearized power system model [10] in [15].

**Definition III.3** (Finsler-Lyapunov function [14]). A differentiable function $V : T\mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is a Finsler-Lyapunov function, if it satisfies

\[ c_1 F(x, \delta x, t)^{p} \leq V(x, \delta x, t) \leq c_2 F(x, \delta x, t)^{p}, \]

for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and with $p \in \mathbb{N}$, where $F(x, \delta x, t)$ is a Finsler structure (see [14]), uniformly in $x$ and $t$.

By the key property (12), there exists a well-defined distance on $\mathcal{D}$ via integration defined below.

**Definition III.4** (Finsler distance [14]). Consider a candidate Finsler-Lyapunov function $V$ on the manifold $\mathcal{X}$ and the associated Finsler structure $F$. For any subset $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{X}$, and any two points $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{X}$, let $\Gamma(z_1, z_2)$ be the collection of piecewise $C^1$ curves, $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, connecting $z_1$ and $z_2$ with $\gamma(0) = z_1$ and $\gamma(1) = z_2$. The Finsler distance $d : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ induced by the structure $F$ is defined by

\[ d(z_1, z_2) := \inf_{\Gamma(z_1, z_2)} \int_{\gamma} F(\gamma(s), \frac{d\gamma}{ds}, s) \, ds \]  

(13)

The pseudo-distance induced by $F = \sqrt{V}$ on $\mathcal{D}$ is a distance on the quotient manifold $\mathcal{D}/\sim$.

To analyze the behavior of the linearized trajectories on the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{D}$ of the variational system (9), we define a parameterized Lyapunov function $V : T\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with respect to $S = \text{span}\{v(z^*)\}$ (from Definition III.3 and as in [15]) and given by,

\[ V(\delta z) = \delta z^{T} \left( P - \frac{P v(z^*) v(z^*)^{T} P}{v(z^*)^{T} P v(z^*)} \right) \delta z, \]

\[ P = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & P_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]  

(14)

where $P$ is a symmetric, positive definite matrix with block diagonals $P_1 > 0$ and $P_2 > 0$ and $\delta z \in T\mathcal{D}$. The Lyapunov function in (14) represents the squared distance of the tangent vector $\delta z \in \mathbb{R}^M$ to the linear subspace $\text{span}\{v(z^*)\}$, in the weighted inner product defined by $(\cdot, \cdot)_P = (\cdot)^T P (\cdot)$, $P > 0$ and the weighted Euclidean norm $\| \cdot \|_P = \sqrt{(\cdot, \cdot)_P}$. Note that by Definitions III.4 and III.3 $V(\delta z)$ represents a Finsler Lyapunov function.

We say that a solution to (9) is asymptotically contracting on a forward invariant set $\mathcal{C}$, if for all initial conditions $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{C}$,

\[ d(\phi(t, z_1), \phi(t, z_2)) \leq k(d(z_1, z_2)) \]

\[ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d(\phi(t, z_1), \phi(t, z_2)) = 0, \]

where $t > 0$, $k(\cdot)$ is a $C$-function and $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a pseudo-distance metric. Notice that if $d(z_1, z_2) = 0$, for all $[z_1] = [z_2]$, then $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ becomes a distance metric on $\mathcal{C}/\sim$ and the solutions to (9) satisfying (13) on $\mathcal{C}/\sim$ are incrementally asymptotically stable, see [14, Theorem 3].

It is noteworthy that the pseudo-distance induced by $\sqrt{V}$ on $\mathcal{D}$ in (14) is a distance on the quotient space $\mathcal{D}/\sim$.

**B. Local contraction analysis**

Since asymptotic contraction of (9) on a forward invariant set $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ is equivalent to incremental asymptotic stability on the quotient $\mathcal{C}/\sim$, in the next section, we show incremental asymptotic stability of the quotient system (9) and characterize a forward invariant set $\mathcal{C}_e$.

**Theorem III.5.** Let the power system model (4), under Assumption [14] and Condition III.2 be defined on a neighborhood $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^M$ of $[z^*] \subset \mathcal{M}$. Then, the solutions to (4) asymptotically contract towards the synchronous equilibrium manifold $[z^*]$ on $\mathcal{C}_e \subseteq \mathcal{D}$, where

\[ \mathcal{C}_e = \{(z, \delta z) \in \mathcal{D}, \forall \delta z \leq \varepsilon \}, \]

(16)

with $\varepsilon$ being positive and sufficiently small.

**Proof.** We consider the variational system (9) under Assumptions [14] and Condition III.2 and follow ideas inspired from [14]. We take the derivative of the Lyapunov function (14) and add and subtract $A(z^*)$ as defined in [10]. Then, we obtain for all $(z, \delta z) \in \mathcal{D}$,

\[ V(\delta z) = \delta z^{T} \Pi \left( \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z} \right) \delta z + \delta z^{T} \left( \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z} \right)^{T} \Pi \delta z, \]

\[ = \delta z^{T} \left( P A(z^*) + A(z^*)^{T} P \right) \delta z + \delta z^{T} \left( \Pi G(z) + G(z)^{T} \Pi \right) \delta z, \]

where $\delta z \in T_z \mathcal{D}$, and the matrix $G(z) = \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z} - A(z^*)$ is given by

\[ G(z) = \begin{bmatrix} G_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ \begin{bmatrix} -C_{1}\hat{W}(z) & 0 & 0 \\ L^{T}\hat{M}(z) & L^{T}\hat{Y}(z) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

and we define the matrices,

\[ \hat{W}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \mu \left( I (J \text{Rot}(\gamma))^{T} i - (J \text{Rot}(\gamma'))^{T} i \right), \]

\[ \hat{Y}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \mu \left( (J \text{Rot}(\gamma) - \text{Rot}(\gamma')) \right), \mu \in [0, 1] \]

\[ \hat{M}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \mu \left( \text{diag}(v_{dc}) J (\text{Rot}(\gamma) - v_{dc} J \text{Rot}(\gamma')) \right). \]

By Conditions III.2, we have that $\text{span}\{v(z^*)\} \subset \mathcal{C}_e$ is asymptotically stable, which establishes that the set $\mathcal{C}_e$ is forward invariant.
On the set $C_\varepsilon \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ given by (16), we have that, for all $\gamma \in \Gamma(z,[z^*])$,
\[
d(z,[z^*]) \leq \inf_{\Gamma(z,[z^*])} \int_{\gamma} \sqrt{\delta \theta} \, ds < \varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0.
\]
which follows from the definition of Finsler distance in (12). This implies in particular that, $||z - z^*|| < \varepsilon'$, since $z^* \in [z^*]$, hence there exists sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, so that, $\delta z \perp (\Pi G(z) + G(z) \Pi) \delta z \leq \varepsilon$. Thus, we have that $V(\delta z) \leq -\delta z \cdot Q(P) \delta z + \varepsilon$, with $Q(P)$ given in (14).

By choice of $Q_1 = q_1 I$, $Q_2 = q_2 (\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta z}}(\delta z)\top \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta z}}(\delta z))$, $q_1, q_2 > 0$ and from $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have that $V(\delta z) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \delta z = \text{span}\{v(z^*)\}$.

By [14, Theorem 1], the system (9) and hence (3) defined on the quotient space $\mathcal{D}/\sim$ is incrementally asymptotically stable on $C_\varepsilon/\sim$. As a consequence, the solutions to (3) are asymptotically contracting towards $[z^*]$ for all trajectories initialized on $C_\varepsilon$ given by (15).

This can also be deduced from (4) by expanding the Taylor series around $(\theta', z^*)$, $\theta' \in \mathbb{S}^1$, $z^* \in M$ of left and right terms in (4) and comparing the terms of their first derivatives with respect to $\theta$. In this way, we obtain,
\[
\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z = z^*} \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta} \right) \left( z^* + h_0 \right) (\theta - \theta') = 0
\]
where $\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z = z^*} = A(z^*)$ and $h_0 + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta} |_{\theta = \theta'} z^* = v(z^*)$ (by the equivalence relation (5)), hence we recover $A(z^*) v(z^*) = 0$.

Theorem III.5 specifies a parameterized forward invariant set representing the contraction region, see [10] for solutions of the power system model (3), characterized by small distance of angles, DC voltages (and thus frequency), and AC signal to the subspace span$\{v(z^*)\}$ and hence to the set $[z^*]$. For similar conditions, considering reduced-order power system models, we refer the reader to phase cohesiveness in [19, Theorem 4.1] and frequency boundedness in [20, Lemma 4.1].

**D. Equilibria of interest**

We are interested in those equilibria $z^* \in M$ that verify the steady state condition (11). This condition can be evaluated in a fully decentralized fashion and is dependent on the converter’s resistance $R$, modulation amplitude $\mu \in [0,1]$, nominal DC voltage $v_{dc}$ and reactive power output $Q_{sw,k}$. In particular, condition (11) requires sufficient reactive power support and resistive damping, which are well-known practical stability conditions [21]. In addition to virtual impedance and current measurement (see [15, Remark 2]), constant reactive power load, set in parallel with the load conductance $G > 0$, can equivalently be considered to satisfy (11).

**E. Link to other stability theories**

Our stability analysis finds roots in concepts of partial contraction theory [10]–[12] (or termed semi-contraction [10]), allowing to extend the application of contraction analysis, to include convergence to behaviors, e.g., convergence to an equilibrium manifold. This can be interpreted as the contraction of the linearized trajectories in all directions up to that of the linear subspace span$\{v(z^*)\}$, see [12, Example 4.2]. In fact, the symmetric part of the Jacobian projected into the orthogonal complement of span$\{v(z^*)\}$, given by
\[
-\left( \Pi \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right)\top \Pi \right)
\]
is positive definite with respect to span$\{v(z^*)\}$, for trajectories initialized on $C_\varepsilon$.

**IV. Simulative example**

We consider two identical DC/AC converter model in closed-loop with the matching control and connected via an RL line, as in (2). The network setup and parameters can be found in the Table II.

By choice of the current source $i_{dc}$. Assumption (2) is verified. Since the synchronous equilibrium satisfies the algebraic condition in (11) after adding a reactive load $b = 1.08$, we numerically find an estimate of the region of contraction $C_\varepsilon$, defined by (16), in a systematic way following estimate in (16).
The conservativeness of the estimate of the region of contraction.

values) can be considered in our simulations, despite the range of bounded disturbances (estimated by transient power signals remain close to their steady state values. A large part, particularly the synchronization of DC voltages, and that AC manifold as predicted by our theory. Hereby, we notice in states fixed and showing the convergence to equilibrium for trajectories initialized on region of the space characterized by small distance to the tangent space of the rotational invariance. Our numerical simulations validate our results. The scope of future investigations includes extensive numerical estimations of the region of contraction and investigation of the conservativeness of our estimate.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Converter 1</th>
<th>Converter 2</th>
<th>RL Line</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(v_{dc}^1)</td>
<td>37.23</td>
<td>37.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(v_{dc}^2)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C_{dc}^1)</td>
<td>(10^{-3})</td>
<td>(10^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C_{dc}^2)</td>
<td>(10^{-5})</td>
<td>(10^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\eta)</td>
<td>0.0003142</td>
<td>0.0003142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L)</td>
<td>(5 \times 10^{-4})</td>
<td>(5 \times 10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C)</td>
<td>(10^{-5})</td>
<td>(10^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mu)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K_p)</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_{es})</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I_{net})</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE I**

PARAMETER VALUES OF THE TWO DC/AC CONVERTERS (IN P.U.).

Fig. 3. Region of contraction of the two-DC/AC converter angles (in rad) and convergence of the sample angle solutions of \(\theta\) to the subspace \(\mathbb{L}_2\), for \(\epsilon = 3.5\), resulting from varying the initial angles, while keeping the remaining initial states fixed. The initial conditions of angle deviations \(\gamma_1 - \gamma_1^*\) and \(\gamma_2 - \gamma_2^*\) are denoted by the different stars and all the angles are in rad.

Figure [4] depicts the region of contraction of the two DC/AC converter angles (in rad) and the convergence of angle solutions to the subspace \(\mathbb{L}_2\), for \(\epsilon = 3.5\), resulting from varying the initial angles, while keeping the remaining initial states fixed and showing the convergence to equilibrium manifold as predicted by our theory. Hereby, we notice in particular the synchronization of DC voltages, and that AC signals remain close to their steady state values. A large range of bounded disturbances (estimated by transient power values) can be considered in our simulations, despite the conservativeness of the estimate of the region of contraction.

**V. CONCLUSIONS**

We considered local convergence of a multi-converter power system model. The symmetry of the vector field allowed for the adoption of a Lyapunov based framework with considerations in the quotient space. Our Lyapunov function is a distance measure from the solution of the power system model to the synchronous equilibrium manifold that shrinks under sufficient and fully decentralized conditions, for trajectories initialized on region of the space characterized by small distance to the tangent space of the rotational invariance.